

Index

The following abbreviations are used in the index: n, footnote on page; t, table; f, figure.

```
academic composition/prose, 40, 48,
                                           Rogerian, 31–2
    140, 199, 201
                                         Arndt, V., 46–7, 48, 49, 50
  analysis of, 226-7
                                         Arnold, L., 178
  internalization of, 205
                                         Asian students, 181
  using background reading text in,
                                           see also Chinese-speaking subjects;
    106-7, 211-30
                                             Japanese speakers
  see also English for academic
                                         assessment of student writing, 191
                                           holistic, 49 (see also holistic evalu-
    purposes
academic discourse, see discourse
                                             ation/scoring)
                                           integrated approach to, 82-3
    community, academic
Academic English evaluation (AEE),
                                           issues in, in second language writ-
                                             ing, 69-87
                                           portfolio approach, 65, 141
academic style, 221-2, 226
accuracy
                                           for program/institutional purposes,
                                             9 - 10
  and error, 151
  location and, 180
                                           topic type and, 106
  time allowed and, 146-7, 149-50,
                                           see also scoring procedures
                                         assignments, 57, 186
analysis, student, 65
                                           sequenced, 64
analysis criteria, 38-9, 46
                                           use of background information in,
Anson, C. M., 106
Applebee, A. N., 8
                                         attitude(s), 44, 45, 63, 225
approaches to L2 composition in-
                                         attribution (citation), 213-14, 222,
    struction, 11–12, 18, 37, 110
                                             223, 225-6
  categories of, to composition the-
                                         audience, 8, 18, 30, 31, 60, 199,
                                             203, 212, 227
    ory, 25, 33-4
  evaluation of, 18
                                           in cognitivism, 30
                                           expectations for, 201, 202
  role of, in model of theory/
    research/practice relationship,
                                           in expressivism, 30
     19–20
                                           in first language composition the-
Arabic (language), 97
                                             ory, 24–5, 29–31, 33
Arabic speakers, 47, 106, 142, 146-
                                           in social constructionist view, 27,
    7, 151
  response to topic types, 191, 194,
                                           as task variable, 75, 76
     199-203
                                           see also reader(s)
argumentation, 14, 29, 32, 72, 197
                                         audience theory, 30–1
```



Burkland, J., 62

232 Index

Auerbach, E., 34

audiolingual method, 12, 24

Australian Second Language Proficiency Ratings (ASLPR), 79 background information in academic compositions, 211–30 type and function, 213, 216–22, 218t, 220f, 224 background information, study of method, 214–15 further research, 224-5 results, 216–24 teaching implications, 225-7 backgrounding, 217, 218, 221 Bakhtin, M. M., 26 Bartholomae, D., 1-2 basic writers, 28, 43, 59, 141-2 Beach, R., 58, 89, 179 beginning language learners, 52 behaviorist psychology, 12 behaviors, composing, 38, 39–40, 46 culturally determined, 76 similarities, L1/L2 writers, 44 Belanger, J., 90 Berlin, J. A., 24, 25, 26, 30, 31, 33, Biber, D., 192, 195, 198, 199, 201, 202, 205, 206 biculturalism, 47 bidirectional hypothesis (model), 92-3 bilingualism, 48, 110, 121 Bizzell, P., 28–9, 31, 152–3 black students, 78 Boiarsky, C., 186–7 Brannon, L., 58, 59, 60–1, 63, 64,

Burtoff, M., 47, 50 Butler, J., 59, 63, 64 Campbell, C., 10, 48, 93n Carlman, N., 204 Carlson, S., 70, 73, 74, 81, 118, 123, 192, 193*n*, 194, 196–7, 201, 203-4, 205 Carrell, P. L., 30 Carson, J. E., 95, 96, 110 see also Eisterhold, J. C. case study approach (research), 48, 50, 155 writing process studies, 38, 39, 41 Cavalcanti, M. C., 62, 77, 105 chart/graph description/interpretation, 193, 194, 196-9, 196t, 200-3, 200t, 204 Chelala, S., 39, 45, 48, 110 Chenoweth, N. A., 185 Chinese-speaking subjects, 46–7, 48, 106, 110, 142, 147–8 first language use in composing, 110-11, 112-24 reading-writing relationship, 95 responses to topic types, 103-4, 191, 194<u>,</u> 199–203, 204 Christensen, F., 127-8 coding scheme(s), 38, 39, 44 cognitive capacity/ability, 46 and co-occurrence patterns in text, 195 in literacy skills transfer, 95, 97 cognitive development, 16, 76–7 first language, 43 cognitive process, 10, 27 in transfer in reading-writing relationship, 89, 90, 91, 93, 99, 100 cognitive theory, 140 cognitivism, 25-6 audience in, 30 language component in, 32-3 reality and truth in, 31 Cohen, A. D., 9, 62, 77, 105, 180 coherence, 30, 31, 141, 143 analysis of, 39 fluency at level of, 149-50 of syntheses, 212–13 text-based theories of, 104, 126

