

Chapter 26 References

- Abeillé, A., Godard, D., and Sabio, F. (2008). ‘Two types of NP preposing in French’. In Müller, S. (ed.), *Proceedings of the 15th HPSG Conference*, Stanford: CSLI Publications, 306–24.
- Abeillé, A., Godard, D., and Sabio, F. (2009). ‘The dramatic extraction construction in spoken French’, *Bucharest Working Papers in Linguistics* 11: 135–48.
- Akmajian, A. (1970). ‘On deriving cleft sentences from pseudo-cleft sentences’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 1: 149–68.
- Alboiu, G. (2002). *The Features of Movement in Romanian*. Bucharest: Editura Universității din București.
- Alexiadou, A., and Anagnostopoulou, E. (1998). ‘Parametrising AGR. Word order, V-movement and EPP-checking’, *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 16: 491–539.
- Ambar, M. (1999). ‘Aspects of the syntax of focus in Portuguese’. In Rebuschi, G. and Tuller, L. (eds), *The Grammar of Focus*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 23–54.
- Andueza, P. (2011). *Rhetorical Exclamatives in Spanish*. Doctoral thesis, Ohio State University.
- Angelopoulos, N. and Sportiche, D. (2017). ‘Scrambling(s) + Clitics = CLLD (The case of French)’. Ms., <https://ling.auf.net/lingbuzz/003486>.
- Antinucci, F. and Cinque, G. (1977). ‘Sull’ordine delle parole in italiano. L’emarginazione’, *Studi di grammatica italiana* 6: 121–46.
- Arregi, K. (2003). ‘Clitic left dislocation is contrastive topicalization’. In Kaiser, E. and Arunachalam, S. (eds), *Proceedings of the 26th Annual Penn Linguistics Colloquium*, U. Penn Working Papers in Linguistics 9: 31–44.
- Austin, J. (1950). ‘Truth’, *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, Supplementary Volume* 24. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Avesani, C. and Vayra, M. (2003). ‘Broad, narrow and contrastive focus in Florentine Italian’. In Solé, M. J., Recasens, D., and Romero, J. (eds),

- Proceedings of the 15th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences, Barcelona, 3–9 August, 2003.* Barcelona: Causal Productions, 1803–06.
- Avesani, C. and Vayra, M. (2004). ‘Focus ristretto e focus contrastivo in italiano’. In Albano Leoni, F., Cutugno, F., Pettorino, M., and Savy, R. (eds), *Il Parlato italiano. Atti del Convegno Nazionale, Napoli, 13–15 febbraio, 2003*. Naples: M. D’Auria Editore—CIRASS, 1–20.
- Barbosa, P. (1995). *Null Subjects*. Doctoral thesis, MIT.
- Barbosa, P. (2000). ‘Clitics: a window into the null subject property’. In Costa, J. (ed.), *Portuguese Syntax. New Comparative Studies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 31–93.
- Barbosa, P. (2001). ‘On inversion in wh-questions in Romance’. In Hulk, A. and Pollock, J.-Y. (eds), *Subject Inversion in Romance and the Theory of Universal Grammar*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 20–59.
- Beaver, D., and Clark, B. (2008). *Sense and Sensitivity. How Focus Determines Meaning*. Malden: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Belletti, A. (2001). ‘Inversion as focalization’. In Hulk, A. and Pollock, J.-Y. (eds), *Subject Inversion in Romance and the Theory of Universal Grammar*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 60–90.
- Belletti, A. (2004). ‘Aspects of the low IP area’. In Rizzi, L. (ed.), *The Structure of IP and CP. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, II*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 16–51.
- Belletti, A. (2005). ‘Answering with a “cleft”: the role of the null subject parameter and the VP periphery’, in Bruge, L., Giusti, G., Munaro, N., Schweikert, W., and Turano, G. (eds), *Proceedings of the XXX Incontro di Grammatica Generativa*. Venice: Cafoscarina, 63–82.
- Belletti, A. (2007). ‘Answering strategies: a view from acquisition’. In Baauw, S., Drijkoningen, F., and Pinto, M. (eds), *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2005. Selected papers from ‘Going Romance’, Utrecht, 8–10 December 2005*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 19–38.
- Belletti, A. (2009). *Structures and Strategies*. New York/London: Routledge.
- Belletti, A. (2012). ‘Revisiting the CP of clefts’. In Grewendorf, G. and Zimmermann, T. (eds), *Discourse and Grammar. From Sentence Types to Lexical Categories*. Berlin/Boston: Mouton de Gruyter, 91–114.
- Belletti, A. (2015). ‘The focus map of clefts: extraposition and predication’. In Shlonsky, U. (ed), *Beyond Functional Sequence. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures Volume 10*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 42–59.
