

**Corrections and additions in the book
“Bruhat-Tits theory: a new approach”**

by Tasho Kaletha and Gopal Prasad

CHAPTER 1

- In the last sentence of 1.3.29, delete “affine and extended”.
- Replace “ $W(\Psi)^{\text{aff}}$ ” by “ $W(\Psi)$ ” everywhere.

CHAPTER 2

- In Remark 2.5.11, replace “§7” by “§6”.

CHAPTER 6

- In the line just before the proof of Proposition 6.6.2, replace “and is part of Theorem 7.5.1” with “this is Proposition 9.4.35”.

CHAPTER 7

- At the end of the second paragraph of (the first page of) Chapter 7, add the following sentences “As discussed in §6.3, this endows the affine space $\mathcal{A}(S)$ with two (closely related) affine root systems $\Psi \subset \Psi' \subset \mathcal{A}(S)^*$ and the action of $N(k)$ on $\mathcal{A}(S)$ provides a map from $N(k)$ to the extended affine Weyl group $W(\Psi)^{\text{ext}}$ whose image contains the affine Weyl group $W(\Psi)$ (cf. §1.3 for definitions). It is proved in §6.3 that assertions AS 1 – AS 4 of Axiom 4.1.6 hold. *We will assume in addition that assertion AS 5 holds.* Given Propositions 6.3.13 and 6.6.2, this is known when G is quasi-split, and for a general G amounts to the assumption that, when G is semi-simple and simply connected, the image of the map $N(k) \rightarrow W(\Psi)^{\text{ext}}$ is precisely $W(\Psi)$. This is proved in this generality in Proposition 9.4.35, assuming that the residue field of k is perfect.
- In the second paragraph of the proof of Lemma 7.1.4, replace $-s$ with s , and in the fourth paragraph of the proof replace s by $-s$ and $\psi(\varphi)$ by $-\psi(\varphi)$.
- The sentence appearing just before Remark 7.4.2 should be replaced with “If $\Omega = \{x\}$, then instead of the subscript $\{x\}$ we will use x for simplicity.”
- In Remark 7.4.2 replace “a chamber” by “the standard chamber (that is, the chamber fixed by the subgroup $G(k)_x^\#$ described in the remark).”

- Just after the first sentence of §7.5 add “Then $G(k)^0 = G(k)$.” and replace $G(k)_c^0$ with $G(k)_c$ in the next sentence.
- Replace the second sentence of the proof of Theorem 7.5.1 with “According to Axiom 4.1.6 AS 5, the quotient \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to W^{aff} ”
- In the fourth paragraph of the proof of Theorem 7.5.1, replace ψ by $\alpha \in \Psi'$ and replace k at four places by r in the same paragraph. In the third paragraph from the bottom of the proof of this theorem, replace $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{J}$ by $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n\mathcal{J}n^{-1}$.
- Replace the sentence appearing just before the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 7.5.1, by “That the Weyl group of the Tits system is isomorphic to W^{aff} is simply our assumption that Axiom 4.1.6 AS 5 holds”.
- In the proof of Lemma 7.5.2, replace Z_{sc} by Z' , and replace “its preimage in $Z'(k)$ ” by “the preimage of $\mathcal{J}^\# \cap Z(k)$ in $Z'(k)$ ”.

CHAPTER 8

- In the second paragraph of Chapter 8, replace “the first three sections” with “the first two sections”.
- In the statement and proof of Lemma 8.1.4, replace $\bar{\mathfrak{f}}$ with \mathfrak{f}_s everywhere.
- Replace the first sentence of §8.3 with the following:
We will assume in this and the subsequent paragraphs that the residue field \mathfrak{f} of k is perfect. Then the residue field of K is an algebraic closure of \mathfrak{f} and by Corollary 2.3.8, G_K is quasi-split.
- Replace the second paragraph of 8.3.6 with the following:
 Let T be the centralizer of S in G . As G is quasi-split, T is a maximal K -torus of G . Let \mathcal{T}^0 be the connected Néron model of T . The inclusion $S \rightarrow T$ extends to a closed immersion $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^0$ due to Lemma B.7.11.
- Delete the last two sentences of the proof of Theorem 8.3.13 and in their place insert the following:
 As the group schemes $\mathcal{U}_{a,\Omega,0}$, $a \in \Phi$, and \mathcal{T}^0 have connected fibers, the homomorphisms of these group schemes into \mathcal{G}_Ω^1 factor through \mathcal{G}_Ω^0 . Therefore, the subgroup $G(K)_\Omega^0$ generated by $U_{a,\Omega,0} (= \mathcal{U}_{a,\Omega,0}(\mathcal{O}))$ for $a \in \Phi$, and $T(K)^0 (= \mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{O}))$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}_\Omega^0(\mathcal{O})$. Thus

$$G(K)_\Omega^0 \subset \mathcal{G}_\Omega^0(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{G}_\Omega^1(\mathcal{O}) = G(K)_\Omega^1.$$

