

# Chapter 20 References

- Andriani, L. (2011). *Differential Object Marking, Clitic Doubling and Argument Structure in Barese*. Master's thesis, Leiden University.
- Arregi, K. and Nevins, A. (2018). 'Beware Occam's syntactic razor: morpho-tactic analysis and Spanish mesoclisis', *Linguistic Inquiry* 49: 1–125.
- Baker, M. (1985). 'The Mirror Principle and morphosyntactic explanation', *Linguistic Inquiry* 16: 373–415.
- Belletti, A. (1978). 'Strutture coordinate e possessivi', *Rivista di grammatica generativa* 3: 127–42.
- Belletti, A. (1990). *Generalized Verb Movement*. Turin: Rosenberg & Sellier.
- Belletti, A. (1999). 'Italian/Romance clitics: structure and derivation'. In van Riemsdijk, H. (ed), *Clitics in the Languages of Europe*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 543–79.
- Belletti, A. (2001). 'Inversion as focalization'. In Hulk, A. and Pollock, J.-Y. (eds), *Subject Inversion in Romance and the Theory of Universal Grammar*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 60–90.
- Belletti, A. (2004). 'Aspects of the low IP area'. In Rizzi, L. (ed), *The Structure of IP and CP. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures*, II. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 16–51.
- Belletti, A. (2005). 'Extended doubling and the VP periphery', *Probus* 17: 1–35.
- Belletti, A. and Rizzi, L. (1988). 'Psych-verbs and Theta Theory', *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 6: 291–352.
- Benito Galdeano, R. (2017). *Differential Object Marking in Catalan: Contexts of Appearance and Analysis*. Master's thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- Bernstein, J. (1991). 'DPs in French and Walloon: evidence for parametric variation in nominal head movement', *Probus* 2: 1–26.
- Besten, H. den (1983). 'On the interaction of root transformations and lexical deleteive rules'. In Abraham, W. (ed), *On the Formal Nature of Westgermania*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 47–131.

- Boeckx, C., Hornstein, N. and Nunes, J. (2010). *Control as Movement*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bossong, G. (1985). *Empirische Universalienforschung: Differentielle Objektmarkierung in den neuiranischen Sprachen*. Tübingen: Narr.
- Bossong, G. (1991). 'Differential object marking in Romance and beyond'. In Wanner, D. and Kibbee, D. (eds), *New Analyses in Romance Linguistics: Selected Papers from the XVIII Linguistics Symposium on Romance Linguistics*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 143–70.
- Bouchard, D. (1998). 'The distribution and interpretation of adjectives in French: a consequence of Bare Phrase Structure', *Probus* 10: 139–83.
- Bouchard, D. (2002). *Adjectives, Number and Interfaces: Why Languages Vary*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Brugè, L. (2002). 'The position of demonstratives in the Extended Nominal Projection'. In Cinque, G. (ed), *Functional Structure in DP and IP*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 15–53.
- Brugè, L. and Brugger, G. (1996). 'On the accusative *a* in Spanish', *Probus* 8: 1–52.
- Burzio, L. (1986). *Italian Syntax: A Government-Binding Approach*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Calabrese, A. (1992). 'The lack of infinitival clauses in Salentino: a synchronic analysis'. In Lauerfer, C. and Morgan, T. (eds), *Theoretical Analyses in Romance Linguistics*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 267–94.
- Cardinaletti, A. (1997). 'Subjects and clause structure'. In Haegeman, L. (ed). *The New Comparative Syntax*. London: Longman, 33–63.