- Benincà, P. (1988). ‘L’ordine degli elementi della frase e le costruzioni marcate’. In Renzi, L. and Salvi, G. (eds), *Grande grammatica italiana di consultazione. Vol. I*. Bologna: il Mulino, 129–94.
- Benincà, P. (2001). ‘The position of topic and focus in the left periphery’. In Cinque, G. and Salvi, G. (eds), *Current Studies in Italian Syntax. Essays Offered to Lorenzo Renzi*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 39–64.
- Benincà, P. (2006). ‘A detailed map of the left periphery of medieval Romance’, in Zanuttini, R., Campos, H., Herberger, E., and Portner, P.

- (eds), *Crosslinguistic Research in Syntax and Semantics. Negation, Tense, and Clausal Architecture*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press, 53–86.
- Beninca, P. and Poletto, C. (2004). ‘Topic, focus, and V2. Defining the CP sublayers’. In Rizzi, L. (ed.), *The Structure of CP and IP. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, Volume 2*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 52–75.
- Bentley, D. (2004), ‘Definiteness effects: evidence from Sardinian’, *Transactions of the Philological Society* 102: 57–101.
- Bentley, D. (2008). ‘The interplay of focus structure and syntax. Evidence from two sister languages’. In van Valin Jr., R. (ed.), *Investigations of the Syntax–Semantics–Pragmatics Interface*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 263–84.
- Bentley, D. and Cruschina, S. (2018). ‘The silent argument of broad focus: typology and predictions’. *Glossa: A Journal of General Linguistics* 3: 118:1–37.
- Berruto, G. (1986). ‘Le dislocazioni a destra in italiano’. In Stammerjohann, H. (ed), *Tema–Rema in italiano/Theme–Rheme in Italian/Thema–Rhema im Italienischen*. Tübingen: Gunter Narr, 55–69.
- Beyssade, C., Delais-Roussarie, E., Doetjes, J., Marandin, J.-M., and Rialland, A. (2004). ‘Prosody and information in French’. In Corblin, F. and de Swart, H. (eds), *Handbook of French Semantics*. Stanford: CSLI Publications, 477–99.
- Bianchi, V. (1993). ‘Subject positions and e-positions’, *Quaderni del laboratorio di linguistica* 7: 51–69.
- Bianchi, V. (2013). ‘On focus movement in Italian’. In Camacho-Taboada, M. V., Jiménez Fernández, A., Martín-González, J., and Reyes-Tejedor, M. (eds), *Information Structure and Agreement*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 193–215.
- Bianchi, V. (2015). ‘Focus fronting and the syntax–semantics interface’. In Shlonsky, U. (ed.), *Beyond Functional Sequence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 60–72.
- Bianchi, V. and Bocci, G. (2012). ‘Should I stay or should I go? Optional focus movement in Italian’. In Piñon, C. (ed), *Empirical Issues in Syntax and Semantics 9*. www.cssp.cnrs.fr/eiss9/eiss9_bianchi-and-bocci.pdf.
- Bianchi, V., Bocci, G., and Cruschina, S. (2015). ‘Focus fronting and its implicatures’. In Aboh, E., Schaeffer, J., and Sleeman, P. (eds), *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2013: Selected Papers from ‘Going Romance’ Amsterdam 2013*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 1–20.
- Bianchi, V., Bocci, G., and Cruschina, S. (2016). ‘Focus fronting, unexpectedness, and evaluative implicatures’, *Semantics and Pragmatics* 9: 1–54.
- Bianchi, V., and Cruschina, S. (2016). ‘The derivation and interpretation of polar questions with a fronted focus’, *Lingua* 170: 47–68.
- Bianchi, V. and Frascarelli, M. (2010). ‘Is topic a root phenomenon?’, *Iberia* 2: 43–88.
- Bocci, G. (2007). ‘Criterial positions and left periphery in Italian’, *Nanzan Linguistics* 3: 35–70.

- Bocci, G. (2013). *The Syntax–Prosody Interface. A Cartographic Perspective with Evidence from Italian*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- Bocci, G. and Avesani, C. (2006). ‘Focus contrastivo nella periferia sinistra della frase: un solo accento, ma non solo un accento’. In Savy, R. and Crocco, C. (eds), *Analisi prosodica. Teorie, modelli e sistemi di annotazione. Atti del 2° Convegno Nazionale AISV Associazione Italiana di Scienze della Voce, Salerno, 30 novembre–2 dicembre, 2005*. Rimini: EDK, 111–41.
- Bocci, G. and Avesani, C. (2011). ‘Phrasal prominences do not need pitch movement: postfocal phrasal heads in Italian’, *Interspeech 2011*: 1357–60.
- Bocci, G. and Avesani, C. (2015). ‘Can the metrical structure of Italian trigger focus movement?’ In Shlonsky, U. (ed.), *Beyond Functional Sequence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 23–41.