As $G(K)_\Omega^0$ is of finite index in $G(K)_\Omega^1$, we see that $G(K)_\Omega^0$ is an open subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{G}_\Omega^0(\mathcal{O})$. Now Lemma A.4.26 shows that $G(K)_\Omega^0 = \mathcal{G}_\Omega^0(\mathcal{O})$.

- The first sentence of §8.4 should be replaced with:
As in the preceding section, we assume here that the residue field \mathfrak{f} of k is perfect.
- In the second paragraph of §8.4, replace \mathfrak{o} with \mathcal{O} at two places.
- At the beginning of the third paragraph of 8.4.2, insert the following sentence:
 For $a \in \Phi$, let $\mathcal{U}_{a,\Omega,0}$ be the a -root group of \mathcal{G}_Ω^0 .
- Delete the first sentence of the proof of Lemma 8.4.4 and replace k with K at two places in the proof.
- Just before the statement of Proposition 8.4.15, insert the following:
 Let $\Omega < \Omega'$ be two nonempty bounded subsets of \mathcal{A} . Then the inclusion $G(K)_{\Omega'}^0 \subset G(K)_\Omega^0$ gives rise to a \mathcal{O} -group scheme homomorphism $\rho_{\Omega,\Omega'} : \mathcal{G}_{\Omega'}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\Omega^0$. We denote by $\bar{\rho}_{\Omega,\Omega'}$ the induced map $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{\Omega'}^0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{G}}_\Omega^0$ between the special fibers.
- Delete the first sentence of Proposition 8.4.15.

CHAPTER 9

- In the second sentence of the statement of Proposition 9.3.12 add “contained in an apartment of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ ” towards the end of that sentence.
- Just before the statement of Proposition 9.3.13 add the following new paragraph:
 There is a natural action of $V(S')$ on $\mathcal{B}(G_K)_S$. This is seen by noting that as every apartment contained $\mathcal{B}(G_K)_S$ corresponds to a maximal K -split torus containing S , there is a natural action of $V(S')$ on each such apartment. Now it needs to be shown that given a point $x \in \mathcal{B}(G_K)_S$ and $v \in V(S')$, and apartments A_i , $i = 1, 2$, in $\mathcal{B}(G_K)_S$ containing x , the point $v + x$ of A_1 equals the point $v + x$ of A_2 . But the desired equality follows from the fact that there is a $g \in G(K)_x^1$ which commutes with S , and so with $V(S')$, and maps A_1 onto A_2 .
- Delete the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 9.3.13.

- From the last sentence of the fifth paragraph of the proof of Proposition 9.4.8, delete the word "non-divisible".
- Immediately after the proof of Corollary 9.9.4 add the following example that shows that a non-quasi-split (even an anisotropic) group may admit a reductive model.

Example Let $k = f((t))$, where f is a field. Let G be a connected semi-simple f -group and T be a maximal f -torus of G containing a maximal f -split torus of G . Let F be the splitting field of T . Then F/f is a finite Galois extension. Let Γ be the Galois group of F/f . Let $\ell = F((t))$. Then ℓ/k is an unramified Galois extension of k with Galois group Γ . Moreover, as T splits over F so does G . Therefore, G_ℓ is a ℓ -split semi-simple group and hence Bruhat–Tits theory is available for this group. As ℓ/k is an unramified extension, by unramified descent (which holds also when the residue field f is imperfect, see [BT84a] or [Pra20b]) Bruhat–Tits theory is also available for G_k .

