

## *Author index*

References in italics indicate tables or figures. References followed by 'n', e.g. '176n', indicate references to notes at the bottom of pages.

- Adelman, C., 286
- Agard, F., 6
- Alderson, J. C., 25–57, 36, 37, 58, 59, 97, 141, 162, 196, 274–302, 274, 279, 284, 287, 305
- Allwright, R., 197, 198, 285
- Anderson, R., 15
- Asher, J., 10, 145
- Aston, G., 168
- Atkin, M., 15
- Bachman, L., 8, 66, 90, 152, 160, 161, 285
- Ball, S., 15
- Baranyi, H., 145
- Barnes, D., 263
- Bazergan, E., 233, 234
- Beretta, A., 5–20, 8, 10, 144, 145, 148, 162, 170, 250–69, 251, 259, 260, 271–3, 283, 284
- Berwick, R., 169, 192
- Bloom, B., 147
- Bogaard, L., 33–4
- Bogatz, G., 15
- Boydell, D., 109, 131
- Bradburn, N., 285
- Breen, M., 199, 286
- Briggs, C., 285
- Brown, J., 142
- Brumfit, C., 236, 251, 252, 255, 260
- Brütsch, S., 152
- Burger, S., 145
- Burgess, R., 286
- Buscaglia, M., 145
- Bushman, R., 9, 10, 11
- Campbell, D., 6, 8, 15, 18, 65, 90, 187
- Candlin, C., 199, 286
- Carroll, J. B., 6
- Casey, J., 10, 11
- Celani, M. A., 32, 36, 41, 42, 45, 55, 59, 281
- Chafe, W., 186n
- Chastain, K., 10
- Chaudron, C., 255, 269
- Chen, Z., 67
- Cicirelli, V., 15
- Clark, J., 161
- Clifford, R., 152
- Cohen, J., 187
- Cohen, L., 285
- Cohen, P., 187
- Coleman, H., 222–45, 224, 227, 228, 229, 234, 238, 246–9
- Coleman, J., 15
- Cook, T., 18, 65, 187, 285
- Corder, S., 198, 261
- Croll, P., 131
- Cronbach, L., 1, 2, 6, 12, 13–14, 15, 18, 250, 253, 265
- Crookes, G., 260
- Davidson, F., 67
- Davies, A., 10, 251, 252, 255, 259, 260
- Deyes, A., 32, 291
- Dinsmore, D., 169
- Djuraid, A., 234
- Doughty, C., 171
- Duff, P., 168
- Dunkel, H., 6
- Edwards, A., 187
- Edwards, H., 145
- Eisner, E., 8, 18, 288
- Ellis, R., 183, 192, 198
- Erickson, K., 285
- Erlebacher, A., 90
- Faerch, C., 183, 285
- Ferguson, N., 171, 192
- Fetterman, D., 17
- Fielding, J., 94
- Fielding, N., 94
- Fraser, B., 16
- Freedman, E., 7, 8, 170
- Fuller, F., 254
- Galton, M., 109, 114, 131
- Gary, J., 10
- Gass, S., 168
- Gayle, G., 176n
- Genesee, F., 6
- George, A., 254
- Goetz, J., 69
- Gorman, T., 111, 115
- Gregg, K., 168
- Gronlund, N., 285
- Guba, E., 18