- Cardinaletti, A. (1998). 'On the deficient/strong opposition in possessive systems'. In Alexiadou, A. and Wilder, C. (eds), *Possessors, Predicates and Movement in the Determiner Phrase*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 17–53.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2001). 'A second thought on emarginazione: distressing vs. "right dislocation"'. In Cinque, G. and Salvi, G. (eds), *Current Studies in Italian Syntax. Essays Offered to Lorenzo Renzi*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 117–35.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2004). 'Toward a cartography of subject positions'. In Rizzi, L. (ed), *The Structure of CP and IP. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, II*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 115–65.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2007). 'Subjects and wh-questions. Some new generalizations'. In Camacho, J., Flores-Ferrán, N., Sánchez, L., Déprez, V., and Cabrera, M. J. (eds), *The Best Romance: Selected Papers from the 36th Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages (LSRL)*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 57–68.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2009). 'On a (wh-)moved Topic in Italian, compared to Germanic'. In Alexiadou, A., Hankamer, J., McFadden, T., Nuger, J., and Schaeffer, F. (eds), *Advances in Comparative Germanic Syntax*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 3–40.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2014). 'Cross-linguistic variation in the syntax of subjects'. In Picallo, C. (ed.), *Linguistic Variation in the Minimalist Framework*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 82–107.

- Cardinaletti, A. (2015). 'Syntactic effects of cliticization'. In Kiss, T. and Alexiadou, A. (eds), *Syntax. Theory and Analysis. An International Handbook*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 595–653.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2016a). 'Sui limiti dei pronomi clitici: inventario e estrazione'. In Ledgeway, A., Cennamo, M., and Mensching, G. (eds), *Actes du XXVII Congrès international de linguistique et de philologie romanes* (Nancy, 15–20 Juillet 2013). Section 4: Syntaxe. Nancy: ATILF, 48–62.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2016b). 'Some new observations on the cartography of Topic and Focus', *Rivista di Grammatica Generativa* 38: 45–63.
- Cardinaletti, A. and Giusti, G. (1992). 'Partitive ne and the QP-Hypothesis'. In Fava, E. (ed.), *Proceedings of the XVII Meeting of Generative Grammar*. Turin: Rosenberg & Sellier, 121–41.
- Cardinaletti, A. and Giusti, G. (2001). 'Semi-lexical motion verbs in Romance and Germanic'. In Corver, N. and van Riemsdijk, H. (eds), *Semi-lexical Categories: The Function of Content Words and the Content of Function Words*. New York/Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 371–414.
- Cardinaletti, A. and Giusti, G. (2003). 'Motion verbs as functional heads'. In Tortora, C. (ed.), *The Syntax of Italian Dialects*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 31–49.
- Cardinaletti, A. and Giusti, G. (2016) 'Quantified expressions and quantitative clitics'. In Everaert, M. and van Riemsdijk, H. (eds), *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Syntax. Second Edition*, 1–61.
- Cardinaletti, A. and Giusti, G. (2019). 'Micro-variation in the possessive systems of Italian dialects'. In Emonds, J., Janebová, M., and Veselovská, L. (eds), *Language Use and Linguistic Structure. Proceedings of the Olomouc Linguistics Colloquium 2018*. Olomouc: Palacký University, 137–54.
- Cardinaletti, A. and Giusti, G. (2020). 'Multiple agreement constructions in southern Italian dialects'. In Franco, L., Lorusso, P., and Belucci, G. (eds), *Linguistic Variation: Structure and Interpretation*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 125–48.
- Cardinaletti, A. and Repetti, L. (2010). 'Proclitic vs enclitic pronouns in northern Italian dialects and the null-subject parameter'. In D'Alessandro, R., Ledgeway, A. and Roberts, I. (eds), *Syntactic Variation. The Dialects of Italy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 119–34.
- Cardinaletti, A. and Shlonsky, U. (2004). 'Clitic positions and restructuring in Italian', *Linguistic Inquiry* 35: 519–57.
- Cardinaletti, A. and Starke, M. (1999). 'The typology of structural deficiency: a case study of the three classes of pronouns'. In van Riemsdijk, H. (ed.), *Clitics in the Languages of Europe*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 145–233.
- Cecchetto, C. (2000). 'A comparative analysis of left and right dislocation in Romance', *Studia Linguistica* 53: 40–67.
- Cennamo, M. (2003). '(In)transitivity and object marking: some current issues'. In Fiorentino, G. (ed), *Romance Objects. Transitivity in Romance Languages*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 49–103.

- Chomsky, N. (1986). *Barriers*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1995). *The Minimalist Program*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N. (2000). ‘Minimalist inquiries: the framework’. In Martin, R., Michaels, D., and Uriagereka, J. (eds), *Step by Step: Essays on Minimalist Syntax in Honor of Howard Lasnik*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 89–155.