65

Braun, G., 91 Brazil, 105, 156–77

Brennan, S., 91

Brière, E., 12

Brooks, E., 43, 48

Bruffee, K. A., 27–8

Bridgeman, B., 70, 73, 118, 123, 193, 194, 196–7

British Council, English Language

Britton, J., 67, 73, 211, 222

Brossell, G., 9, 73, 76–7

Testing Service (ELTS), 75, 79



Index 233

topical structure analysis as check computer text analyses, 192, 205-6 on, 126, 127, 128, 130–2, concurrent validity, 72 133 - 4conferences, 57, 155, 161 coherence diagram(s), 131t, 133, Connor, U., 8, 27, 104, 143 135 - 8constraints, 4, 42, 140 cohesion, 27 on composing process, 42, 110, Coles, W., 25 Collins, A., 140 language as, 103, 110, 117–18 comments (teacher), 160-4, 162-3t monitoring as, 42 general/specific, 186 outside readings as, 106 resistance to, 175 preparation time as, 104 substance of, 179 construct validity, 9, 70, 71, 72–3, written, 9 see also feedback; written response construction communication, 32 logical, 14 responsibility for, 27 of meaning, 93 community, 27-8 content, 27, 72, 212 feedback on, 157, 158, 159, 160– 1, 164, 165–6, 167, 168, 171, 172, 173, 178, 179, 180–1, comparability (research), 51 comparison/contrast topic type, 193, 194, 196–9, 196t, 200–3, 200t, 182, 183-7, 183*t* 204 competence first language in generation of, 47, lack of, 49, 52 111 reading in/and, 88 form vs., 178-90 topic type/task and, 204-5 in process approach, 15, 16 see also linguistic competence; response to, 61 proficiency and student reaction to written composing, 49, 104, 211-12 commentary, 62 assessment of L1, 53 content feedback scoring guide, competence, 49, 52 187 - 8in English, 109-25 content validity, 9, 70, 71-2 first language use in, 103-4, 110content words, 196, 198, 199, 202 context, 8, 9, 10, 13, 20, 27, 57 history of ESL, 11-17 in current-traditional rhetoric, 14 at home vs. in class, 140-53, 148t, determining sentence topic, 128-9 149t, 150t in English-for-academic purposes strategies, 46–7, 152 orientation, 16, 17 study of, 1-2, 7-8, 39-42 instructional, 57, 63, 64-5 universal notions of, 53 in process approach, 16 use of background information, research, 39 219, 220ffor second language writing, 18 see also writing process; writing context-embedded approach strategies in writing assessment, 82 composing aloud, 38, 49 contrastive rhetoric, 13, 16, 33, 106, protocol, 26, 41, 44, 158 Composing Processes of Twelfth quantitative analysis: responding Graders, The (Emig), 38 to different topic types, 191composition mode, 42 210 composition teachers, 1-2, 7 contrastive rhetoric research, 94 n2, compositions, feedback on, 155-77 199–200, 201, 206



234 Index

controlled composition, 7, 12-13, Descriptive Test of Language Skills, 15, 20 213 detail, feedback on, 178 copies, near/exact, 216, 217, 219, 221, 222, 224, 225 dialogue copying, 221, 225 teacher/student, 63, 64-5 (see also degrees of, 211, 216 conferences) development in elimination of, writer/reader, 26-7 222 Diaz, D., 43 Corbett, E.P.J., 1 differential abilities, 141 correction, undelivered, 160, 161, difficulty (task), 75–6 direct observation (method), 41, 49 164, 172–3 correctness, 38, 42 direct test of writing, 69–70 creativity, 12, 15, 30, 32, 167 components of, 73-82 creator, writer as, 25, 27 validity of, 70, 71 Criper, C., 70, 72 directional hypothesis (model), 89criterion validity, 9, 70, 72 90, 91, 93 critical thinking, 69, 76 directionality criticism, 61, 63, 174 in reading-writing relationship: see also comments (teacher); feedfirst language, 89-93 discourse, 14, 126 back; written response cross-cultural factors, and L2 literacademic, 17 acy, 94 n2 English, 198, 199 cross-cultural writing tasks, 204 linguistic and structural aspects of, cultural factors, 76, 173, 191, 203 and academic discourse commuorganization of, 27 nity, 29 pure features of, 143 in first language effects on second in social constructivist view, 28 language writing, 119, 120–1, skills in, 103 122 strategies in, 47 and language group differences, universals in, 53 200, 201 discourse analysis, 76, 191-2, 198 and reader response, 81 discourse community, 31 and second language writing, 50, defined, 28 determination of nature of text, 32 and topic development, 204 expectations of, 201 and use of background informalanguage component and, 33 tion, 225 rules of discourse in, 32 culture-related expectations, 75 in social constructivist view, 28 Cumming, A., 39, 47, 49, 50, 111 Cummins, J., 95–6, 97, 98 U.S., 203 discourse community, academic, 16, current-traditional rhetoric, 7, 12, 20, 141, 201, 203 13-15, 20audience in, 31 curriculum, process-based, 104 conventions for "truth" in, 32 curriculum design, 4 in social constructivist view, 28-9 see also English for academic purposes Davies, A., 70, 72 discourse level, 126 discourse modes, 42, 49, 110, 197,