368 *Author index*

- Gump, P., 133
- Hall, G., 253, 254, 266
- Hamilton, D., 17, 18
- Hand, J., 17
- Hauptman, P., 10, 145
- Henning, G., 77
- Higgs, T., 183
- Hively, W., 66
- Holmes, J., 32
- House, E., 17
- Huberman, A., 69, 76, 77, 92
- Hughes, A., 285
- Hughes, J., 286
- Huitema, B., 187, 190
- Hussein, B., 233
- Jasman, A., 131
- Jenkins, D., 16
- Johnson, K., 251
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation, 18
- Jones, G., 10
- Kalton, G., 285
- Kasper, G., 285
- Keating, R., 7, 10, 11
- Kenney, D., 76
- Kerlinger, F., 13
- Kirkup, G., 60
- Kramer, P., 146, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162
- Krashen, S., 142, 144, 147, 165, 168, 183, 184, 251
- Krathwohl, D., 147
- Kusudo, J., 145
- Lafayette, R., 145
- Lambert, W., 19
- Language Innovations, 186n
- Lapkin, S., 6
- LeCompte, M., 69
- Lett, J., 169
- Levin, L., 10, 11
- Lightbown, P., 145, 198, 199
- Long, M., 62, 94, 169, 171, 183, 184, 260
- Loucks, S., 253, 266
- Lynch, B., 61–94, 67, 96–9, 305
- MacKinnon, K., 100
- Madsen, H., 9, 10, 11
- Manion, L., 285
- Masia, B., 147
- McIntyre, D., 14
- Miles, M., 69, 76, 77, 92
- Mitchell, R., 14, 100–36, 101, 113, 133, 137–40
- Morrison, C., 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 108
- Moser, C., 285
- Mueller, T., 10
- Munby, J., 32
- Murray, J., 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 108
- Nash, R., 286
- Needels, M., 76
- Nevo, D., 16
- Newlove, B., 266
- Olsson, M., 10
- Oppenheim, A., 285
- Oskarsson, M., 10, 160
- Owens, T., 17
- Pal, A., 10
- Palmer, A., 141–62, 142, 146, 152, 158, 160, 161, 162, 164–6
- Parkinson, B., 133
- Parlett, M., 17, 18
- Patton, M., 14, 69
- Pica, T., 171, 184, 192
- Pienemann, M., 183
- Popham, W., 66
- Porter, P., 168, 184
- Postovsky, V., 10, 11, 184
- Prabhu, N., 14, 236, 251, 255, 256, 259, 260
- Pritchard, N., 226
- Provus, M., 16
- Rathbone, M., 198
- Ready, D., 145
- Regional Institute of English Bulletins (RIE), 255, 260, 261
- Reichardt, C., 18, 286
- Richards, J., 15, 148, 168, 260
- Roberts, J., 236
- Rodgers, T., 146, 148, 157
- Romesburg, H., 176n
- Roosmalawati, 224
- Ross, S., 167–93, 169, 186, 192, 195–6
- Rubin, J., 214
- Ruru, S., 226
- Rutherford, W., 254
- Sato, C., 169
- Savignon, S., 10, 161
- Sax, G., 66
- Scheibner-Herzig, G., 10
- Scherer, G., 7, 8, 9, 10, 11–12, 197, 253
- Scott, M., 25–57, 29, 32, 37, 59, 97, 196, 305

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-42269-7 - Evaluating Second Language Education

Edited by J. Charles Alderson and Alan Beretta

Index

[More information](#)*Author index*      369

- Scottish Education Dept, 102, 103  
 Scriven, M., 15, 16–17, 262, 287  
 Seliger, H., 8, 285  
 Shaw, P., 169  
 Shohamy, E., 285  
 Simon, B., 131  
 Simon, H., 285  
 Slimani, A., 196, 197–218, 206, 211, 212,  
     220–1  
 Smith, E., 13  
 Smith, P., 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 145, 197, 253  
 Sonnichsen, R., 60  
 Spada, N., 192  
 Spolsky, B., 67  
 Stake, R., 15, 16, 18  
 Stallings, J., 76, 253  
 Stanley, J., 6, 8, 15, 18  
 Staybrook, N., 76  
 Stern, H., 7, 214  
 Sternfeld, S., 145  
 Stevick, E., 168, 171  
 Stufflebeam, D., 16, 17, 262  
 Sudman, S., 285  
 Swain, M., 6  
 Sweet, H., 5  
 Taba, H., 18  
 Terrell, T., 147, 168, 251  
 Thiele, A., 10  
 Thurston, P., 17  
 Tilney, G., 9, 10  
 Tomlin, R., 186n  
 de la Torre, R., 145  
 Tucker, G., 19, 58  
 Tyler, R., 13–15, 18  
 Van Baalen, T., 10, 11  
 Varonis, E., 168  
 Von Elek, T., 10  
 Vredenbregt, J., 224  
 Wagner, M., 9, 10  
 Walker, R., 286  
 Wang, M., 253  
 Webster, W., 16  
 Weiss, C., 18  
 Wertheimer, M., 7, 8, 9, 10, 11–12, 197, 253  
 Wesche, M., 145  
 White, L., 215  
 Winitz, H., 184  
 Woerdehoff, F., 10  
 Wolf, R. L., 17  
 Wolf, R. M., 13  
 Wolfe, D., 10

*Subject index*

References in italics indicate tables or figures. References followed by ‘n’, e.g. ‘176n’, indicate references to notes at the bottom of pages.