- Chomsky, N. (2001). ‘Derivation by phase’. In Kenstowicz, M. (ed), *Ken Hale: A Life in Language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1–52.
- Chomsky, N. (2008). ‘On phases’. In Freidin, R., Otero, C., and Zubizarreta, M.-L. (eds), *Foundational Issues in Linguistic Theory: Essays in Honor of Jean-Roger Vergnaud*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 134–66.
- Cinque, G. (1980a). ‘Sulla nozione di “soggetto di sintagma nominale” in italiano’. In *Studi di filologia romanza e italiana offerti a Gianfranco Folena dagli allievi padovani*. Modena: S.T.E.M.-Mucchi, 555–70.
- Cinque, G. (1980b). ‘On extraction from NP in Italian’, *Journal of Italian Linguistics* 5: 47–99.
- Cinque, G. (1983). “Topic” constructions in some European languages and “Connectedness”. In Ehlich, K. and van Riemsdijk, H. (eds), *Connectedness in Sentence, Discourse and Text*. Tilburg: KUB. Reprinted in Anagnostopoulou, E., van Riemsdijk, H., and Zwarts, F. (eds) (1997), *Materials on Left Dislocation*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 93–118.
- Cinque, G. (1990). *Types of A'-Dependencies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cinque, G. (1994). ‘On the evidence for partial N-movement in the Romance DP’. In Cinque, G., Koster, J., Pollock, J.-Y., Rizzi, L., and Zanuttini, R. (eds), *Paths Towards Universal Grammar. Studies in Honour of Richard Kayne*. Georgetown: Georgetown University Press, 85–110.
- Cinque, G. (1999). *Adverbs and Functional Heads*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Cinque, G. (2004). “Restructuring” and functional structure’. In Belletti, A. (ed), *Structures and Beyond. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, III*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 132–91.
- Cinque, G. (2006). *Restructuring and Functional Heads. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, IV*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Cinque, G. (2010). *The Syntax of Adjectives*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Contreras, H. (1989). ‘Closed Domains’, *Probus* 1: 163–80.
- Cornilescu, A. (1994). ‘Rumanian genitive constructions’. In Cinque and Giusti, 1–54.
- Corver, N. (1997a). ‘Much support as a Last Resort’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 28: 119–64.
- Corver, N. (1997b). ‘The internal syntax of the Dutch extended adjectival projection’, *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 15: 289–368.
- Costa, J. (2004). *Subject Positions and Interfaces: The Case of European Portuguese*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Cruschina, S. (2013). ‘Beyond the stem and inflectional morphology: an irregular pattern at the level of periphrasis’. In Cruschina, S., Maiden, M., and

- Smith, J. C. (eds), *The Boundaries of Pure Morphology: Diachronic and Synchronic Perspectives*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 262–83.
- Cuervo, M. C. (2003). *Datives at Large*. Doctoral thesis, MIT.
- Cyrino, S. and Matos, G. (2016). ‘Null objects and VP-ellipsis in European and Brazilian Portuguese’. In Wetzel W., Menuzzi, S., and Costa, J. (eds), *The Handbook of Portuguese Linguistics*. Malden: Wiley Blackwell, 294–317.
- D’Alessandro, R. and Roberts, I. (2008). ‘Movement and agreement in Italian past participles and defective phases’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 39: 477–91.
- De Cat, C. (2007a). *French Dislocation: Interpretation, Syntax, Acquisition*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- De Cat, C. (2007b). ‘French dislocation without movement’, *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 25: 485–534.
- Del Prete, F. and Todaro, C. (2019). ‘The morphosyntax–semantics interface and the Sicilian Doubly Inflected Construction’. In Cruschina, S., Ledgeway, A., and Remberger, E. (eds), *Italian Dialectology at the Interfaces*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 131–54.
- Delbeque, N. (2002). ‘A construction grammar approach to transitivity in Spanish’. In Davidse, K. and Lamiroy, B. (eds), *The Nominative and Accusative and their Counterparts*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 81–130.