We will denote $f[[t]]$ and $F[[t]]$ by \mathfrak{o} and \mathfrak{o}_ℓ respectively. As G_F is a Chevalley group, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{o}_\ell} := G_F \times_F F[[t]] = G \times_f \mathfrak{o}_\ell$ is a Chevalley \mathfrak{o}_ℓ -group scheme. Hence, $G(F[[t]])$ is a hyperspecial parahoric subgroup of $G(\ell)$ and the corresponding point x in the Bruhat–Tits building $\mathcal{B}(G_\ell)$ of $G(\ell)$ is a hyperspecial vertex. The \mathfrak{o} -group scheme $\mathcal{G} := G \times_f f[[t]]$ is clearly the descent of the Chevalley \mathfrak{o}_ℓ -group scheme $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{o}_\ell}$. Hence, \mathcal{G} is a reductive \mathfrak{o} -group scheme, and $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{o}) = G(f[[t]])$ is a hyperspecial parahoric subgroup of $G(k)$. Moreover, the point x is a hyperspecial vertex in the building $\mathcal{B}(G_k) = \mathcal{B}(G_\ell)^\Gamma$.

Since f is the residue field of k , we see that the special fiber of the hyperspecial parahoric group scheme $\mathcal{G} = G \times_f f[[t]]$ is G , and its generic fiber is G_k . As $f \hookrightarrow k = f((t))$, $f\text{-rank } G \leq k\text{-rank } G_k$. We assert that $f\text{-rank } G = k\text{-rank } G_k$. To see this, we recall from 8.3.6 and 9.2.5 that every parahoric \mathfrak{o} -group scheme associated to G_k contains an \mathfrak{o} -split torus whose generic fiber is a maximal k -split torus of G_k . Now let \mathcal{S} be a \mathfrak{o} -split torus of \mathcal{G} such that the generic fiber $\mathcal{S} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} k$ is a maximal k -split torus of G_k . The special fiber S of \mathcal{S} is a f -split torus of G . This implies that $f\text{-rank } G \geq k\text{-rank } G_k$ and our assertion is proved.

Therefore, if G is anisotropic over f , then G_k is anisotropic over k . In this case, the building $\mathcal{B}(G_k) = \{x\}$, and according to Theorem 2.2.9, $G(f((t)))$ is bounded. Since the hyperspecial subgroup $G(f[[t]])$ is a maximal bounded subgroup of $G(k) = G(f((t)))$, we conclude that if G is f -anisotropic, then $G(f((t))) = G(f[[t]])$, and replacing t with $1/t$, we see that $G(f((1/t))) = G(f[[1/t]])$. Now, in case G is f -anisotropic, we conclude the following:

$$G(f[t]) = G(f[t]) \cap G(f((1/t))) = G(f[t]) \cap G(f[[1/t]]) = G(f).$$

CHAPTER 12

- In item (3) on p. 441, replace §11.5 by Proposition 12.6.1.
- Remove the last sentence of the second paragraph of §12.4.
- Proposition 12.4.1 and its proof should be replaced with the following:

Proposition 12.4.1. *Let S and S' be as above. Let x be a Θ -fixed point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S))$ and A be any apartment of this building containing x . Then*

$$A^\Theta = V(S') + x = \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S))^\Theta.$$

Proof. Let $Z (\supset S')$ and $Z_{H'}(S)'$ be the maximal K -split central torus and the derived subgroup of $Z_{H'}(S)$ respectively. Then Z and $Z_{H'}(S)'$ are stable under Θ ; moreover, $(Z^\Theta)^0 = S'$.

There is an action of $V(Z)$ on each apartment of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S))$ by translations since every maximal K -split torus of $Z_{H'}(S)$ contains Z . Moreover, the parahoric subgroup associated to x acts transitively on the set of apartments of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S))$ containing x , we see that the action of $V(Z)$ on the apartments of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S))$ combine to give a natural action of $V(Z)$ on the entire $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S))$. Hence, there is a natural action of $V(S') = V(Z)^\Theta$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S))^\Theta$.

Let T be the maximal K -split torus of H' containing S corresponding to the apartment A . Then $A = V(T) + x$, hence $A^\Theta = V(T)^\Theta + x = V(S') + x$. As the building $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S))$ is the union of apartments containing x , we conclude that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S))^\Theta = V(S') + x$. \square

- Replace the first sentence of the statement of Proposition 12.4.2 with the following:
 “Let S_1 and S_2 be maximal K -split tori of G and Ω be a non-empty bounded subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S_1))^\Theta \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z_{H'}(S_2))^\Theta$.”
- The last sentence of the first paragraph of the statement of Proposition 12.8.5 should be placed immediately after the first sentence of the second paragraph.

APPENDIX A

- In line 10 (from the top) of p. 604, insert the word “perfect” before the word “residue”.