- accuracy standards, 18  
 administration, ESP Project, 50  
*Administrative File*, REST Project, 73, 74  
 administrators’ attitudes, Utah Project, 146,  
     150–1, 157  
 advocacy (‘adversary’) evaluation, 17, 280  
 affective domain, 148–51, 156–7  
 ‘affective filter’ hypothesis, 142  
 aims of evaluation *see* purposes  
 Alderson, J. Charles, 35, 36–7, 59–60  
*Algerian study* *see* uptake study  
 American Evaluation Association, 5  
 analysis of covariance (ANCOVA)  
     Japanese study, 187–92, 188–91  
     Utah Project, 62, 76, 81, 81, 82, 83, 88,  
         90, 91, 98  
 ‘art criticism’ evaluation, 17  
 audiences, 277–8, 297  
 Utah Project, 146, 157–8  
*see also* political sensitivities; sponsors  
 audio-lingual materials, 171, 172n, 177–85,  
     177–82, 188–91  
 Bangalore Project, 14, 250–73  
     editors’ postscript, 271–3  
     ‘if I had known then’, 263–5  
     opportunities missed, 262–3  
     purposes, 258–62, 264, 272  
     retrospective study, 253–7  
     testing, 251–2, 265–6  
 baseline studies, 287  
 ‘behavioral domain’, 147–8  
 behavioral objectives, 13–15  
 bilingual programs, 6  
*see also* Western Isles Project  
 Bogaard study, 33–4

## 370 *Subject index*

- Brazilian Federal Universities Project *see* ESP Project
- British Council, 6
  - ESP Project, 35, 280
  - Indonesian Project, 223, 224, 226, 227, 230, 240, 246
- Broughton, Maurice, 28
- California, University of *see* REST Project
- Celani, Antonieta, 28, 29, 39
- CEPRIL (Centre for Research, Information and Resources on Reading), 31–3, 40, 42, 44, 46, 50
- Chi-square analysis, 62, 77, 83, 84, 88, 90, 91
- CIPP (Context, Input, Process and Product) model, 16, 17
- classroom interaction evaluation *see* uptake study
- classroom time coding, 175, 175–6
- cloze tests
  - Japanese study, 185, 190, 191
  - REST Project, 66–7, 67–8, 69, 76–7, 98, 362–6
  - results, 80, 81, 88, 90, 91
- cluster analyses, Japanese study, 176–9, 176n, 177, 178, 179
- coding schemas, Japanese study, 172–6, 173, 174, 175, 192, 193
- 'cognitive domain', 147
- Colorado Project, 7
- 'Comhairle nan Eilean', 100, 101, 102, 104, 107, 108, 115–16, 124, 130, 139
- Communicational Teaching Project *see* Bangalore Project
- confidentiality, 297
- content of evaluation, 281–2
  - ESP Project, 43–4
  - Indonesian Project, 232
  - Utah Project, 147–50
- context evaluation, 16
- control groups, 283–4
  - Bangalore Project, 252
  - REST Project, 61, 62, 65–6
  - Utah Project, 145–6, 160, 161–2
- 'co-productions', lessons as, 198
- cost effectiveness
  - ESP Project, 56–7, 59
  - Western Isles Project, 122
- 'countenance evaluation', 15, 16
- criterion-referenced measurement (CRM), 66–7, 68, 90–1, 362–5
- Critical Path Analysis, 294
- CTP project *see* Bangalore Project
- data collection *see* methods
- Davies, Alan, 258
- deadlines, 290
- 'deliverables', 290–1
- dictation tests
  - Bangalore Project, 265
  - Japanese study, 185–6, 191, 192
- document analysis, 286
- duration of studies, 8, 9, 10, 288
- eclectic language teaching, 167–8
  - see also* Japanese methods study
- education evaluation developments, 5–20
  - future, 19–20
  - second language programmes, 6–12, 10
- 'educational connoisseurship', 17
- effect size analysis, 62, 76–7, 83, 83, 88, 90, 91
- Effects Matrix, 78, 85, 86–7
- Eight Year Study, 13
- Elbaum, Batya, 152
- English for Specific Purposes project *see* ESP Project
- error treatment, 211–12, 255–7, 269, 273
- ESLPE (English as a Second Language Placement Exam), 66–7, 68, 69, 76, 77
  - results, 79–84, 80–1, 83, 84, 88, 90, 91
- ESP Project, Brazil, 25–60
  - editors' postscript, 58–60
  - evaluation, 33–5
    - aims, 35–7, 275
    - design, 44–5
    - instrument examples, 306–51
    - participatory, 37–43, 54–7
    - problems, 52–4
    - questions, 43–4
    - results, 45–52
  - project development, 28–33
  - evaluating evaluations, 299
  - evaluators, 279–81
    - see also* insider evaluation; outsider evaluation
  - experimental evaluation, 7–8, 11, 19, 283
    - see also* control groups; quantitative evaluation; tests
  - feasibility standards, 18
  - field workers, Western Isles Project, 106, 107–8, 110, 111–13, 116
  - fill-in-the-blank cloze tests, 66–7, 68, 69, 76, 368
    - results, 80, 82