- Demonte, V. (1995). ‘Dative alternations in Spanish’, *Probus* 7: 5–30.
- Demonte, V. (1999). ‘A minimal account of Spanish adjective position and interpretation’. In Franco, J. A., Landa, A., and Martín, J. (eds). *Grammatical Analyses in Basque and Romance Linguistics: Papers in Honor of Mario Saltarelli*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 45–75.
- Demonte, V. (2008). ‘Meaning–form correlations and adjective positions in Spanish’. In McNally, L., and Kennedy, C. (eds). *Adjectives and Adverbs: Syntax, Semantics, and Discourse*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 71–100.
- Di Caro, V. (2019). ‘The inflected construction in the dialects of Sicily: parameters of micro-variation’. In Cruschina, S., Ledgeway, A., and Remberger, E. (eds), *Italian Dialectology at the Interfaces*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 63–78.
- Diaconescu, C. and Rivero, M. L. (2007). ‘An applicative analysis of the double object construction in Romanian’, *Probus* 19: 209–33.
- Dobrovie-Sorin, C. (1987). ‘A propos de la structure du groupe nominale en roumain’, *Rivista di Grammatica Generativa* 12: 123–52.
- Dobrovie-Sorin, C. (1990). ‘Citic-doubling, wh-movement, and quantification in Romanian’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 21: 351–97.
- Dobrovie-Sorin, C. (1994). *The Syntax of Romanian. Comparative Studies in Romance*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Dobrovie-Sorin, C. (2001). ‘Head-to-head merge in Balkan subjunctive and locality’. In Rivero, M. L. and Ralli, A. (eds), *Comparative Syntax of Balkan Languages*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 44–73.
- Dragomirescu, A. and Nicolae, A. (2016). ‘Case’. In Ledgeway and Maiden, 911–23.

- Duarte, I. and Figueiredo Silva, M. C. (2016). ‘The null subject parameter and the structure of the sentence in European and Brazilian Portuguese’. In Wetzels W., Menuzzi, S. and Costa, J. (eds), *The Handbook of Portuguese Linguistics*. Oxford: Wiley Blackwell, 234–53.
- Egerland, V. (2009). ‘La doppia base della ristrutturazione’. In Cardinaletti, A. and Munaro, N. (eds), *Italiano, italiani regionali e dialetti*. Milan: FrancoAngeli, 99–114.
- Emonds, J. (1976). *A Transformational Approach to English Syntax*. New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Emonds, J. (2004). ‘Unspecified categories as the key to root constructions’. In Adger, D., de Cat, C., and Tsoulas, G. (eds), *Peripheries: Syntactic Edges and their Effects*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 75–120.
- Escandell-Vidal, V. (2007). ‘Acusatiu preposicional i dislocació amb clític’, *Caplletra* 42: 1–24.
- Escandell-Vidal, V. (2009). ‘Differential object marking and topicality: the case of Balearic Catalan’, *Studies in Language* 33: 832–84.
- Fábregas, A. (2013). ‘Differential object marking in Spanish: state of the art’, *Borealis. An International Journal of Spanish Linguistics* 2: 1–80.
- Fiorentino, G. (2003). ‘Prepositional objects in Neapolitan’. In Fiorentino, G. (ed.), *Romance Objects. Transitivity in Romance Languages*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 117–52.
- Folli, R. and Harley, H. (2007). ‘Causation, obligation, and argument structure: on the nature of little v’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 38: 197–238.
- Gallego, Á. (2010). *Phase Theory*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Gallego, Á. (2013). ‘Object shift in Romance’, *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 31: 409–51.
- Gallego, Á. (2018) ‘Morphosyntactic variation in Romance vs. a microparametric approach’. Ms. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- Giorgi, A. (1990). ‘On the Italian and French anaphoric and pronominal systems’, *Rivista di linguistica* 2: 9–26.
- Giusti, G. (1994). ‘Heads and modifiers across determiners: evidence from Rumanian’. In Cinque, G. and Giusti, G. (eds), *Advances in Roumanian Syntax*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 103–25.
- Giusti, G. (1996). ‘Is there a FocusP and a TopicP in the noun phrase structure?’, *University of Venice Working Papers in Linguistics* 6: 105–28.