198

decoding strategies, 96, 97 description, 14, 179, 197



Index 235

as task variable, 75, 76 discourse structures, 14, 18, 20, 90 document design research, 76 documentation, 106, 211, 222-3, training in, 225-6 domain referencing, 71, 204 drafts/drafting, 15, 26, 49, 178 feedback and, 63-4, 179, 186 first language use in, 124 interventions with, 58-9 multiple, 126, 155, 187 Drummond de Andrade, Carlos, 157 Eckhoff, B., 89 Ede, L., 30 Edelsky, C., 45, 49, 109, 110 editing, 15, 26, 41, 44, 187, 211 education, 52-3, 203 Educational Testing Service (ETS), 70, 72, 194, 200, 203 see also Test of English as a Foreign Language (TOEFL) Eisterhold, J. C., 10, 106 see also Carson, J. E. Elbow, P., 25, 30, 77 ELTS, see English Language Testing Service Emig, J., 38 Englert, C. S., 91 English as a second language (ESL) composition class activities, 8, 88 developments in teaching, 17–20 effects of first language, 109-25 evolution of teaching of, 7 form vs. content in teacher response to, 181-7 history of, 11–17 home vs. class compositions of, 140 - 53indirect measurement of, 70 scoring procedures in, 78-9 teacher feedback in, 156, 180 theory development in, 24 topical structure analysis in, 132–4 see also second language writing English for academic purposes (EAP), 7, 12, 16–17, 20, 29

English for specific purposes (ESP), 74-5, 226 English language composing in, 109-25 textual variations in, 195 as writer-responsible language, 27 see also native speakers of English English Language Testing Service (ELTS), 75, 79 English proficiency tests, 49 Erazmus, E., 12 error(s), 12, 141-2, 178, 180, 182 and accuracy, 145-7, 151 correction of, 59, 61, 62, 63, 181 effects of treating, 60 in measurement, 81 response to, 61, 187 surface level, 38, 61, 126, 212 in syntactic analysis, 143, 144, 145-7, 145-6t, 150-1, 150t error analysis, 142 ESL, see English as a second language (ESL) composition ESL Composition Profile, 78, 79, 182, 215 ESP, see English for specific purposes (ESP) essay analysis, effect of time on home vs. class composition, 142 - 53essay development, 14 essay examinations, 16, 28, 77, 141 difficulty of, 75-6 essay length, 195-6, 200-1 essay reading system, 79-80 ethnography, 43, 51-2, 76, 77, 78, 81, 206 evaluation, 205, 214 human error in, 78, 80, 82 incorporation of background information study, 223-4 see also assessment of student writing evaluator, teacher as, 59, 60, 65-6 examinations, teaching to test, 173 see also essay examinations; tests/ testing experimental studies, 51-2 exposition, 14



expressivism, 25, 30, 31, 32	process, 48, 53
Ewald, H., 32	relation of second language writ-
(1:1: 0.70.4	ing research to, 8, 37–9, 41
face validity, 9, 70–1	first language readers, 7, 18
Faigley, L., 25, 38, 46, 179	first language writers, second lan-
Farmer, M., 8, 104, 143	guage writers compared with, 2,
Fathman, A. K., 9, 61, 62, 105–6,	39–48
151, 174 n14	first language writing, teacher feed-
feedback, 2, 9, 61, 178–81	back on, 156, 175
appropriate, 63–6	Fitzgerald, J., 156
data collection in study of, 159	Flower, L., 26, 30, 110
effect on content/form, 42	fluency, 25
focus of, 160–4, 162–3t, 178	defined, 195
focused, 178, 179	feedback and, 185
and improvement in student revi-	fluency variables, study of response
sions, 105–6	to different topic types, 192,
instrumentation in study of, 157–9	192t, 195, 197
positive, 62	footnotes, 222, 223
reported/actual, 171–2	foregrounding, 217, 219, 221
student strategies in handling,	form, 14, 27, 42
169-71, 174-5	vs. content, 178–90
students' attitudes toward, 164–8,	feedback and, 178, 179, 180–1,
$\frac{165t}{\text{together bias in } 172}$	185, 187
teacher bias in, 172–3	in process approach, 16
teacher/student agreement on role	Francophone Canadian subjects, 47
of, 105 teacher/student verbal reports,	free composition, 12, 13
155–77	Freedran S. W. 62 63 155 156
timing of, 155–6	Freedman, S. W., 62, 63, 155, 156, 179
usefulness of, 180–1	French ESL writers, 111
see also comments (teacher); writ-	
ten response	Friedlander, A., 8, 26, 39, 47, 48, 49, 103–4, 143
first language (L1)	Fries, C., 12
background differences, 195	11105, 6., 12
cognitive academic development,	Galvan, M., 48
43	Gaskill, W., 46, 48, 49
context, 7	"general academic" group, 29
interference from, 12, 13	generalizability, 27, 50, 51
reading-writing relationship, 89–	genre identification, 206
93	genre studies, 32
and second language writing, 45-	genres of discourse community, 28
8, 49–50, 52, 103–4, 109–25	Gentner, D., 140
first language composition theories,	German (language), 40, 62–3
and development of L2 compo-	goals
sition theories, 24–36	of discourse community, 28
first language literature/research	in expressivism, 30
approaches dominating, 8	for second language writing stu-
audience theory in, 30–1	dents, 141
on feedback, 178–9	Gordon, C. J., 91
•	, , ,



