

# Subject Index

- access, increased of Web-based tests 25–26, 57
- ACT Placement Test* (American College Test) 32–33, 49–50
- American Psychological Association 81
- anxiety, of test-takers 17, 51, 95
- applied linguistics, and technology
  - comparison approach 116–17
  - innovative approach 117
  - language ability, and use 107–9
  - language teaching, and understanding assessment 113–14
- SLA, and language assessment 109–13
- ‘tunnel approach’ 116
- artificial intelligence 112
- Assessing Language for Specific Purposes* (Douglas) 1
- assessment, systems underlying
  - activity selection process 75–76, 78
  - design architecture, common framework for 74–75
  - functionality requirements 74
  - presentation process 75, 76, 78
  - response processing 75, 76–77, 78
  - summary scoring process 75, 77, 78
- authenticity
  - contextualization 27–28
  - interactional 9–10
  - situational 9–10, 28, 29
  - technology, and language use options 13–14, 94–95
- authoring tools
  - assessment purpose 62, 79
  - classroom assessment 6
  - commercial companies, working with 79
  - design issues 83
  - examples of 72–73 (*see also* Web CT)
  - ‘in-house’ systems, and flexibility 77–78
  - number and variety of 63
  - professional quality, need for 114–15
  - see also* assessment, systems underlying
- automated speaking assessment 10
- automatic response scoring *see* linguistic responses
- Blackboard 73
- Brigham Young University 8, 88–89
- Business Language Testing Service* (BULATS) 26
- CALICO Journal* 63
- CALL *see* computer-assisted language learning

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-84021-7 - Assessing Language through Computer Technology

Carol A. Chapelle and Dan Douglas

Index

[More information](#)*Subject index* 131

- CATs *see* computer-adaptive tests
- CEF Construct Project (Alderson et al) 115
- classroom assessment
- authoring software 6
  - authoring software, and test creation 4
  - online language courses 4–6
  - stand-alone tests 5
- cloze test responses 54
- Common European Framework 104, 115
- communicative competence
- computer-adaptive tests 34
  - computer-mediated communication 108–9
  - language and technology, joint role of 107–8
- computational linguistics 112
- computer-adaptive tests (CATs)
- advantages and disadvantages of 86
  - construct validity 86, 91, 93–94
  - input-response interaction 23, 24, 32–34
  - item difficulty 7–9
  - Item Response Theory 8, 32–33
  - item selection 50–51
  - placement test, and usefulness 88–89, 90
  - quality and efficiency 8, 87
  - reading assessment 1, 51
  - security issues 58
- computer-assisted language learning (CALL) 63, 113
- computer-method effects *see* method effects
- ‘Computer technology in test construction and processing’ (Baker) 81
- Computerized Oral Proficiency Instrument (COPI) 38
- construct definition
- clarification, of test constructs 12–14
  - detailing, of test constructs 14–15
  - and new methods 10–12
- construct operationalization 14–15
- construct theory, and scoring methods 56–57
- construct validity 86, 91, 93–94
- ‘Construct validity of computer-based tests’ (Green) 81
- contexts of language use
- authenticity 27–28
  - constructed contexts, and performance 111–12
  - language tests as 20–21
  - method effects 15–16
- convenience 22, 23
- correctness, degrees of 56–57
- course management software 6
- Criterion* (Educational Testing Service) 10, 14–15, 35–37
- criterion-referenced testing 5
- cross-disciplinary collaboration
- intelligent computer-assisted language learning systems 115
  - professional quality authoring tools, need for 114–15
- data handling, use of computers for 2
- developers *see* test developers
- DIALANG Web-based test 5, 25–26, 32, 57, 58, 104, 115
- dichotomous *versus* polytomous
- scoring
  - correctness, degrees of 56–57
  - short constructed responses 55
  - text-sequencing task 52–53
- difficulty *see* item difficulty
- Discovery School Quiz Center (Discovery School) 73
- e-rater* (Educational Testing Service) 36–37, 54
- Educational Measurement* 81
- educational measurement, and test quality 3, 81
- Educational Testing Service 10
- efficiency
- classroom assessments 6
  - evaluative research 87, 88–89
  - test performance difference 44
- error analysis 4–5
- essays, scoring of 36–37, 53–54, 110
- Eurocentres Vocabulary Size Test 1