- Giusti, G. (2005). ‘At the left periphery of the Rumanian noun phrase’. In Coene, M. and Tasmowski, L. (eds), *On Space and Time in Language*. Cluj-Napoca: Clusium, 23–49.
- Giusti, G. (2006). ‘Parallels in clausal and nominal periphery’. In Frascarelli, M. (ed.), *Phases of Interpretation*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 163–84.
- Giusti, G. (2014). ‘On Force vs. Case and Fin vs. Num’. In Cardinaletti, A., Cinque, G., and Endo, Y. (eds), *On Peripheries. Exploring Clause Initial and Clause Final Positions*. Tokyo: Hituzi Syobo Publishing, 189–207.

- Giusti, G. and Iovino, R. (2014). ‘A split-DP hypothesis for Latin and Italo-Romance’. In Veselovská, L. and Janebová, M. (eds), *Complex Visibles Out There. Proceedings of the Olomouc Linguistics Colloquium 2014: Language Use and Linguistic Structure*. Olomouc: Palacký University, 127–43.
- Giusti, G. and Iovino, R. (2016). ‘Latin as a split-DP language’, *Studia Linguistica* 70: 221–49.
- Grosu, A. (1988). ‘On the distribution of genitive phrases in Roumanian’, *Linguistics* 26: 931–49.
- Haegeman, L. (2006). ‘Clitic climbing and the dual status of *sembrare*’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 37: 484–501.
- Harris, J. and Halle, M. (2005). ‘Unexpected plural inflections in Spanish: reduplication and metathesis’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 36: 192–222.
- Herslund, M. (2002). ‘Romance transitivity’. In Davidse, K. and Lamiroy, B. (eds), *Nominative and Accusative and their Counterparts*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 16–39.
- Hill, V. (2013). ‘The direct object-marker in Romanian: a historical perspective’, *Journal of Australian Linguistics* 33: 140–51.
- Hill, V. and Alboiu, G. (2016). *Verb Movement and Clause Structure in Romanian*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hill, V. and Mardale, A. (2017). ‘On the interaction of differential object marking and clitic doubling in Romanian’, *Revue roumaine de linguistique* 62: 393–409.
- Hopper, P., and Thompson, S. (1980). ‘Transitivity in grammar and discourse’, *Language* 56: 251–99.
- Jaeggli, O. (1982). *Topics in Romance Syntax*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Jones, M. A. (1993). ‘The prepositional accusative in Sardinian’. In Smith, J. C. and Maiden, M. (eds), *Linguistic Theory and the Romance Languages*, Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 37–67.
- Jordan, M. (2009). *Loss of Infinitival Complementation in Romanian Diachronic Syntax*. Doctoral thesis, University of Florida.
- Kayne, R. (1975). *French Syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kayne, R. (1983). ‘Chains, categories external to S, and French complex inversion’, *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 1: 107–39.
- Kayne, R. (1989a). ‘Facets of Romance past participle agreement’. In Benincà, P. (ed), *Dialect Variation and the Theory of Grammar*. Dordrecht: Foris, 85–103.
- Kayne, R. (1989b). ‘Null subjects and clitic climbing’. In Jaeggli, O. and Safir, K. (eds), *The Null Subject Parameter*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 239–61.
- Kayne, R. (1991). ‘Romance clitics, verb movement and PRO’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 22: 647–86.
- Kayne, R. (1994). *The Antisymmetry of Syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kayne, R. (2010). ‘Toward a syntactic reinterpretation of Harris and Halle (2005)’. In Bok-Bennema, R., Kampers-Manhe, B., and Hollebrandse, B. (eds), *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2008: Selected Papers from ‘Going Romance’ Groningen 2008*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 145–70.

- Kempchinsky, P. (1986). *Romance Subjunctive Clauses and Logical Form*. Doctoral thesis, UCLA.
- Kempchinsky, P. (2013). 'CLLD as a window on the left periphery'. In Howe, C., Blackwell, S., and Lubbers Quesada, M. (eds), *Selected Proceedings of the 15th Hispanic Linguistics Symposium (HLS 2011)*. Somerville: Cascadilla Proceedings Project, 310–27.