Index 237

Grabe, W., 191, 195, 198, 199, 201, Hopper, P. J., 217 205, 206 Horowitz, D., 16–17, 29, 73, 74, grades, 62, 65-6, 156, 170 106 - 7Graham, M., 180, 186 humanities-based approach, 17 grammar, 110 comments/feedback on, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 164, 165, 166, ideas, 41 168, 172, 173, 178, 181, 182, expansion of, 211 183-7, 183t progression of, 200 grammatical accuracy, time and, ideology, in composition theory, 34 140 - 1imitation, 12, 211 grammatical universals, 53 improvement in writing, see writing Greenberg, K., 204 quality Grimm, N., 62 in-class writing guided composition, see controlled see also home vs. class composition composition information habit formation, 12, 13 direct explication of, 27 Hairston, M., 8, 57, 66 reading as source of, 93 Hakuta, K., 121-2 information retrieval, 111, 123 Hall, C., 46, 49 language as constraint on, 121, Hamp-Lyons, L., 9-10, 204 Hartvigsen, M. K., 151-2 122 instruction Hayes, J. R., 26, 110 considerations for, 103-7 Hendrickson, J., 180 effect on second language writing, Hiebert, E. H., 91 higher-order thinking skill, 26 and first language use in second Hildebrand, J., 41–2, 48 language writing, 123-4 Hillocks, G., Jr., 38, 61, 64, 155, methodology, 17 179 in summarization, 212 Hinds, J., 27, 94 n2 in transfer, 90, 91, 98, 100 Hirokawa, K., 75 see also pedagogy; teaching Hispanic students, 181 integrative thinking, 40 interaction, 10, 18, 20, 32, 167 see also Spanish-speaking subjects Hoetker Ash, B., 73 of language proficiency with cogniholistic evaluation/scoring, 49, 79, tive processes, 98 95, 115, 194, 203–4 reader-text, 30 guide to, 181, 187–8 reading-writing, 90, 92, 99-100 home vs. class composition, 143writer-text, 31 4, 147–50, 148t, 149t, 150t interactive approaches, 8 of writing quality: incorporation feedback procedures, 176 of background information reality/truth in, 31-2 study, 233–4, 223t writer in, 26-7 holistic reading, 79 interdependence hypothesis, 95, 97 "internal truth" hypothesis, 31 home vs. class composition holistic evaluation, 143-4, 147-International Study of Achievement 50, 148t, 149t, 150t in Written Composition, 52-3 individual differences, 159 intervention, 9, 15, 59-60, 64 syntactic analysis, 143, 144–7 intermediate draft, 58-9



interviews (method), 49, 159, 186	and literacy acquisition, 94
item-response theory methods, 75–6	language backgrounds
I 1 II 70 70 103 245	differences among, 199–203, 200t
Jacobs, H., 78, 79, 182, 215	language features, co-occurrences of,
Jacobs, S., 40, 43, 49, 77	195, 198, 199, 201, 205–6
Japan, 27	language proficiency, 16, 109
Japanese speakers, 47, 110, 142, 146–7, 151	effect on use of background information, 224
reading-writing relationship, 95 Jenkins, R., 65	and transfer of literacy skills, 94, 98, 99
Johns, A. M., 7–8, 73, 93, 141	language skills
Johnson, C., 47, 50, 110	academic, 213
Johnson, P. H., 205	acquisition of writing as, 52
Joint Matriculation Board (Manches-	and literacy skills, 95-6, 97-8
ter, England), 79	language switching, 39, 111, 120
Jones, S., 39–40, 41, 42–3, 45–6, 48, 49, 109–10	language systems, separate, 97, 98, 100
journal writing, 25	latent trait analysis, 75-6
journals	Lautamatti, L., 104, 127, 128
dialogue, 43	Lay, N., 39, 42, 45, 46, 48, 50,
professional, 28	110–11, 118
	learners, and teacher's verbal feed-
Kaplan, R. B., 13–14, 191, 195, 201	back, 155, 156, 159, 173-4,
Keller-Cohen, D., 70–1	175 n15
Kennedy, M. L., 213, 225, 226	learning, 12, 211
Knoblauch, C. H., 58, 59, 60–1, 63,	Lees, E. O., 64
64, 65	Leki, I., 105, 126, 155
Korea, 27	lexical problems, 212
Krapels, A. R., 8, 26, 103, 110 <i>n</i> 1, 142	lexical variables, study of response to different topic types, 192, 192t,
Krashen, S., 37, 42, 64, 88, 140–1	195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 202
Kroll, B., 1–5, 8, 73, 103–7, 159,	lexicon, quantitative differences in
221	analysis of, 191
Kuhn, T. S., 27	linguistic code, 103, 151
	linguistic competence, 40, 42, 43,
Lalande, J. II, 180, 186	44, 49, 52
language	linguistic differences, topic types/
in cognitivism, 32–3	tasks, 194
as constraint, 103, 110, 117–18	linguistic difficulty level, 75
in expressivism, 32	linguistic patterns, co-occurrences,
function of, 8	200
in social constructivism, 27, 28, 33	literacy, 69
sources of, in written texts, 24–5,	academic, 29
32-4	acquisition of, 94
storage in memory, 121–2	multilingual, 52
and topic, 120	multiple, 28–9
see also entries under first lan-	second language, 94
guage; second language	literacy skills, 7
language acquisition/development, 2,	acquisition of second language,
111, 176	110