- financial planning, 291  
 'form', focus on  
     Bangalore Project, 255–7  
     Japanese study, 181–2, 182, 183, 192  
 'formative' evaluation, 15, 38, 144, 145, 287  
 functional-notional materials, 171, 177–85,  
     177–82, 189–91
- Gaelic language teaching *see* Western Isles Project  
 generalisability of results, 9, 171–2  
 generalisation of learning, 214  
 goal-free evaluation, 16–17  
 grammar-based materials, 171, 177–85,  
     177–82, 188–91
- grammar tests  
     Bangalore Project, 265  
     Japanese study, 185, 188  
     Utah Project, 148, 155–6
- Guadalajara University, *see* REST Project  
 guidelines for evaluation, 2, 274–304  
     implementing, 292–4  
     interpreting and reporting, 294–8  
     planning, 275–92  
     using and evaluating, 298–9
- Hasanuddin University *see* Indonesian KELT Project  
 'hidden agendas', 276–7  
 history, education evaluation, 5–20  
     second language, 6–12, 10
- idiosyncracies, learners', 212–14, 213  
 illuminative evaluation, 17  
 immersion programmes, 19, 58  
 implementing evaluation, 292–4  
     ESP Project, 39–43, 52–4  
     uptake study, 205–6  
     Utah Project, 160–2  
     Western Isles Project, 107–12
- independent evaluation, 146
- Indonesian KELT Project, 222–49  
     conclusions, 239–40  
     editors' postscript, 246–9  
     evaluation, 231–9, 275  
         revision training, 236  
         *Risking Fun*, 232–5  
         teacher training, 235–6  
     project history, 222–31
- INELEC study *see* uptake study  
 input-based language teaching programmes  
     *see* Utah Project  
 input evaluation, 16
- 'input hypothesis', 142  
 insider evaluation, 26–7, 37, 54–7, 59–60,  
     139, 246–7, 279–80  
 'inspections', 34, 285  
     *see also* JIJOE paradigm  
 Institut d'Electricité et d'Electronique *see*  
     uptake study  
 instruments, evaluation *see* interviews;  
     observation; questionnaires, self-ratings;  
     tests  
 interaction study *see* uptake study  
 interpreting results, 294–6  
     REST Project, 76–9, 84–8, 85, 88–93  
     Utah Project, 161  
     Western Isles Project, 112–13
- interview tests, 186  
 interviews  
     Brazilian Project, 318–20, 321–4  
     REST Project, 74–5  
     uptake study, 202–3  
     Utah Project, 154  
     Western Isles Project, 106, 107, 108–9,  
         111–12, 121, 122, 125–30
- Japanese methods study, 167–96, 275  
     coding scheme, 172–6  
     editors' postscript, 195–6  
     in-house evaluation, 171–2  
     *laissez-faire* context, 168–9  
     materials selection, 170–1  
     moderating variables, 187–92  
     observation schedule, 176–9  
     outcome measures, 185–6  
     pair work time, 180–2  
     process-to-product hypotheses, 183–5  
         qualitative v. quantitative, 169–70
- JIJOE paradigm ('Jet-in-Jet-out Expert'),  
     25–7, 35, 36, 37, 54, 56
- Journal Subfile, REST project, 74
- KELTs, 29, 30, 31, 49, 50, 55  
 KELT projects *see* ESP Project; Indonesian KELT Project
- Kramer, Paul, 141, 144
- Krashen's theory, 141, 142, 144, 149, 158,  
     159, 165
- laissez-faire* language teaching, 167, 168–9,  
     169n
- language choice, Western Isles, 114, 120, 121
- learners' idiosyncracies, 212–14, 213
- length of evaluation, 8, 9, 10, 288
- listening, classroom activities, 181, 181,  
     184–5, 185n, 193