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-84021-7 - Assessing Language through Computer Technology

Carol A. Chapelle and Dan Douglas

Index

[More information](#)132 *Subject index*

- evaluation
  - evaluative research
    - affect, performance and efficiency, impact on 88–89
    - linguistic responses 87–88
  - quality, promotion of
    - computer-adaptive tests, advantages and disadvantages of 86
  - educational measurement
    - perspective 81
  - interface issues 83–85
  - positive qualities, focus on 86
  - unique test factors, of CALT 81–83
  - see also* test usefulness; validation
- fairness, issues of 3
- feedback, and increased options 81, 83
- 'four-process architecture' *see* assessment, systems underlying
- gap-filling tasks 55
- 'General Performance Analysis' 4
- Graduated Record Examination (GRE)
  - analytic writing section 52
  - security issues 58
  - test item comparison studies 43–44
- grammar tests
  - SLA grammar acquisition, knowledge about 110–11
  - test item selection 50–51
  - see also* WebCT
- Grammar/Usage Testlet (*ACT Placement Test*) 32–33, 49–50
- Guidelines for Computer-Based Tests and Interpretations* (APA) 81
- high-stakes tests
  - and fairness 3
  - and security 57, 58
- Hot Potatoes (Half-baked) 72
- human and machine ratings, correlation between 87–88
- IBM Model 805 Test Scoring Machine 34, 35f
- IELTS *see* International English Language Testing System
- impact, of CALT
  - evolutionary *versus* revolutionary changes 103–7, 117
  - language assessment, advances in 105–7
  - language-related knowledge, need for increased 103–4
- instructions, test 22, 23, 26, 27
- intelligent computer-assisted language learning systems 115
- intelligent tutoring systems 5, 117
- interactional authenticity 9–10
- interface issues
  - planning and initial design 81, 83, 84–85
  - usability testing/field trials and fine tuning 83, 85–86
- International English Language Testing System (IELTS) 25
- Internet, and increased access to tests 25–26, 57
- item analysis 32
- item difficulty
  - computer-adaptive tests 7–9
  - examinee anxiety 51
  - true score theory 32
  - and validity 49–50
- Item Response Theory 8, 32–33
- Journal of Educational Measurement* 81
- language ability
  - versus* computer-related ability 42–43, 45
  - technology choices 107
  - and use 107–9
- language for specific purposes (LSP) 16
- Language Learning and Technology* (journal) 63
- language-related knowledge, need for increased 103–4
- language teachers
  - assessment, need for understanding of 113–14
  - classroom assessment 4–6

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-84021-7 - Assessing Language through Computer Technology