- Brentano, F. (1874). *Psychologie vom empirischen Standpunkt*. Leipzig: Duncker & Humblot.
- Brody, M. (1995). ‘Focus and checking theory’. In Kenesei, I. (ed.), *Levels and Structures (Approaches to Hungarian, Vol. 5)*. Szeged: Jate, 31–43.
- Brunetti, L. (2004). *A Unification of Focus*. Padua: Unipress.
- Brunetti, L. (2009). ‘On links and tails in Italian’, *Lingua* 119: 756–81.
- Büring, D. (1999). ‘Topic’. In Bosch, P. and van der Sandt, R. (eds), *Focus*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 142–65.
- Büring, D. (2003). ‘On D-Trees, beans, and B-Accents’, *Linguistics and Philosophy* 26: 511–45.
- Cardinaletti, A. (1997). ‘Subjects and clause structure’. In Haegeman, L. (ed.), *The New Comparative Syntax*. London: Longman, 33–63.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2001). ‘A second thought on emarginazione: distressing vs right dislocation’. In Cinque, G. and Salvi, G. (eds), *Current Studies in Italian Syntax. Essays Offered to Lorenzo Renzi*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 117–35.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2002). ‘Against optional and null clitics. Right dislocation vs marginalization’, *Studia Linguistica* 56: 29–57.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2004). ‘Towards a cartography of subject positions’. In Rizzi, L. (ed.), *The Structure of CP and IP*. New York: Oxford University Press, 115–65.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2007) ‘Subjects and wh- questions’. In Camacho, J., Flores-Ferrán, N., Sánchez, L., Deprez, V., and Cabrera, M. J. (eds), *Romance Linguistics 2006: Selected Papers from the 36th Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages (LSRL): New Brunswick, March–April 2006*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 57–79.
- Cardinaletti, A. (2009). ‘On a (wh-)moved topic in Italian, compared to Germanic’. In Alexiadou, A., Hankamer, J., McFadden, T., Nuger, J., and Schäfer, F. (eds), *Advances in Comparative Germanic Syntax*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 3–40.
- Carlson, L. (1983). *Dialogue Games. An Approach to Discourse Analysis*. Dordrecht: Reidel.

- Casalicchio, J. and Cognola, F. (2018). 'Verb-second and (micro)variation in two Rhaeto-Romance varieties of Northern Italy'. In D'Alessandro, R. and Pescarini, D. (eds), *Advances in Italian Dialectology: Sketches of Italo-Romance Grammars*. Leiden: Brill, 72–105.
- Cecchetto, C. (1999). 'A comparative analysis of left and right dislocation in Romance', *Studia Linguistica* 53: 40–67.
- Cecchetto, C. (2000). 'Doubling structures and reconstruction', *Probus* 12: 93–126.
- Chafe, W. (1976). 'Givenness, contrastiveness, definiteness, subjects, topics, and point of view'. In Li, C. (ed.), *Subject and Topic*. New York: Academic Press, 25–55.
- Chomsky, N. (1981). *Lectures on Government and Binding*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Chomsky, N. (2000). 'Minimalist inquiries: the framework'. In Martin, R., Michaels, D., and Uriagereka, J. (eds), *Step by Step. Essays on Minimalist Syntax in Honour of Howard Lasnik*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 89–155.
- Cinque, G. (1977). 'The movement nature of left dislocation', *Linguistic Inquiry* 8: 397–412.
- Cinque, G. (1983). "Topic" constructions in some European languages and "connectedness". In Ehlich, K. and van Riemsdijk, H. (eds), *Connectedness in Sentence Text and Discourse*. Tilburg: KBU, 7–42.
- Cinque, G. (1990). *Types of A'-Dependencies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cinque, G. (1993). 'A null theory of phrase and compound stress', *Linguistic Inquiry* 24: 239–98.
- Contreras, H. (1991). 'On the position of subjects', *Syntax and Semantics* 25: 63–79.
- Cornilescu, A. (1997). 'The double subject construction in Romanian. Notes on the syntax of the subject', *Revue roumaine de linguistique* 42: 101–47.
- Corr, A. (2016). 'Wide-focus subject-verb inversion in Ibero-Romance: a locative account', *Glossa: A Journal of General Linguistics* 1: 1–33.
- Costa, J. (1998). *Word Order Variation: A Constraint-Based Approach*. The Hague: Holland Academic Graphics.
- Costa, J. (2004). *Subject Positions and Interfaces: The Case of European Portuguese*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Costa, J. and Duarte, I. (2002). 'Preverbal subjects in null subject languages are not necessarily dislocated', *Journal of Portuguese Linguistics* 1: 157–75.
- Costa, J. and Martins, A. M. (2011). 'On focus movement in European Portuguese', *Probus* 23: 217–45.