372 *Subject index*

- Listening Approach, The*, 142–3  
 ‘listening first’ movement, 184–5  
 listening tests  
     Bangalore Project, 265–6  
     Japanese study 190, 190, 191  
     Utah Project, 148, 153–4  
 literature, on evaluation, 15–16  
 Los Angeles, University of California, *see*  
     REST Project  
 MacLeod, Finlay, 102, 116, 118  
 matching procedures, 9, 10  
 materials, learning  
     ESP Project, 28–9, 47–8, 313–15  
     Japanese study, 170–1, 177–85, 177–82,  
         188–91  
     Western Isles, 106  
     *see also Risking Fun*  
 McIntyre, Donald, 101, 113  
 ‘meaning’, focus on, 255–7  
 media involvement, Western Isles Project,  
     115–18, 138, 139  
 Meetings Subfile, REST Project, 74  
 method effect, 152, 203–6, 204  
 methods, evaluation, 8, 10, 11, 20, 282–6,  
     292–3  
     *see also experimental evaluation;*  
         interviews; observation; qualitative  
         evaluation; quantitative evaluation;  
         questionnaires; self-ratings; tests;  
         triangulation  
 Michigan Test, 204, 204  
 Minimal Discourse Grammar, 31  
 Mitchell, Rosamond, 101, 112–13  
 moderating variables, Japanese study,  
     187–92, 188–91  
 motivation of teachers, 252, 253–4, 273  
 multiple-choice cloze tests, 68, 69, 76, 81,  
     265, 362–5  
 Munro, Neil, 116, 117  
 narrative discourse test, 186, 188, 189  
 naturalistic evaluation *see*  
     qualitative evaluation  
 needs analysis  
     ESP Project, 32  
     Indonesian Project, 224–6, 228, 237–8,  
         248, 249  
 negotiations, evaluation, 20, 258–62, 264–5,  
     272–3  
 non-equivalent control groups (NECG),  
     61–2, 65  
 norm-referenced (NRM) tests, 66–7  
     *see also ESLPE*
- Nygaard, Louise, 141, 144  
 objectives, evaluating, 13–15, 281  
     Indonesian project, 236–7, 239  
 observation, 12  
     ESP Project, 54  
     Japanese study, 172, 172–6, 173, 174,  
         175, 192, 193  
         pair work time, 180–2, 180, 181, 182  
         schedule, 176–9, 177, 178, 179  
     REST Project, 69, 70, 71–2, 74  
     uptake study, 201–2  
     Western Isles Project, 107, 109–10,  
         130–6, 135–6, 119  
 Observation Subfile, REST Project, 74  
 ODA *see Overseas Development*  
     Administration  
 outsider evaluation, 25–7, 48–9, 54–7,  
     59–60, 94, 97, 279–80  
     ESP Project, 35–7  
     REST Project, 94, 97  
     Western Isles, 115–16, 138–9  
 overlapping treatment, 252  
 Overseas Development Administration  
     (ODA), 6, 222, 223, 226, 246, 279–80  
     ESP Project, 28, 29, 31, 35–6, 59  
     Indonesian Project, 222–3, 226–7  
     pair work time, 180, 180, 183, 184, 189,  
         192–3  
     parent interviews, Western Isles project, 107,  
         111–12, 121, 122  
     participatory evaluation, 27, 37–43, 58–60  
         background, 39  
         definition, 38–9  
         evaluation stages, 39–43, 42  
         insider-outsider roles, 54–7  
 Pennsylvania Project, 7–8, 145  
 planning evaluation, 274, 275–92  
     ESP Project, 44–5  
     Japanese study, 171–2  
     Utah Project, 145–6  
     Western Isles Project, 104–7  
 point-of-entry problem, 250, 262  
 policy making, 20  
     Western Isles Project, 123–4, 140  
 political sensitivities  
     Indonesian project, 226–7  
     Western Isles project, 101–2, 104, 107,  
         109, 115–18, 137, 138–9  
 Prabhu, N., 255, 256, 257, 258, 259  
 practicality considerations, 152, 296–7  
 process evaluation, 16  
 ‘product emerges’ hypothesis, 142