Index 239

first language, 2, 94 motivation, 18, 45, 58, 88 feedback and, 62, 173 transfer of, 10, 94-100, 99t Littlefield, J. H., 141 Murphy, S., 73, 74, 75, 141, 191, Lo, W., 109 205 Lomax, R. G., 45, 92-3 Murray, D., 25 Lunsford, A., 30 Myers, M., 3 McKay, S. L., 104, 152, 204 narrative prose, 14, 197, 201 McLaughlin, B., 96, 97, 121 narrow reading, 64 Macrorie, K., 25 native language, see first language Martin-Betancourt, M., 46, 48, 49, native speakers of English, 9, 47, 50 106, 213 mechanics, 158, 159, 160, 164, 165, co-occurrence of language features 166, 168, 172, 178 in discourse of, 198 memory, 120, 121-2 difficulty of academic writing for, see also short-term memory mental note (feedback), 159, 169, reaction to written commentary, 62 mental processes, 10, 26 response to topic types, 191, 194, Meyer, B. J. F., 27 199-203, 204 Michigan English Language Battery revision strategies, 126 (MELAB), 74, 79, 80 teaching writing to, 11 Michigan Placement Test, 41 writing-skill acquisition by, 1 Michigan Proficiency Test, 44 written response to writing of, 58, Michigan Writing Assessment, 82 60 minority users of English, 76 NCTE National Writing Project, 64 see also under specific language Nelson-Denny Reading Test (Form group E), 213 models, 30 nondirectional hypothesis (model), ESL writing instruction, 11 90-2, 93 feedback, 179 nongeneralizability phenomena, 97 first language composition theory, nonnative speakers 24 - 5revision strategies, 126 language comprehension and prouse of background information, duction, 212 reading-writing relationship, 88, see also under specific language 89-93 group skill in using background informa-Norman, D. A., 97 tion, 211 theory/research/practice relationoral approach, 12, 24 oral language system, 94 ship, 7, 19, 19f transfer of literacy skills, 10, 98, oral response, 61 see also verbal reports 99–100, 99t organization, 104–5, 142, 143, 212 comments on, 158, 159, 160, writing development, 76, 77 model passages, 12, 14 modes of discourse, see discourse 161–4, 165, 166, 168, 171–2, modes Mohan, B., 109 fluency at level of, 149-50 monitor model, 42, 140-1 patterns (modes), 14 Moragne e Silva, M., 110 time and, 141



240 Index

original explanation, 216-17, 219methodology in, 82-3 21 revision in, 15, 126, 143, 175n Ostler, S., 201 strategies in, 43 "outsider" status, 28-9, 31, 33 writer in, 25-6 writing classes in, 227 paradigm shift, 8, 42 process data, 82 Paradis, M., 121 process/product debate, 180-1 paragraphs, 14, 127, 128, 140, 144, product, 8, 143 147, 157 proficiency levels/skill areas, 104ordering of ideas, 200 paraphrasing, 106, 213, 216, 217, studies of, 49 219, 221, 222, 224, 225, 227 product analysis, 40 passive-voice verbs, 195, 196, 201 proficiency, 81 patterns, prescribed, 14 differential, 149-50, 152, 153 and skill areas, in written prodpedagogy process-oriented, 38, 42 ucts, 104-5 see also instruction; teaching and summarization, 212 threshold level of, 93, 95, 96, 97, Perl, S., 38, 39, 44, 179 Persian speakers, 142, 147-8 98, 99 personal prose, 25, 42, 202 and writing strategies, 46–7 Pfingstag, N., 41, 44, 48, 49 see also language proficiency phrasing for correctness, 40 pronouns, 196, 198-9, 202-3 Pianko, S., 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 221 protocol analysis, 51 Pincas, A., 12 see also composing aloud, protocol plagiarism, 221, 225, 226 purpose, 75, 76, 134, 135 planning, 8, 143, 211, 212 Purves, A., 200 in cognitivism, 26 in expressivism, 25 questionnaires, 49 first language in, 111, 112, 113student, 159, 165t, 170 18, 118–22, 123–4 teacher, 158, 159, 160, 174 in native language, 103-4 quotation, 106, 213, 216, 217, 219topic type and, 106 21, 222, 224, 225, 226 portfolio approach (assessment), 65, Raimes, A., 15, 26, 38, 42, 43–5, Portuguese-speaking students, 105, 46, 48, 49, 50, 180–1, 186 156 - 77Rasch analysis, 75 praise, 61, 62, 63, 174 reader, 8, 9, 20, 32–3, 42, 77 undelivered, 160, 161, 164, 172–3 in controlled composition, 13 see also comments (teacher); feedin English for academic purposes back; written response orientation, 17 predictive validity, 72 expert, 31 prewriting activities, 26, 39, 103-4 first language, 7, 18 problem-solving, writing as, 25–6 interaction with text, 30, 203 Problem-solving Strategies for Writin process approach, 16 ing (Flowers), 26 proficient, 213 process, in cognitivism, 26, 30 'reading the writer," 78 see also writing process research reality/truth in, 31 process approach, 7, 8, 12, 15-16, as source of error, 81 20, 126, 155, 175 n15 teacher as, 59, 164, 166, 168 criticism of, 16-17 trained, 79