- Koopman, H. and Sportiche, D. (1991). 'The position of subjects', *Lingua* 85: 211–58.
- Korzen, H. (1985). *Pourquoi et l'inversion finale en français: étude sur le statut de l'adverbial de cause et l'anatomie de la construction tripartite*. Revue Romane numéro supplémentaire 30. Copenhagen: Munksgaards Forlag.
- Laenzlinger, C. (2005). 'French adjective ordering: perspectives on DP-internal movement types', *Lingua* 115: 645–89.
- Laenzlinger, C. and Soare, G. (2005). 'A cartographic approach to Wh-movement in Romanian', *Bucharest Working Papers in Linguistics* 1: 23–60.
- Lamarche, J. (1991). 'Problems for N°-movement to NumP', *Probus* 3: 215–36.
- Landau, I. (2004). 'The scale of finiteness and the calculus of control', *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 22: 811–77.
- Langacker, R. W. (1968). 'Observations on French possessives', *Language* 44: 51–75.
- Larson, R. (1988). 'On the double object construction', *Linguistic Inquiry* 19: 335–91.
- Ledgeway, A. (2011). 'Syntactic and morphosyntactic typology and change'. In Maiden, Smith, and Ledgeway, 382–471.
- Ledgeway, A. (2013). 'Contatto e mutamento: complementazione e complementatori nei dialetti del Salento'. In Benedetti, M. (ed), *Lingue e grammatiche. Contatti, divergenze, confronti*, special issue of *Studi Italiani di Linguistica Teorica e Applicata* 41: 459–80.
- Ledgeway, A. (2015). 'Reconstructing complementiser-drop in the dialects of the Salento: a syntactic or phonological phenomenon?'. In Biberauer, T. and Walkden, G. (eds), *Syntax over Time: Lexical, Morphological, and Information-Structural Interactions*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 146–62.
- Ledgeway, A. (2016). 'Italian, Tuscan, and Corsican'. In Ledgeway and Maiden, 206–27.
- Ledgeway, A. (2021). 'Passive periphrases in the Romance languages'. In Gardani, F. and Loporcaro, M. (eds), *The Oxford Encyclopedia of Romance Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780199384655.013.639>.
- Ledgeway, A. and Maiden, M. (eds) (2016). *The Oxford Guide to the Romance Languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Leonetti, M. (2004). 'Specificity and differential object marking in Spanish', *Catalan Journal of Linguistics* 3: 75–114.

- Levin, T. (2019). 'On the nature of differential object marking. Insights from Palauan', *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 37: 167–213.
- López, L. (2009). *A Derivational Syntax for Information Structure*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- López, L. (2012). *Indefinite Objects. Scrambling, Choice Functions and Differential Marking*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Loporcaro, M., D'Ancona, A. R., and Fatini, P. (2010). 'Clitici soggetto nel dialetto di Pantelleria', *Vox Romanica* 69: 75–110.
- Maiden, M., Smith, J. C., and Ledgeway, A. (eds) (2011). *The Cambridge History of the Romance Languages*. Vol. 1. *Structures*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Manzini, M. R. and Franco, L. (2016). 'Genitive/of arguments in DOM contexts', *Revue roumaine de linguistique* 62: 427–44.
- Manzini, M. R. and Savoia, L. (2011). 'Mesoclisis in the imperative: phonology, morphology or syntax?', *Lingua* 121: 1101–20.
- Mardale, A. (2009). *Les prépositions fonctionnelles du roumain: études comparatives sur le marquage casuel*. Paris: L'Harmattan.
- Mardale, A. (2017). 'Introduction. Le marquage différentiel de l'objet dans les langues romanes: quelques nouvelles pièce du puzzle', *Revue roumaine de linguistique* 62: 352–57.
- Mathieu, E. (1999). 'Wh in situ and the intervention effect'. In Iten, C. (ed.), *UCL Working Papers in Linguistics*. London: University College, 441–72.