- Crocco, C. (2013). 'Is Italian clitic right dislocation grammaticalized? A prosodic analysis of yes/no questions and statements', *Lingua* 133: 30–52.
- Cruschina, S. (2010). 'Syntactic extraposition and clitic resumption in Italian', *Lingua* 120: 50–73.
- Cruschina, S. (2012). *Discourse-related Features and Functional Projections*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Cruschina, S. (2015). 'Some notes on clefting and fronting'. In Di Domenico, E., Hamann, C., and Matteini, S. (eds), *Structures, Strategies and Beyond. Studies in Honour of Adriana Belletti*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 181–208.
- Cruschina, S. (2016). 'Information and discourse structure'. In Ledgeway, A. and Maiden, M. (eds), *The Oxford Guide to the Romance Languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 596–608.
- Cruschina, S. (2019). 'Focus fronting in Spanish: mirative implicature and information structure', *Probus* 31: 119–46.
- Cruschina, S. (2021). 'Focus and focus structures in the Romance languages'. In Gardani, F. and Loporcaro, M. (eds), *The Oxford Encyclopaedia of Romance Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cruschina, S., and Remberger, E.-M. (2009). 'Focus fronting in Sardinian and Sicilian'. In Moscati, V. and Servidio, E. (eds), *Proceedings of the XXXV Incontro di Grammatica Generativa 2009 (STiL 3)*. Università di Siena: CISCL, 118–30.
- Cruschina, S., and Remberger, E.-M. (2017). 'Focus fronting.' In Dufter, G. and Stark, E. (eds), *Manual of Romance Morphosyntax and Syntax*. Berlin/Boston: Mouton de Gruyter, 502–35.
- Cruschina, S., Giurgea, I., and Remberger, E.-M. (2015). 'Focus fronting between declaratives and exclamatives', *Revue roumaine de linguistique* 60: 257–75.
- D'Imperio, M. 2002. 'Italian intonation: an overview and some questions'. *Probus* 14: 37–69.
- De Cat, C. (2007). *French Dislocation: Interpretation, Syntax, Acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- De Cesare, A. M. (2017). 'Cleft constructions'. In Dufter, G. and Stark, E. (eds), *Manual of Romance Morphosyntax and Syntax*, Berlin/Boston: Mouton de Gruyter, 536–68.
- Delais-Roussarie, E., Doetjes, J., and Sleeman, P. (2004). 'Dislocation'. In Corblin, F. and de Swart, H. (eds), *Handbook of French Semantics*. Stanford: CSLI Publications, 501–28.
- Dik, S. (1978). *Functional Grammar*. Amsterdam: North Holland.
- Dobrovie-Sorin, C. (1990). 'Clitic doubling, wh-movement, and quantification in Romanian', *Linguistic Inquiry* 21: 351–97.
- Dobrovie-Sorin, C. (1994). *The Syntax of Romanian*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Donati, C. and Nespor, M. (2003). 'From focus to syntax', *Lingua* 113: 1119–42.
- Drijkoningen, F. and Kampers-Manhe, B. (2012). 'Word order in French and the influence of topic and focus', *Linguistics* 50: 65–104.
- Duarte, I. (1987). *A construção de topicalização na gramática do português: regência, ligação e condições sobre movimento*. Doctoral thesis, University of Lisbon.

- Duftter, A. (2009). ‘Clefting and discourse organization: comparing Germanic and Romance’. In Dufter, A. and Jacob, D. (eds), *Focus and Background in Romance Languages*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 83–121.
- Kiss, K. É. (1998). ‘Identificational focus versus information focus’, *Language* 74: 245–73.
- Kiss, K. É. (2002). ‘The EPP in a topic-prominent language’. In Svenonius, P. (ed), *Subjects, Expletives, and the EPP*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 107–24.
- Erteschik-Shir, N. (1997). *The Dynamics of Focus Structure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Erteschik-Shir, N. (2007). *Information Structure. The Syntax–Discourse Interface*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Estebas-Vilaplana, E. 2009. *The Use and Realisation of Accentual Focus in Central Catalan with a Comparison to English*. Munich: Lincom Europe.
- Face, T. L. and D’Imperio, M. (2005). ‘Reconsidering a focal typology: evidence from Spanish and Italian’, *Italian Journal of Linguistics* 17: 271–89.
- Feldhausen, I., Pešková, A., Kireva, E., and Gabriel, C. (2011). ‘Categorical perception of Porteño nuclear accents’, in Lee, W. S. and Zee, E. (eds), *Proceedings of the 17th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences 2011*. Hong Kong: City University of Hong Kong, 116–19.