- product evaluation, 16
- programme-defining evaluation, 167–8
  - see also* Japanese study
- project (planning) frameworks, 291–2, 291, 300–2
- propriety standards, 18
- publication effort, ESP project, 31, 49–50
- pupil observation instruments,
  - Western Isles, 110, 131–4, 135–6
- purposes of evaluation, 275–7
  - Bangalore Project, 258–62, 264, 272, 273
  - ESP Project, 35–7
  - Indonesian Project, 223, 226–7
  - REST Project, 61
  - Utah Project, 144
  - Western Isles Project, 102–3
- qualifications
  - evaluators, 280–1
  - teachers, 252, 253–4
- qualitative evaluation, 283
  - Japanese study, 169–70, 170n, 196
  - REST Project, 61, 62, 69–79, 70–3, 91–3, 93–4, 96–7
  - results, 84–8, 85
- quality of data, Utah Project, 160–1, 166
- quantitative evaluation, 283
  - Japanese study, 93, 97–8, 169–70, 196
  - REST Project, 61–2, 65–9, 69, 76–7, 88–91
  - results, 79–84, 81, 82, 83, 84
- questionnaires
  - Bangalore Project, 254–5, 267–8
  - ESP Project, 33, 44, 53, 54, 306–12, 313–14, 316–17, 325–48
  - Indonesian Project, 233–4, 241–5
  - REST Project, 69, 74, 75
  - uptake study, 201, 217–18
- randomisation, 8, 9, 10, 171–2
- 'reactivity', 75–6
- Reading English for Science and Technology Project *see* REST Project
- reading strategies
  - ESP Project, 29–30
  - REST Project, 63–4, 91
  - Western Isles, 110–11
- reading tests
  - Bangalore Project, 265–6
  - Utah Project, 148, 154–5
- reporting evaluation results, 20, 288, 289–90, 294–8
  - ESP Project, 41, 42
  - Utah Project, 158, 161
- Western Isles Project, 113
- Resource Centre, ESP Project, 28–9, 31–2, 50–1
- response rates, ESP Project, 44
- REST Project, 61–99
  - conclusions, 93–4
  - discussion, 88–93
  - editors' postscript, 96–9
  - methods and procedures, 62–79
    - data analysis, 76–9
    - data collection, 65–76, 350–66
    - results, 79–88
  - retrospective evaluation, 253–7
- Risking Fun*, 222, 228–30, 232–5, 232, 236, 240, 241–5, 248
- samples, 8, 9, 10
  - ESP Project, 44, 53
  - REST Project, 62–3
  - uptake study, 200
  - Utah Project, 143, 145–6
  - Western Isles, 106, 109, 119
- Scott, M., 37, 59–60
- Scottish Education Department, (SED), 100, 101, 105, 107, 113, 115–16, 117, 123, 138, 139
- self-access pair learning materials (SAPL), 171, 177, 177–82, 186, 188–91
- self-ratings, 153–4, 155, 156
- Site Dynamics Matrix, 79, 87–8, 89
- speaking tests
  - Utah Project, 148, 154
  - Western Isles, 111, 114, 120, 121
- sponsors, 272, 278, 284–5
  - see also* British Council;
    - Comhairle nan Eilean; Overseas Development Administration; Scottish Education Department
- Stages of Concern Questionnaire (SoCQ), 254–5, 267–8
- 'stakeholders', 16, 17, 18, 20, 59, 60, 139, 272, 277–8, 295, 296–7, 298
- standardisation, 11–12
- standardised change-score analysis, 62, 76, 82, 83, 89–91
- Standards for Evaluation of Educational Programs* . . . , 18
- Sternfeld, Steven, 152
- Stirling University *see* Western Isles Project
- 'student activity' coding, 173, 174, 177–9, 177, 183