Carol A. Chapelle and Dan Douglas

Index

[More information](#)*Subject index* 133

- fairness, issues of 3
- test selection 3–4
- Language Testing Research Colloquium 18
- large-scale assessment
  - ability levels, optimization of 87
  - administration of 25
  - transformative impact of CALT on 103
- linguistic responses, scoring of
  - construct theory, and scoring methods 56–57
  - dictation test task 55–56, 110
  - essays, scoring of 53–54, 110
  - evaluative research 87–88
  - short constructed responses 54–55
- literacies, multiple technology-defined 17–18, 108–9
- literacy studies, and language assessment 107–9
- Longman English Assessment* 9
- Longman English Interactive* (Rost) 2, 113
- low-stakes tests *see* DIALANG Web-based test
- Market Leader* (Longman) 5, 113
- method effects
  - contexts of language use 15–16
  - language performance 21–22, 47–48
- Michigan English Language Assessment Battery (MELAB) 25
- National College English Test (China) 25
- natural language processing (NLP) 10, 14, 23, 24, 36, 37
- open-ended responses 94, 110
- Oral English Proficiency Test* (Purdue University) 38
- outline completion tasks 55
- partial-credit scores, and reliability 90
- performance analysis 4–5
- PhonePass* (Ordinate Corporation) 10
- PLATO computer, and French curriculum 5
- polytomous scoring *see* dichotomous *versus* polytomous scoring
- practicality
  - constructed response language test tasks 38
  - test developer expertise 92, 96
- preparation materials 27, 46
- proficiency tests 5
- pronunciation analysis 5
- psychometric models 87
- Purdue ITA speaking test 49
- quality
  - test quality checklist 3–4
  - see also* evaluation
- Questionmark 73
- rater help 38
- ReCall Journal* 63
- reductionism, and computer-adaptive tests 50, 51
- research, implications of CALT for
  - construct definition 12–15
  - SLA research, connecting to 16–17
  - test consequences, investigation of 17–18
  - validation, rethinking 15–16
  - validity, threats to 60–61
  - see also* applied linguistics, and technology
- rubric/instructions, test 22, 23, 26, 27
- score interpretation *see* validation; validity, threats to
- second language acquisition (SLA)
  - ‘assessed SLA’ 113
  - constructed contexts, and performance 111–12
  - ESL writing levels, work on 110–11
  - grammar acquisition sequence, professional knowledge about 110–11
  - ‘instructed SLA’ 113
  - ‘intelligent assessment’ 112–13
  - processing conditions, effect of 109–13
  - research implications 16–17

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-84021-7 - Assessing Language through Computer Technology

Carol A. Chapelle and Dan Douglas

Index

[More information](#)**134** *Subject index*

- security issues 8, 22, 23, 41, 57–58
- self-access classroom activities 6, 7
- self-assessment, computer-assisted 26, 113
- SET-10 (Ordinate Corporation) 37–38, 52
- situational authenticity 9–10, 28, 29
- SLA *see* second language acquisition
- speech recognition systems 10, 37–38
- statistical analysis, computerized of non-computer tests 2
- Surrey English Language Institute 6, 7
- task types, new 28–29, 30–31
- teachers *see* language teachers
- ‘Technical guidelines for assessing computer-adaptive tests’ (Green et al) 81
- test developers, implications for
  - authenticity 9–10
  - automatic response analysis 10
  - computer-adaptive tests, and item difficulty 7–9
  - expertise 96
  - new methods, availability of 6
  - test constructs, and new methods 10–12
- test method characteristics
  - assessment characteristics 23, 24
  - complex language performance, scoring of 34–35
  - contextualization, and authenticity 27–28
  - help features and tutorials 28–29, 30–31
  - input-response interaction 23, 24, 31–34
  - language tests, as contexts of language use 20–21
  - method effects 21–22
  - natural language processing technology 23, 24, 36, 37
  - practicality, of test tasks 38
  - rater help 38
  - rating procedure 37–38
  - rubric/instructions 22, 23, 26, 27
  - scoring, and use of computers 34–35
  - ‘setting’ 22, 23
  - test circumstances 22, 23, 25–26
  - written responses, automated system for 35–37
- Test of English as a Foreign Language see* TOEFL
- Test of Spoken English (Educational Testing Service) 87
- test selection 3–4
- test usefulness
  - authenticity 91, 94–95
  - construct validity 91, 93–94
  - evidence for argument about 89
  - framework for 80
  - impact 92, 95
  - interactiveness 91, 95
  - practicality 92, 96
  - reliability 90–92
- Test Validity* 81
- ‘testlet approach’ 32–33, 49–51
- text analysis programs 53
- text-sequencing task 52–53, 110
- TOEFL (*Test of English as a Foreign Language*)
  - comparison studies 44
  - computer-based test
    - help functions 28, 39
    - help option 12–13
    - Highlighting Task Tutorial 28–29, 31
    - Instruction Screen 28, 39
    - IRT/CAT techniques 32
    - preparation materials 17, 27
    - Reading Task 28, 39, 52
  - computer delivery of 1, 2
  - familiarity study 46, 88, 89
- true score theory 32
- UCLA’s ‘in-house’ authoring tool 77–78
- usefulness *see* test usefulness
- validation
  - assessment-use argument
    - since*-statements 100–101
    - utilization argument 99–102
  - interpretative argument 101
    - scores, inferences based on 97
    - since*-statements 98–99
    - and threats to validity 98