- Ferrari, A. (1999). ‘L’extra-posizione a destra in italiano, con osservazioni sul francese’. In Sabatini, G. (ed.), *Linguistica testuale comparativa. In memoriam Maria-Elisabeth Conte*. Copenhagen: MuseumTusculanum, 111–40.
- Féry, C. and Samek-Lodovici, V. (2006). ‘Focus projection and prosodic prominence in nested foci’, *Language* 82: 131–50.
- Floricic, F. (2013). ““Bare quantifiers” and Topics in Italian”. In Kabatek, J. and Wall, A. (eds), *New Perspectives on Bare Noun Phrases in Romance and Beyond*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 255–82.
- Frascarelli, M. (1999). ‘The prosody of focus in Italian (and the syntax–phonology interface)’, *Probus* 11: 209–38.
- Frascarelli, M. (2000). *The Syntax–Phonology Interface in Focus and Topic Constructions in Italian*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Frascarelli, M. (2004). ‘Dislocation, clitic resumption and minimality: a comparative analysis of left and right topic constructions in Italian’. In Bok-Bennema, R., Hollebrandse, B., Kampers-Manhe, B., and Sleeman, P. (eds), *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2002: Selected Papers from ‘Going Romance’, Groningen, 28–30 November 2002*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 98–118.
- Frascarelli, M. (2007). ‘Subjects, topics and the interpretation of referential pro: an interface approach to the linking of (null) pronouns’, *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 25: 691–734.
- Frascarelli, M. (2017). ‘Dislocations and framings’. In Dufter, G. and Stark, E. (eds), *Manual of Romance Morphosyntax and Syntax*, Berlin/Boston: Mouton de Gruyter, 472–501.

- Frascarelli, M. and Hinterhölzl, R. (2007). ‘Types of topics in German and Italian’. In Schwabe, K. and Winkler, S. (eds), *On Information Structure Meaning and Form*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 87–116.
- Frota, S. (2000). *Prosody and Focus in European Portuguese. Phonological Phrasing and Intonation*. New York: Garland.
- Frota, S., D’Imperio, M., Elordieta, G., Prieto, P., and Vigário, M. (2007). ‘The phonetics and phonology of intonational phrasing in Romance’. In Prieto, P., Mascaró, J., and Solé, M.-J. (eds), *Segmental and Prosodic Issues in Romance Phonology*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 131–53.
- Gabriel, C. (2010). ‘On focus, prosody, and word order in Argentinean [sic] Spanish: a minimalist OT account’, *Revista Virtual de Estudos da Linguagem* 4: 183–222.
- Giurgea, I. (2015a). ‘Bare quantifier fronting as contrastive topicalization’, *Bucharest Working Papers in Linguistics* 17: 23–38.
- Giurgea, I. (2015b). ‘Types of exclamative clauses in Romanian’, *Revue roumaine de linguistique* 60 : 3–27.
- Giurgea, I. (2016). ‘On the interpretation of focus fronting in Romanian’, *Bucharest Working Papers in Linguistics* 18: 37–61.
- Giurgea, I. (2017a). ‘Preverbal subjects and topic marking in Romanian’, *Revue roumaine de linguistique* 62: 279–322.
- Giurgea, I. (2017b). ‘Non-referential topical phrases in Romanian and the theory of topicality’, *Bucharest Working Papers in Linguistics* 19: 35–67.
- Giurgea, I. and Mîrcea Vasile, C. (2017) ‘Syntactic effects of verum focus in Romanian’, *Revue roumaine de linguistique* 62: 323–38.
- Giurgea, I. and Remberger, E.-M. (2012a). ‘Zur informationsstrukturellen Konfiguration und Variation postverbaler Subjekte in den romanischen Null-Subjekt-Sprachen’, *Zeitschrift für Sprachwissenschaft* 31: 43–99.
- Giurgea, I. and Remberger, E.-M. (2012b). ‘Verum focus and polar questions’, *Bucharest Working Papers in Linguistics* 14: 21–40.
- Giurgea, I. and Remberger, E.-M. (2014). ‘Polarity fronting in Romanian and Sardinian’. In Côté, M.-E., and Mathieu, E. (eds), *Variation Within and Across Romance Languages. Selected papers from the 41st Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages (LSRL), Ottawa, 5–7 May 2011*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 173–98.
- Göbbel, E. (1998). ‘Focus movement in Romanian’. In Benedicto, E., Romero, M., and Tomioka, S. (eds), *Proceedings of the Workshop on Focus*. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Occasional Papers, 83–99.
- Goodall, G. (2001). ‘The EPP in Spanish’. In Davies, W. D. and Dubinsky, S. (eds), *Objects and Other Subjects: Grammatical Functions, Functional Categories and Configurationality*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 193–223.
- Grohmann, K. (2003). *Prolific Domains: On the Anti-locality of Movement Dependencies*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- Gundel, J. (1974). *The Role of Topic and Comment in Linguistic Theory*. Doctoral thesis, University of Texas.