and validity in direct test of writ-	subjects of, 48
ing, 73, 76, 81–2	substance of, 52–3
reader expectations, culturally based, 94 n2	themes in, 39
	valid, reliable, 19, 20
reader training, 81–2	see also case study approach
reading, 211, 212	(research)
incorporation of, into academic as-	research design, 51
signments, 106–7	and contradictory results, 52
as information source, 93	feedback on compositions, 156–9,
learning writing from, 226–7	173
narrow, 64	home vs. class composition, 142–4
purposeful, 226	second language writing process,
strategies for, 96	48–9
see also background information	teacher response to student writ-
reading ability, 100	ing, 181–2
and summaries/syntheses, 213	writing process research, 38–9
reading comprehension, 205	research methodology, 51-2, 206
reading literature, ESL, 30	background reading text, 214–15
reading skills	home vs. class composition, 142–4
effect on use of background infor-	researchers, 3–4
mation, 225	response expectations, 75, 76
and writing skills, 213	response to writing, 9
reading-to-writing model, 89–90,	different topic types, 191–210
92–3	see also comments (teacher); feed-
reading-writing relationship (connec-	back; written response
tion), 10, 88–101, 106	restructuring, 97, 98, 99–100
first language, 89–93	revision, 8, 15, 26, 44, 143, 158 n5,
second language, 93–4, 99–100	174, 212
reality/truth	and academic writing, 211
in expressivism, 31	at discourse level, 41
in interactive approaches, 31–2	effect on meaning, 38
in first language composition the-	feedback and, 105-6, 155-6, 185,
ory, 24–5, 31–2	186
in social constructivism, 32	by good writers, 179
recursiveness, 15, 26, 41, 212	in process approach, 15, 126, 143,
Reid, J., 9, 16, 74, 106, 227	175n
reinforcement, positive, 174	situational and contextual causes
see also praise	of, 126
reliability (assessment), 72–3, 76, 80	in Spanish and English, 46
interrater, 128n, 144	stage of, 187
see also scoring procedures,	text analysis in, 104
reliability	see also rewriting
research	revision strategies, 156
comparability of, 51	topical structure analysis as, 126-
empirical, 19, 20	39
future, 3, 11, 51–3, 205–6, 224–5	rewriting, 158 n5, 161
interpretation of, 50	feedback and, 181, 182, 183-7,
logistics of, 51–2	184t
second language writing, 2, 37–56, 179–80	incorporating teacher comments, 170, 171, 175



rhetoric, 1, 7-8, 178, 195	second language learners
rhetorical assessment, syntactic anal-	and focus on disciplinary knowl-
ysis and, 149-50, 151-3	edge, 74–5
rhetorical competency, 147–8, 148t,	reaction to written commentary,
151–2	62–3
rhetorical control, 143-4	reading-writing connection, 88-
rhetorical features, 205-6	101
rhetorical preferences, 52	teachers of, 2
rhetorical specifications, 75	variety among, 76, 205
rhetorical strategies, 109	see also second language writers
rhetorical structure, 40	second language literacy acquisition,
rhetorical theory, 24	94
Ricento, T., 200, 206	second language writers
Rivers, W., 13	developmental levels of, 43
Robb, T., 180, 186	variety among, 44-5, 205
Rogerian argumentation, 31–2	see also writers
Rorschach, E., 42, 48, 49	second language writing
Ross, S., 180, 186	assessment issues in, 69–87
Rumelhart, D. E., 97	contrastive rhetoric in, 203
Rushton, J., 197	evaluation of, through timed writ-
Ruth, L., 73, 74, 75, 141, 191, 205	ing task, 191, 202
	goals in teaching, 58
Sanders, S. E., 141	history of, 7
Santos, T., 61	process issues in, 48–51
SAS General Linear Models Proce-	as purposeful and contextualized
dure, 115	communicative interaction, 18
Scheffé test, 194, 224	using native language in, 103-4
schema theory, 30–1	see also English as a second lan-
schemata, 16, 17, 30, 31, 32, 33	guage composition
Schroeder, T. S., 179	second language writing instruction
scientific writing, 29, 128	empirically based studies, 103-7
scoring procedures, 9, 74	philosophical underpinnings of, 4,
reliability, 69–70, 72–3, 80, 82,	7–10
128 <i>n</i> , 144	second language writing research
and validity in direct test of writ-	contradictions in, 50–1, 52
ing, 73, 76, 78–81	overview of, 37–56
see also assessment of student	survey of, 39–48
writing; holistic evaluation/	Semke, H. D., 62–3, 64
scoring	sentence choice, 200
second language	sentence progressions, 127–8, 130,
reading-writing relationship, 93–4,	200
99–100	types of, 130–2, 131 <i>t</i> , 134
text, 7, 18	sentence structure, 157, 202
see also English as a second lan-	sentences 14, 198, 200
guage (ESL) composition	sentences, 14, 198, 200
second language acquisition, 88 second language composition, first	complex, 196
	length of, 196
language composition theories	Shanahan, T., 90, 92–3 Shanklin, N. K. L., 90
and development of, 24–36	onankini, in. K. L., 70