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-42269-7 - Evaluating Second Language Education

Edited by J. Charles Alderson and Alan Beretta

Index

[More information](#)374 *Subject index*

- student attitudes, Utah Project, 146, 148–9, 156–7, 159–60
- ‘student behaviour’ coding, 175, 175
- ‘student input sources’ coding, 174, 174
- study skills development, Indonesian Project, 232, 232, 238–9
- summative evaluation, 15, 144–5, 261, 287
- ‘syllabus as plan’ or ‘reality’, 209
- task-based materials, 171, 177–85, 177–82, 186, 188–91
- teacher attitudes, Utah Project, 146, 149–50
- Teacher Implementation Categories, 253, 266–7
- teacher interview schedule, Western Isles Project, 125–30
- Teacher Observation Instrument, 109, 119–20, 130–1, 132
- teacher training
  - ESP Project, 28–9, 30, 33, 42, 49
  - Indonesian Project, 223, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 235–6, 237
- teacher variable, 8, 10, 11
- team teaching, 64–5, 91
- TESOL, 5
- tests, 284
  - Bangalore Project, 251–2, 258, 259, 264, 265–6
  - Indonesian Project, 232, 232
  - Japanese study, 185–92, 188, 189, 190, 191
  - REST Project, 66–9, 69, 76–7, 79–84, 80–4
  - Utah Project, 148, 152–7, 159–60, 165, 350–66
- Times Educational Supplement Scotland (TESS), 116, 117
- timing, 286–8, 294
  - Bangalore Project, 53, 263
  - ESP Project, 53
  - Japanese study, 175–6
  - Indonesian Project, 248
  - Utah Project, 144–5
  - Western Isles Project, 105, 106, 140
  - see also* duration of studies; formative evaluation; summative evaluation
- topicalisation, 206–12, 209, 215
- training *see* teacher training
- Treatment File, REST Project, 69–74, 70–2
- triangulation, 44, 53, 54, 221, 285
- Tyler, Ralph, 13–15
- uptake study, 197–221
  - conclusions, 215–16
  - editors’ postscript, 220–1
  - Identification Probe, 201, 217
  - importance, 197–9
  - learner idiosyncrasies, 212–14
  - method, 201–3, 217–18, 221
  - method effect, 203–6
  - Recall Chart, 201, 217
  - topicalisation, 206–12
  - uptake, 199–200
- Utah University Project, 141–66
  - audiences, 146, 157–8
  - description, 142–4
  - editors’ postscript, 164–6
  - findings, 158–62
  - quality of data, 160–1
  - reasons for, 144, 275
  - subjects, 145–6
  - testing, 152–7
  - timing, 144–5
  - what to evaluate, 147–51
- utilising evaluation, 20, 40, 41, 42, 58, 124, 140, 264, 274, 290–1, 298–9
- utility standards, 18
- vocabulary tests, Japanese study, 148, 156
- West Highland Free Press, 116, 118
- Western Isles Council *see* Comhairle nan Eilean
- Western Isles Project, 14, 100–40
  - aims of project, 102–3
  - analysis and reporting, 112–13
  - editors’ postscript, 137–40
  - effectiveness, 118–23
  - findings, 113–15
  - implementing evaluation, 107–12
  - interview schedule, 125–30
  - planning evaluation, 104–7
  - policy, 123–4
  - public perceptions, 115–18
  - pupil observation instrument, 131–6
  - teacher observation instrument, 130–1, 132
- writing tests
  - Utah Project, 148, 155
  - Western Isles, 111, 114–15, 120, 121