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-84021-7 - Assessing Language through Computer Technology

Carol A. Chapelle and Dan Douglas

Index

[More information](#)

## Subject index 135

- unless*-statements 98–99
- positive washback 17–18
- research implications 15–16
- validity, threats to
  - adaptive item selection 41
    - item difficulty 49–50, 51
  - research responses 60
  - test content 50–51
- automatic response scoring 41
  - complex constructed tasks, scoring of 52
  - correctness, degrees of 56–57
  - linguistic responses 53–57
  - non-linguistic complex responses 52–53
  - research responses 60
- future research, issues for 60–61
- negative consequences 41, 58–59
- security, compromised 41, 57–58
- summary of 40–42
- task types, new 41, 48–49
- test performance difference 41
  - computer- *versus* language ability-related challenges 42–43, 45
  - computer-based test scores, inferences based on 40, 42–43
  - computer familiarity differences 45–46
  - differential validity 45–47
  - efficiency issue 44
  - method effects, interpretation of 47–48
  - research responses 60
  - test comparison studies 43–45
- vocabulary assessment 1, 51
- washback
  - negative 58
  - positive 17–18
- Web-based Language Assessment System* (WebLAS), University of California 9, 28, 55
- WebCT
  - description of 63–64
  - examinee records, viewing
    - individual test taker reports 69–70
    - response summaries 70–71
  - Respondus 64, 65–66, 71
  - response analysis 71
  - student access, giving
    - Control Panel* options 67
    - Designer Page*, for page modification 67, 68
    - test preview 67–68
  - task types, flexibility of 71–72
  - test, publishing
    - Preview & Publish* screen 66–67
  - test, taking
    - test format 68, 70
    - Test Homepage* 68–69
    - test instructions 68, 69
  - test creation
    - Create* button 65
    - Edit* screen 65–66
    - Preview* test ask 66
    - Retrieval and Reports* screen 67
    - Start* screen 64–65
    - test specification 64
- Writer's Workbench 53
- written responses, and automated response analysis 10, 35–37

Author index

Ackerman, T. 15

Alderson, J.C. 1, 5, 6, 10–11, 12, 16, 17, 22, 52, 54, 55, 57, 58, 104, 115, 117, 110

