

More information

# Criterial Features in L2 English

Specifying the Reference Levels of the Common European Framework



Also in this series:

**Language Functions Revisited** Anthony Green



# Criterial Features in L2 English

# Specifying the Reference Levels of the Common European Framework

#### John A Hawkins

University of Cambridge and University of California Davis

#### and

### Luna Filipović

University of Cambridge

with input from

**Paula Buttery** 

**Annette Capel** 

**Roger Hawkey** 

Angeliki Salamoura

Nick Saville

John LM Trim





More information

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521

© UCLES 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data

Hawkins, John A.

Criterial features in L2 English: specifying the reference levels of the Common European Framework / John A. Hawkins and Luna Filipovic with input from Paula Buttery, Annette Capel, Roger Hawkey, Angeliki Salamoura, Nick Saville, John L.M. Trim.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-18477-9 (pbk.)

1. English language--Study and teaching--Foreign speakers. 2. English language--Ability testing. 3. Second language acquisition--Ability testing. 4. Language and languages--Ability testing. I. Filipovic, Luna. II. Title.

PE1128.A2H377 2012 428.0076--dc23 2011052357

ISBN 978-0-521-18477-9

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Information regarding prices, travel timetables and other factual information given in this work is correct at the time of first printing but Cambridge University Press does not guarantee the accuracy of such information thereafter.



## **Contents**

Ser Abl	knowledgements ies Editors' note previations t 1: Defining the Research Programme	viii x xvi
	apter 1	2
	roduction Background: The Common European Framework of Reference	3
1.1	(CEFR)	3
1.2	Specifying the reference levels	5
	What are criterial features?	11
	Practical applications and the theoretical relevance of criterial	
	features	15
1.5	Summary of what lies ahead	18
Cha	apter 2	
	neory and a taxonomy of criterial features	19
2.1	Basics	19
2.2	Positive linguistic features	20
2.3	Negative linguistic features	25
	2.3.1 Error codes and categories	29
	2.3.2 Error patterns	32
	Positive and negative usage features	33
	L1-specific criterial features	35
2.6	The 10-to-1 rule	37
	apter 3	
A g	eneral summary of the Cambridge Learner Corpus (CLC), its	
2.1	contents, error coding, tagging and parsing	40
	Background	40
	Error coding The Polymer Assessment Statistical Process (PASP)	42
3.3	The Robust Accurate Statistical Parser (RASP)	43
	3.3.1 Parsing using RASP 3.3.2 Grammatical relations in RASP	43 45
3 /	The use of the CLC for research in this book	43 46
	The need for more data	50
5.5	The need for more data	50

V



#### Criterial Features in L2 English

Chapter 4	
Some relevant Second Language Acquisition (SLA) and general linguistic	:
research for criterial feature identification	55
4.1 Background	55
4.2 Universal Grammar vs. cognitive theories	56
4.3 The transfer issue	61
4.4 Markedness, complexity and processing load	65
4.5 Cross-linguistic patterns and their predictions for acquisition	72
4.5.1 Relative clauses	74
4.5.2 Back to transfer	79
4.5.3 Slobin's operating principles for first language acquisition	82
Chapter 5	
Some principles of learning and interlanguage formation supported by	
the CLC	84
5.1 Maximise Positive Transfer	85
5.2 Maximise Frequently Occurring Properties	86
5.3 Maximise Structurally and Semantically Simple Properties	90
5.4 Minimise Quantitative Load	93
5.5 Permit Negative Transfer	96
5.6 The order of second language acquisition	98
Part 2: Empirical Findings	
Chapter 6 Testing some grammatical features in the Threshold series	103
	100
Chapter 7 Positive linguistic features of the CEFR levels	112
7.1 General syntactic features	114
7.1.1 Intransitive clauses	115
7.1.2 Transitive clauses	115
7.1.3 Verbs with a finite complement clause	115
7.1.4 Verbs with an infinitival complement (Subject control)	116
7.1.5 Direct WH-questions	116
7.1.6 Pronoun plus infinitive	116
7.1.7 Ditransitive clauses	117
7.1.8 Postnominal modification with <i>-ed</i> and <i>-ing</i>	117
7.1.9 Verbs with infinitival complements (Object control)	117
7.1.10 Verbs with -ing complements (Object control)	117
7.1.11 It Extraposition with finite clauses	118
7.1.12 Verbs with a PP plus finite complement clause	118
7.1.13 Genitive relatives	118