- Milner, J.-C. (1977). 'A propos des génitifs adnominaux en français'. In Rohrer, C. (ed.), *Actes du colloque franco-allemand de linguistique théorique*. Tübingen: Niemeyer, 67–107.
- Motapanyane, V. (1994). 'NP-movement from finite clauses in Romanian'. In Cinque G. and Giusti G. (eds), *Advances in Roumanian Syntax*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 161–71.
- Nichols, J. (1986). 'Head-marking and dependent-marking grammar', *Language* 62: 56–119.
- Ordóñez, F. (1997). *Word Order and Clause Structure in Spanish and Other Romance Languages*. Doctoral thesis, City University of New York.
- Ordóñez, F. (1998). 'Post- verbal asymmetries in Spanish', *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 16: 313–45.
- Ordóñez, F. (1999). 'Focus and subject inversion in Romance'. In Gutiérrez-Rexach, J. and Martínez-Gil, F. (eds), *Advances in Hispanic Linguistics: Papers from the 2nd Hispanic Linguistics Symposium*, Somerville: Cascadilla Press, 502–18.
- Ordóñez, F. (2007). 'Cartography of postverbal subjects in Spanish and Catalan'. In Baauw, S., Drijkoningen, F., and Pinto, M. (eds), *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2005: Selected Papers from 'Going Romance'*, Utrecht, 8–10 December 2005. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 259–80.
- Paoli, S. (2009). 'Gerarchie di caso e persona nei paradigmi dei pronomi atoni obliqui: il caso dei dialetti del Comelico', *Rivista italiana di dialettologia* 33: 59–84.

- Paoli, S. (2014). 'Defective object clitic paradigms and the relation between language development and loss', *Journal of Linguistics* 50: 143–83.
- Picallo, C. (1994). 'Catalan possessive pronouns: the avoid pronoun principle revisited', *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 12: 259–99.
- Pineda, A. and Royo, C. (2017). 'Differential indirect object marking in Romance (and how to get rid of it)', *Revue roumaine de linguistique* 62: 445–62.
- Poletto, C. (2000). *The Higher Functional Field: Evidence from Northern Italian Dialects*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pollock, J.-Y. (1989). 'Verb-movement, Universal Grammar, and the structure of IP', *Linguistic Inquiry* 20: 365–424.
- Pylkkänen, L. (2002). *Introducing Arguments*. Doctoral thesis, MIT.
- Quarezmin, S. and Cardinaletti, A. (2017). 'Non-topicalized preverbal subjects in Brazilian Portuguese, compared to Italian', *Annali di Ca' Foscari Serie Occidentale* 51: 383–409.
- Remacle, L. (1952). *Syntaxe du parler Wallon de La Gleize. I: Noms et articles; Adjectifs et pronoms*. Paris: Les Belles Lettres.
- Renzi, L. and Vanelli, L. (1983). 'I pronomi soggetto in alcune varietà romanze'. In Benincà, P., Cortelazzo, M., Prosdocimi, A. L., Vanelli, L., and Zamboni, A. (eds), *Scritti linguistici in onore di Giovan Battista Pellegrini*, I. Pisa: Pacini, 121–45.
- Rizzi, L. (1978). 'A restructuring rule in Italian syntax'. In Keyser, J. (ed.), *Recent Transformational Studies in European Languages*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 113–58.
- Rizzi, L. (1982). *Issues in Italian Syntax*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Rizzi, L. (1986). 'Null objects in Italian and the theory of pro', *Linguistic Inquiry* 17: 501–57.
- Rizzi, L. (1990). *Relativized Minimality*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rizzi, L. (1996). 'Residual verb-second and the Wh-criterion'. In Belletti, A. and Rizzi, L. (eds), *Parameters and Functional Heads. Essays in Comparative Syntax*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 63–90.
- Rizzi, L. (1997). 'The fine structure of the Left Periphery'. In Haegeman, L. (ed.), *Elements of Grammar*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 281–337.
- Rizzi, L. (2000). 'Three issues in Romance dialectology'. In *Comparative Syntax and Language Acquisition*. London: Routledge, 80–95.
- Rizzi, L. (2001). 'On the position INT(ERROGATIVE) in the left periphery of the clause'. In Cinque, G. and Salvi G. (eds), *Current Studies in Italian Syntax*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 287–96.