Index 243

Shaughnessy, M. P., 141-2 structural variables, 205 Shortreed, I., 180, 186 Structure of Scientific Revolutions short-term memory, 111, 113 (Kuhn), 27 overload, 110 student checklist, 158–9, 170 Silva, T., 7, 24, 37, 50, 52, 93 students skill areas, and proficiency levels, attitudes toward feedback, 164-8, 104 - 5skill level, 111, 201 expectations of, 173-4 and revision, 41, 44, 126, 179, intentions in writing, 64-5 perception of teacher's role, 166, social constructionism, 8, 25 166t, 168 audience in, 31 response to comments, 180 language component in, 33 strategies in handling feedback, reality/truth in, 32 169**–**71, 174*–*5 writer in, 27-9 teacher response to writing of, social context, 82 178 - 90social pressure, and teaching of writsee also learners Stylefiles (computer program), 192, socialization to academic commu-192t, 194 nity, 17 summaries, 213, 216, 217, 219, 221, sociocultural context, 17 222, 227 Sommers, N. I., 41, 60, 126, 179, summarization, 106, 211, 212, 225 180, 186 surface level error, 38, 61, 126, 212 Soter, A., 201 Swales, J. M., 28, 73, 74, 75 source materials, 17 syntactic accuracy, 142 see also background information, syntactic analysis, 191 study of home vs. class composition, 143, Spaan, M., 74 144 - 7Spack, R., 26, 29, 141, 226 and rhetorical assessment, 149-50, Spanish-speaking subjects, 39, 46, 151 - 348, 106, 142, 147–8, 151 syntactic variables response to topic types, 191, 194, study of response to different topic 199-200 types, 192, 192t, 195, 196, Spatt, B., 226 197-8, 199, 201, 202 specific purpose writing, 75 syntax, 20, 88, 96, 140, 143, 212 speech, language as, 12 proficiency in, 104-5, 142, 143, Sperling, M., 62, 63, 155 152 Spivey, N. N., 212-13, 225 quantitative differences in, 191 Standard English cultures, 28, 29 topic type and, 192, 195, 196, standardized testing, 49, 69 197–8, 199, 200, 201, 205 Stanford Achievement Test, 212 synthesis, 211, 212-13, 225 Stiff, R., 179 in transfer of literacy skills, 97, Stotsky, S., 88–90, 213 98, 100 structural components underlying writing and reading, 14, 97, 98, 99 t-unit, 215 structural levels, 140 tasks, 9, 16, 17, 48-9, 82, 191 structural linguistics, 12 academic writing, 17, 29, 40 structural patterns, recurring, 195 approach to, 2



tasks (cont.)	components in, 9–10
cross-cultural, 204	as educational power, 204
representative, 193	measurement error, 81
topic, 191, 205	score reliability, 9, 69-70
transferal, 29	social dimension in, 78
and validity in direct test of writ-	standardized, 49, 69
ing, 73–6	teaching to, 173
Taylor, B., 15, 89	timed, 141
teacher bias, 172-3	Tetroe, J., 45-6, 48, 49, 109-10
teacher response	text, 3, 7, 8, 9, 20, 82
form vs. content focus in, 178–90	in controlled composition, 13
see also comments (teacher); feed- back; written response	co-occurrence of patterns in, 195, 198, 199, 200, 205–6
teachers, 1–2, 3–4, 7	in current-traditional rhetoric, 14
in cognitivism, 26	discourse-level features of, 104
and expressivism, 25, 30	in English for academic purposes
in interactive approaches, 27	orientation, 17
persona of, 59–60	global/local coherence, 127-8
relationship with students, 57	in interactive approaches, 27
role of, 15, 59–60, 65, 164, 166, 168	linguistic similarities/différences in 195
role of, as perceived by students,	nature of, 32
166, 166 <i>t</i> , 168	in process approach, 16
and theory, 33	reader interactivity with, 30, 203
and topic choice, 205	sources of language in written,
and transfer of literacy skills, 100	24–5
view of reality and truth, 32	writing/reading, 40
Teachers of English to Speakers of	text analysis, 195
Other Languages (TESOL), 2,	in revision, 104
28	text production, 8, 109, 110
teaching	time in, 141
focus of, 178	translation and, 111, 112, 113-24
goals in, 58	text structure, 104
implications of background infor-	in reading-writing relationship:
mation study for, 225-7	first language, 91
process oriented, 43	text structure research, 76
reality in, 31	text types, similarities/difference,
theory of, 24	205-6
see also instruction; pedagogy	textbooks, 13, 15, 37, 178
Teaching and Learning English as a	expressivist, 25
Second Language (Fries), 12	textual information, function and lo
technical prose, 202	cation of, 213, 216–22, 218t,
TESOL, 2, 28	220f, 224
Test of English as a Foreign Lan-	textual variables, 192
guage (TOEFL), 70, 140 n1	theory
Test of Written English (TWE),	first language composition, 36
70, 74, 79, 80, 143, 193	second language composition in-
tests/testing, 69	struction, 37
and comparability of topic tasks,	second language writing, 3, 19
205	theory building, 7–8