- Gutiérrez-Bravo, R. (2007). 'Prominence scales and unmarked word order in Spanish', *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 25: 235–71.
- Gutiérrez-Bravo, R. (2008). 'La identificación de los tópicos y los focos', *Nueva revista de filología hispánica* 56: 363–401.
- Gutiérrez-Bravo, R., Sobrino, C. M., and Uth, M. (2019). 'Contrastive focus in Yucatecan Spanish'. In Gallego, Á. (ed.), *The Syntactic Variation of Spanish Dialects*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 275–96.
- Halliday, M. (1967). 'Notes on transitivity and theme in English: Part 2', *Journal of Linguistics* 3: 199–244.
- Heidinger, S. (2015). 'Optionality and preferences in Spanish postverbal constituent order. An OT account without basic constituent order', *Lingua* 162: 102–27.
- Heidinger, S. (2018). 'Acceptability and frequency in Spanish focus marking'. In García García, M. and Uth, M. (eds), *Focus Realization in Romance and Beyond*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 99–128.
- Hill, V. (2002). 'Adhering focus', *Linguistic Inquiry* 33: 164–72.
- Hoot, B. (2012). *Presentational Focus in Heritage and Monolingual Spanish*. Doctoral thesis, University of Illinois at Chicago.
- Hoot, B. (2016). 'Narrow presentational focus in Mexican Spanish', *Probus* 28: 335–65.
- Hualde, J. I. (2002). 'Intonation in Spanish and the other Ibero-Romance languages: overview and status quaestionis'. In Wiltshire, C. R. and Camps, J. (eds), *Romance Phonology and Variation*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 101–15.
- Iatridou, S. (1995). 'Clitics and island effects'. In Izvorski, R. and Tredinnick, V. (eds), *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics* 2: 11–30.
- Jackendoff, R. (1972). *Semantic Interpretation in Generative Grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Jacobs, J. (2001). 'The dimensions of topic-comment', *Linguistics* 39: 641–81.
- Jiménez Fernández, Á. (2015a). 'Towards a typology of focus: subject position and microvariation at the discourse–syntax interface', *Ampersand* 2: 49–60.
- Jiménez Fernández, Á. (2015b). 'When focus goes wild: an empirical study of two syntactic positions for information focus', *Linguistics Beyond and Within* 1: 119–33.
- Jones, M. (1993). *Sardinian Syntax*. London/New York: Routledge.
- Jones, M. (2013). 'Fronting, focus, and illocutionary force in Sardinian', *Lingua* 134: 75–101.
- Kato, M. A. and Martins, A. M. (2016). 'European Portuguese and Brazilian Portuguese: an overview on word order'. In Wetzel, L., Menuzzi, S., and Costa, J. (eds), *The Handbook of Portuguese Linguistics*. Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell, 15–40.
- Kayne, R. (1994). *The Antisymmetry of Syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Klein, W. (2008). 'The topic situation'. In Ahrenholz B., Bredel, U., Klein, W., Rost-Roth, M., and Skiba, R. (eds), *Empirische Forschung und*

- Theoriebildung: Beiträge aus Soziolinguistik, Gesprochene-Sprache- und Zweitspracherwerbsforschung: Festschrift für Norbert Dittmar. Frankfurt am Main: Lang, 287–305.
- Krifka, M. (2006). ‘Can focus accenting be eliminated in favor of deaccenting given constituents?’. In Gyuris, B., Kálmán, L., Piñon, C., and Varasdi, C. (eds), *Proceedings of the Ninth Symposium on Logic and Language*. Budapest: Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 107–19.
- Krifka, M. (2007). ‘Basic notions of information structure’. In Féry, C., Fanselow, G., and Krifka, M. (eds), *Interdisciplinary Studies on Information Structure 6*. Potsdam: Universitätsverlag, 13–55.
- Kuno, S. (1972). ‘Functional sentence perspective: a case study from Japanese and English’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 3: 269–336.
- Kuroda, S.-Y. (1972). ‘The categorical and thetic judgement: evidence from Japanese syntax’, *Foundations of Language* 9: 153–85.
- La Fauci, N., and Loporcaro, M. (1997). ‘Outline of a theory of existentials on evidence from Romance’, *Studi Italiani di Linguistica Teorica e Applicata* 26: 5–55.
- Lahousse, K. (2003). *The Distribution of Postverbal Nominal Subjects in French: a Syntactic, Semantic and Pragmatic Analysis*. Doctoral thesis, KU Leuven and Université de Paris 8.
- Lahousse, K. (2004). ‘La complexité de la notion de topique et l’inversion du sujet nominal’, *Travaux de linguistique* 47 : 111–36.