Almond, R.G. 74–7

Anbar, M. 55

Bachman, L.F. 2, 8, 20, 22, 80, 90, 97, 99–101, 112

Baker, E.L. 59, 81, 117

Bejar, I.I. 56, 103

Bennett, R.E. 58, 59, 103

Berbisada, N. 56, 110

Birenbaum, M. 88

Blais, J.-G. 87

Bleiler, T. 51

Bock, R.D. 8, 81

Bonk, W.J. 112

Boo, J. 32, 44

Boyle, T.A. 115

Bradlow, E.T. 32

Braun, H. 103

Bridgeman, B. 36–7

Brown, A. 8, 87

Brown, J.D. 8, 112

Brown, J.S. 116

Bruce, B.C. 108

Brutten, S. 8

Buck, G. 1, 22

Burstein, E. 54, 110

Burston, J. 8, 87

Bygate, M. 113

Campbell, J. 56, 110

Canale, M. 5, 50, 51, 117

Carr, N. 15

Carroll, J.B. 21

Carton A.S. 8, 87

Chalhoub-Deville, M. 8, 48, 87

Chanier, T. 115

Chapelle, C.A. 15, 17, 74, 90, 93, 112, 114, 116–17

Cheng, L. 114

Chodorow, M. 54, 110

Choi, I.-C. 32, 44, 98

Clark, J.L.D. 5

Cohen, A.D. 47, 97, 112

Cole, N. 103

Coniam, D. 13–14, 15, 44, 55–6, 110

Corbel, C. 11–12, 16, 117

Crookes G. 113

Crooks, T. 97

Crystal, D. 16

Curtis, A. 114

D’Arcy, S. 55

Dandonoli, P. 8, 87

Davidson, F. 2

Deville, C. 48

Dorans, N.J. 8, 42	Kim, K.S. 32, 44
Douglas, D. 1, 16, 22, 108, 111	Kirsch, I. 44, 46
Duguid, P. 116	Kuijper, H. 115
Dunkel, P. 8, 87	Kukich, K. 54, 110
Eckert, R.G. 115	Larsen, J.W. 8
Eignor, D. 42, 44, 45, 46	Laurier, M. 8, 86, 87, 96, 101
Embretson, S. 15	Linn, R.L. 8, 81
Farnum, M. 90	Liskin-Gasparro, J.E. 31
Figueres, N. 115	Lord, F.M. 8
Flaughner, R. 8, 42	Luoma, S. 26
Fowles, M.E. 54, 90, 110	Madsen, H.S. 8, 51, 88–9, 90, 93, 95
Fulcher, G. 34, 83–6, 89, 96, 101	Malabonga, V. 38
Gass, S.M. 113	Marty, F. 4
Ginther, A. 38	McKinley, R.L. 43
Green, B.F. 3, 8, 42, 81	Messick, S. 57
Gross, S. 87	Meunier, L.E. 86, 96, 101
Gruba, P. 13	Mills, C.N. 43
Hagen, L.K. 16	Mislevy, R.J. 8, 42, 74–7, 88
Halliday, M.A.K. 111	Molholt, G. 5, 87–8
Hambleton, R.K. 8	Monaghan, W. 36–7
Hamp-Lyons, L. 17, 58	Monville-Burston, M. 8
Hasan, R. 111	Noijons, J. 3, 81–3, 96, 101
Hegelheimer, V. 17, 90	Nold, G. 115
Helm, C. 55	Norfleet, L. 56, 110
Hendrickson, A.B. 51	Norris, J. 110, 112
Henning, G. 55, 90	Oller, J. 54
Hinkel, E. 111	Ortega, L. 112
Hogan, M.P. 108	Otto 4
Holland, V.M. 5, 15	Palmer, A.S. 22, 90
Hone, A.S. 56	Paltridge, B. 111
Hudson, T. 112	Pengelly, M. 115
Humphreys, L.B. 8, 81	Percsich, R. 52, 57
Inagaki, S. 110–11	Perkins, K. 8
Iwashita, N. 8, 87	Powers, D.E. 54, 90, 110
Jamieson, J. 17, 44, 45, 46, 56, 90, 110	Presler, A.M. 5
Kane, M.T. 97	Ramsey, P. 90
Kaplan, J. 5	Rassool, N. 108
Kaya-Carton, E. 8, 87	Read, J. 1
Kim, H.-Y. 110–11	Reckase, M.D. 8, 81
	Reese, C.M. 43



138 *Author index*

Reid, J. 53	Takala, S. 115
Robinson, P. 111	Tardieu, C. 115
Roever, C. 25, 57	Tarnanen, M. 26
Rogers, H.J. 8	Tatsuoka, K.K. 88
Rost, M. 2	Taylor, C. 44, 45, 46, 90
	Thissen, D. 8, 42, 45
Sams, M. 5	Tung, P. 8
Sawaki, Y. 47, 48	Twidale, M. 115
Schaeffer, G.A. 43	Tyner, K. 108
Self, J. 115	
Shermis, M.D. 8	Vispoel, W.P. 51
Skehan, P. 111, 113	
Smith, W.F. 115	Wainer, H. 8, 42, 45, 47, 50
Stansfield, C. 18	Warschauer, M. 18, 108–9
Steffen, M. 43	Watanabe, Y. 114
Steinberg, L. 8, 42, 45, 74–7	Weigle, S.C. 1
Stevenson J. 87	Williamson, D.M. 56
Stoynoff, S. 114	Wolfe-Quintero, K. 110–11
Swain, M. 113	Wresch, W. 53
Swaminathan, H. 8	
Szabo, G. 52, 57	Young, R. 8, 87