- Schifano, N. (2018). *Verb Movement in Romance*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Schwenter, S.A. (2014). 'Two kinds of differential object marking in Portuguese and Spanish'. In Amaral, P. and Carvalho, A. M. (eds), *Portuguese–Spanish Interfaces. Diachrony, Synchrony, and Contact*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 237–60.

- Sheehan, M. (2014). 'Partial control in Romance languages. The covert comitative analysis'. In Lahousse, K. and Marzo, S. (eds), *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2012. Selected papers from 'Going Romance'* Leuven 2012. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 181–98.
- Shlonsky, U. (2012). 'Notes on *Wh* in situ in French'. In Brugè, L., Cardinaletti, A., Giusti, G., Munaro, N., and Poletto, C. (eds), *Functional Heads. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures*, VII. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 242–52.
- Shlonsky, U. and Soare, G. (2011). 'Where's 'why'?'. *Linguistic Inquiry* 42: 651–69.
- Sornicola, R. (2011). 'Romance linguistics and historical Linguistics: reflections on synchrony and diachrony'. In Maiden, Smith, and Ledgeway, 1–49.
- Sportiche, D. (1988). 'A theory of floating quantifiers and its corollaries for constituent structure'. *Linguistic Inquiry* 19: 425–49.
- Sportiche, D. (1990/98). 'Movement, agreement, and case'. In Sportiche, D. (ed.), *Partition and Atoms of Clause Structure*. London: Routledge, 88–243.
- Sportiche, D. (1993/98). 'Subject clitics in French and Romance'. In Sportiche, D. (ed.), *Partition and Atoms of Clause Structure*. London: Routledge, 308–41.
- Sportiche, D. (1996/98). 'Clitic constructions'. In Zaring, L. and Rooryck, J. (eds), *Phrase Structure and the Lexicon*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 213–76.
- Sportiche, D. (1999). 'Subject clitics in French and Romance. Complex inversion and clitic doubling'. In Johnson, K. and Roberts, I. (eds), *Beyond Principles and Parameters*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 189–221.
- Terzi, A. (1996). 'Clitic climbing from finite clauses and tense raising', *Probus* 8: 273–95.
- Thiersch, C. (1978). *Topics in German Syntax*. Doctoral thesis, MIT.
- Torrego E. (1995). 'On the nature of clitic doubling'. In Campos, H. and Kempchinsky, P. (eds), *Evolution and Revolution in Linguistic Theory*. Georgetown, DC: Georgetown University Press, 399–418.
- Torrego E. (1998). *The Dependencies of Objects*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Torrego E. (2010). 'Variability in the case patterns of causative formation in Romance and its implications'. *Linguistic Inquiry* 41: 455–70.
- Tsunoda, T. (1985). 'Remarks on transitivity'. *Journal of Linguistics* 21: 385–96.
- Tuller, L. (2000). 'Aspects de la morphosyntaxe du français des sourds', *Recherches linguistiques de Vincennes* 29: 143–56.
- Uriagereka, J. (2005). 'On the syntax of doubling'. In Heggie, L. and Ordóñez, F. (eds), *Clitic and Affix Combinations*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 343–74.
- Vigário, M. (1999). 'Pronominal cliticization in European Portuguese: a postlexical operation'. *Catalan Working Papers in Linguistics* 7: 219–37.

- Vincent, N. (1997). 'The emergence of the D-system in Romance'. In van Kemenade, A. and Vincent, N. (eds), *Parameters of Morphosyntactic Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 149–69.
- von Heusinger, K. and Kaiser, G. (2011). 'Affectedness and differential object marking in Spanish', *Morphology* 21: 593–617.
- Zribi-Hertz, A. (1989). 'Anaphor binding and narrative point of view: English reflexive pronouns in sentence and discourse', *Language* 65: 695–727.
- Zribi-Hertz, A. (1990). 'Lui-même argument et le concept de "pronome A"', *Langages* 97: 100–27.
- Zubizarreta, M. L. (1998). *Prosody, Focus and Word Order*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

PROOF