think-aloud protocol, see composing aloud, protocol	of first language-related content, 112–13
thinking	of first language writing process to
in cognitivism, 26	second, 46, 49, 213
integrative, 40	of literacy skills, 94–9
Thompson, S. A., 217	of literacy skills: models, 98, 99-
time	100, 99 <i>t</i>
as constraint, 104, 221	in reading-writing relationship:
effect on product, 8	first language, 89–93
and grammatical accuracy,	translation, 46, 109, 110, 111–12,
140–1	118, 122, 124
and performance on home vs. class	in second language writing pro-
compositions, 140–54	cess, 47
timed tests, 141	TWE, see Test of English as a For-
TOEFL, see Test of English as a For-	eign Language (TOEFL)
eign Language (TOEFL)	eigh Language (TOLIL)
topic, 9, 27, 127, 130, 134, 212	
and first language use in second	universals, 53
language writing, 47, 109,	Urzua, C., 43
111	01244, 0., 13
language and, 120	
and performance differences, 76	Vai (language), 97
self-initiated, 76	validity, 9, 69, 70–3, 80, 82
as variable, 73–4, 75	and components of direct tests,
topic choice, 76, 205	73–82
topic development, 130	kinds of, 70–3
cultural experience and, 204	variables, 9, 151
topic information	reader/rater, 81
first language in retrieval of, 110-	study of response to different topic
11, 112–24	types, 192, 192t, 196
stored in memory, 103-4	of task component of writing test,
topic tasks, 191, 205	73, 75
topic types, 49, 50	affecting transfer, 98
qualitative differences between,	writer, 76
203–4	verbal protocols, 158, 160, 170
responding to, 191-210	verbal reports, 155–77
and student performance, 106	vocabulary, 47, 49
topical structure analysis, 104	comments on, 157, 158, 159, 160,
computer-assisted instructional les-	161–4, 165, 166, 168, 171
son, 133	culture-based, 120–1
diagram and interpretation, 129t,	of discourse community, 28
130–2, 131 <i>t</i> , 132 <i>t</i>	inadequate, 46
in ESL writing classroom, 132-4	and topic type, 198, 202
how to perform, 128-30	15p10 17p0, 15 0, 202
teaching of, as revision strategy for	
ESL writers, 126-39	Wald, B., 95–6
theory of, 127–8	Weir, C., 73
transfer, 2, 10, 29, 109-10, 203	Weissberg, R. C., 128
of composing ability across lan-	Whalley, E., 9, 61, 62, 105-6, 151,
guages, 53	174 n14
· =	



Wilkinson, A., 78	(connection); second language
Winograd, P. N., 225	writing
Witte, S. P., 38, 46, 127, 128	writing across the curriculum, 65, 77
Wolfe, A., 70–1	writing process, 3, 7, 8, 26, 32, 110
word choice, 111, 142, 200, 202	feedback during, 179
word length, 196, 198, 202	focus on, 181
writer	individual differences in, 16
in controlled composition, 13	truncated, 43
as creator of language, 27, 32, 33	writing process research, 49, 179,
in English for academic purposes	225
orientation, 17	first language, 37–9
expert/inexpert, 47	history of, 39–48
in first language composition the-	L1/L2 relationship, 37–9
ory, 24–9	recurring issues in, 48–51
focus on, 8, 16	second language, 37, 39–56
good/poor, 179	suggestions for future, 51–3
in interactive approaches, 26–7	writing quality
in process approaches, 25–6	construct of, 80, 81
proficient/less proficient, 212	improvement in, 58–9, 60–1
reality/truth in, 31	writing sample, 70–1
revision strategies of inexperi-	writing skills
enced, 126	acquisition of, 1, 10
skilled/unskilled, 41, 43–4, 49	reading in acquisition of, 88, 93
in social constructionist view,	reading skills and, 213
27–9	transfer of, 96
as thinkers, 76	writing strategies, 40, 41, 109–10
and validity in direct test, 73,	first language, 110
76–8	writing-to-reading model, 90, 92–3
writer-reader relationship, in interac-	written discourse accent, 104, 152
tive approaches, 26-7	written response, 181
"writer-responsible" text, 27	effect of, 60–1
writer/task interaction, 203	issues in, 57–68
Writer's Workbench (computer se-	and learners' interests, 155
ries), 74	student reactions to, 61–3
text-analysis program, 192, 192t,	see also comments (teacher);
194, 195, 196t, 198, 199	feedback
writing	written sources, in academic compo-
in academic orientation, 17, 211	sitions, 211–30
as art, 25	
directions in ESL, 2, 30, 11–33	Yorio, C., 105
effective/ineffective, 39–40	Young, G., 197
in EFL, 156, 157–77	Young, R., 13 <i>n</i>
good, 9, 152	
in process approach, 15-16	Zamel, V., 3, 15, 26, 38–9, 40–1,
and summarization, 212	42, 43, 47, 48, 49, 51–2, 61,
time and, 141	180, 186
see also first language writing;	Zebroski, J. T., 24, 33–4
reading-writing relationship	Ziv, N., 64