- Lahousse, K. (2008). ‘Implicit stage topics’, *Discours : Revue de linguistique, psycholinguistique et informatique* 1. <http://discours.revues.org/117>.
- Lahousse, K. (2011). *Quand passent les cigognes: Le sujet nominal postverbal en français moderne*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de Vincennes.
- Lambrecht, K. (1981). *Topic, Antitopic, and Verb Agreement in Non-standard French*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Lambrecht, K. (1994). *Information Structure and Sentence Form: Topic, Focus, and the Mental Representation of Discourse Referents*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lambrecht, K. (2000). ‘When subjects behave like objects: an analysis of the merging of S and O in sentence-focus constructions across languages’, *Studies in Language* 24: 611–82.
- Lambrecht, K. (2001). ‘A framework for the analysis of cleft constructions’, *Linguistics* 39: 463–516.
- Lasnik, H. and Stowell, T. (1991). ‘Weakest crossover’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 22: 687–720.
- Lebeaux, D. (1989). *Language Acquisition and the Form of Grammar*. Doctoral thesis, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Ledgeway, A. (2012). *From Latin to Romance. Morphosyntactic Typology and Change*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Leonetti, M. (2017). ‘Basic constituent orders’. In Dufter, A. and Stark, E. (eds), *Manual of Romance Morphosyntax and Syntax*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 887–932.

- Leonetti, M. (2018). 'Two types of potsverbal subject', *Italian Journal of Linguistics* 30: 11–36.
- Leonetti, M. and Escandell-Vidal, M. (2009), 'Fronting and verum focus in Spanish'. In Dufter, A. and Jacob, A. (eds), *Focus and Background in Romance Languages*, Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 155–204.
- Lobo, M. and Martins, A. M. (2017). 'Subjects'. In Dufter, A. and Stark, E. (eds), *Manual of Romance Morphosyntax and Syntax*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 27–88.
- López, L. (2009). *A Derivational Syntax for Information Structure*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Manzini, M. R. and Savoia, L. M. (2005). *I dialetti italiani e romanci: morfosintassi generativa*. Alessandria: Edizioni dell'Orso.
- Marandin, J.-M. (2001). 'Unaccusative inversion in French'. In D'Hulst, Y., Rooryck, J., and Schroten, J. (eds), *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 1999*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 195–222.
- Motapanyane, V. (1994). 'On preverbal positions in Romanian', *Revue Canadienne de Linguistique/Canadian Journal of Linguistics* 39: 15–36.
- Motapanyane, V. (1998). 'Focus, checking theory and fronting strategies in Romanian', *Studia Linguistica* 55: 227–43.
- Neeleman, A., Titov, E., van de Koot, H., and Vermeulen, R. (2009). 'A syntactic typology of topic, focus and contrast'. In van Craenenbroeck, J. (ed), *Alternatives to Cartography*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 15–52.
- Olarrea, A. (1996). *Pre- and Postverbal Subject Positions in Spanish: A Minimalist Account*. Doctoral thesis, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Ordóñez, F. (1998). 'The inversion construction in interrogatives in Spanish and Catalan'. In Lema, J. and Treviño, E. (eds), *Theoretical Analyses on Romance Languages: Selected Papers from the 26th Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages (LSRL XXVI)*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 329–50.
- Ordóñez, F. and Treviño, E. (1999). 'Left dislocated subjects and the pro-drop parameter. A case study of Spanish', *Lingua* 107: 39–68.
- Ortega-Santos, I. (2016). *Focus-Related Operations at the Right Edge in Spanish. Subjects and Ellipsis*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- Percus, O. (1997). 'Prying open the cleft'. In Kusumoto, K. (ed.), *Proceedings of the 27th Annual Meeting of the North-East Linguistics Society (NELS)*. Amherst: GLSA Publications, 337–51.
- Poletto, C. and Bocci, G. (2016). 'Syntactic and prosodic effects on information structure in Romance'. In Féry, C. and Ishihara, S. (eds), *Handbook of Information Structure*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 642–62.
- Portner, P. and Yabushita, K. (1998). 'The semantics and pragmatics of topic phrases', *Linguistics and Philosophy* 21: 117–57.
- Prieto, P. (2014). 'The intonational phonology of Catalan'. In Jun, S.-A. (ed), *Prosodic Typology II: The Phonology of Intonation and Phrasing*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 43–80.

- Quer, J. (2002). 'Edging quantifiers. On QP-fronting in western Romance'. In Beyssade, C. Bok-Bennema, R., Drijkonigen, F., and Monachesi, P. (eds), *Romance Linguistics and Linguistic Theory 2000*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 254–70.
- Raposo, E. (1998). 'Definite/zero alternations in Portuguese: towards a unification of topic constructions'. In Schwegler, A., Tranel, B., and Uribe-Extebarria, M. (eds), *Romance Linguistics: Theoretical Perspectives*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 197–212.