vi

118



More information

	Contents
7.1.14 Pseudoclefts type (i)	118
7.1.15 Indirect WH-questions in finite clauses	119
7.1.16 Indirect WH-questions in infinitival phrases	119
7.1.17 Complex auxiliaries	120
7.1.18 Adverbial subordinate clauses with -ing	120
7.1.19 <i>It</i> Extraposition with infinitival phrases	120
7.1.20 Pseudocleft type (ii)	120
7.1.21 Verbs with an NP plus finite complement clause	121
7.1.22 Secondary predications	121
7.2 Raising constructions	121
7.2.1 Subject-to-Subject Raising verbs and adjectives	122
7.2.2 Subject-to-Object Raising verbs (unpassivised)	123
7.2.3 Subject-to-Object Raising verbs plus Passive	125
<ul><li>7.2.4 Tough Movement</li><li>7.3 Double embeddings</li></ul>	126 128
7.3 Double embeddings 7.4 Modal auxiliary verbs	131
·	131
Chapter 8 Lexical progression	134
8.1 Single-word lexical items	134
8.2 Verb particle combinations	143
•	110
Chapter 9	1.47
Conclusions and future directions 9.1 Horizontal summary of criterial features	147 147
9.1.1 Criterial features for A2	147
9.1.2 Criterial features for B1	147
9.1.3 Criterial features for B2	149
9.1.4 Criterial features for C1	150
9.1.5 Criterial features for C2	151
9.2 Form–function relations	151
9.3 Practical applications	156
9.4 Theoretical relevance	160
9.5 Looking ahead	162
References	166
Author Index	176
Lexical Index	178
Language Index	180
Subject Index	181



## Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to **Michael Milanovic** and **Nick Saville** for initiating the English Profile Programme and for co-ordinating the research carried out within the University of Cambridge. The work reported here was conducted within that programme and the preparation of this volume was commissioned and funded by University of Cambridge ESOL Examinations (Cambridge ESOL). We are also grateful for the support and input provided by members of the English Profile research team in Cambridge (Cambridge ESOL, Cambridge University Press (CUP), the Research Centre for English and Applied Linguistics (RCEAL), the Cambridge Computer Laboratory) and elsewhere and whose contributions we acknowledge below.

We would like to acknowledge in particular the contributions of the following people who are listed on the title page:

**Paula Buttery** of RCEAL Cambridge for tagging and parsing the Cambridge Learner Corpus using RASP, for conducting a number of searches, and for help with the quantification of the error counts.

**Annette Capel** of CUP for sharing data from her Wordlist searches and for invaluable comments and feedback

**John Trim** for information on the role of the Council of Europe in setting up the Common European Framework, for important discussions on the 'Threshold' series of books that he co-authored with Jan van Ek, and for feedback on material presented here.

**Roger Hawkey** and **Angeliki Salamoura** of Cambridge ESOL for detailed comments and extensive feedback throughout the writing of this book.

In addition we would like to acknowledge the following:

**Ted Briscoe** of the Cambridge Computer Laboratory and his colleagues for use and implementation of the RASP parser.

**Caroline Williams** of RCEAL Cambridge for verb subcategorisation searches in the CLC and the British National Corpus.

**Fiona Barker** and **Szilvia Papp** of Cambridge ESOL for information about learner corpora and for assistance in preparing a manual subcorpus of the Cambridge Learner Corpus (CLC).

viii



Acknowledgements

**Andrew Caines** of RCEAL Cambridge for conducting numerous searches in the CLC.

**Evelina Galaczi** of Cambridge ESOL for information and references about Cambridge ESOL's research on training examiners.

**Kristen Kennedy** of the University of California, Davis for assistance with Mean Length of Utterance calculations.

**Stephen Spencer** of RCEAL Cambridge for help with double embedding searches involving two genitives in the CLC.

**CUP's computational linguists,** who prepared *The Compleat*|*Complete Learner Corpus Document* 2006, which formed the basis of error codes used extensively in CUP research.