- Reinhart, T. (1981). 'Pragmatics and linguistics: an analysis of sentence topics'. *Philosophica* 27: 53–94.
- Remberger, E.-M. (2009). 'Null subjects, expletives and locatives in Sardinian'. In Kaiser, G., and Remberger, E.-M. (eds), *Null Subjects, Expletives and Locatives in Romance*. Konstanz: Konstanzer Arbeitspapiere des Fachbereichs Sprachwissenschaft, 231–61. <http://kops.ub.uni-konstanz.de/volltexte/2009/7860/>.
- Remberger, E.-M. (2010). 'Left peripheral interactions in Sardinian', *Lingua* 120: 555–81.
- Remberger, E.-M. (2014). 'A comparative look on focus fronting in Romance'. In Dufter, A. and Toledo y Huerta, À. O. (eds), *Left Sentence Peripheries of Spanish. Diachronic, Variationist and Comparative Perspectives*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 383–418.
- Rivero, M. (1980). 'On left-dislocation and topicalization in Spanish', *Linguistic Inquiry* 11: 363–93.
- Rizzi, L. (1982). *Issues in Italian syntax*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Rizzi, L. (1990). *Relativized Minimality*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rizzi, L. (1996). 'Residual verb second and the Wh-Criterion'. In Belletti, A. and Rizzi, L. (eds), *Parameters and Functional Heads: Essays in Comparative Syntax*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 63–90.
- Rizzi, L. (1997). 'The fine structure of the left periphery'. In Haegeman, L. (ed.), *Elements of Grammar*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 281–337.
- Rizzi, L. (2004). 'Locality and left periphery'. In Belletti, A. (ed.), *Structures and Beyond. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures*, Vol. 3. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 223–51.
- Rizzi, L. (2005). 'On some properties of subjects and topics'. In Brugge, L., Giusti, G., Munaro, N., Schweikert, W., and Turano, G. (eds), *Proceedings of the XXX Incontro di Grammatica Generativa*. Venice: Cafoscarina, 203–24.
- Rizzi, L. (2018). 'Subjects, topics and the interpretation of *pro*'. In Petrosino, R., Cerrone, P., and van der Hulst, H. (eds), *Beyond the Veil of Maya. From Sounds to Structures*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 510–29.
- Rizzi, L. and Shlonsky, U. (2006). 'English locative inversion and heavy NP shift'. In Frascarelli, M. (ed.), *Phases of Interpretation*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 341–61.
- Roberts, C. (1996). 'Information structure in discourse: towards an integrated formal theory of pragmatics'. In Yoon, J. H. and Kathol, A. (eds),

- Papers in Semantics* (OSU Working Papers in Linguistics 49). Columbus: Ohio State University Press, 91–136.
- Roberts, C. (2011). ‘Topics’. In von Heusinger, K., Maienborn, C., and Portner, P. (eds), *Semantics: An International Handbook of Natural Language Meaning*. Berlin/Boston: Mouton de Gruyter, 1908–34.
- Rochemont, M. (2013). ‘Discourse new, F-marking and normal stress’, *Lingua* 136: 38–62.
- Rochemont, M. (2016). ‘Givenness’. In Féry, C. and Ishihara, S. (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Information Structure*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 41–63.
- Rooth, M. (1985). *Association with Focus*. Doctoral thesis, University of Massachusetts at Amherst.
- Rooth, M. (1992). ‘A theory of focus interpretation’, *Natural Language Semantics* 1: 75–116.
- Rooth, M. (2005). ‘Topic accents on quantifiers’. In Carlson, G. and Pelletier, J. (eds), *Reference and Quantification: the Partee Effect*. Stanford: CSLI Publications, 303–28.
- Sabio, F. (1995). ‘Micro-syntaxe et macro-syntaxe: l’exemple des “compléments antéposés” en français’, *Recherches sur le français parlé* 13: 111–55.
- Sabio, F. (2006). ‘L’antéposition des compléments en français contemporain: l’exemple des objets directs’, *Lingisticae Investigationes* 29: 173–82.
- Samek-Lodovici, V. (2006). ‘When right dislocation meets the left-periphery. A unified analysis of Italian non-final focus’, *Lingua* 116: 836–73.
- Samek-Lodovici, V. (2015). *The Interaction of Focus, Givenness, and Prosody*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sánchez Alvarado, C. (2018). ‘The realization of focus in Asturian Spanish’, *Journal of Portuguese Linguistics* 17: 1–28.
- Sasse, H.-J. (1987). ‘The thetic/categorical distinction revisited’, *Linguistics* 25: 511–80.
- Sasse, H.-J. (1995). ‘“Theticity” and VS order: a case study’. In Matras, Y. and Sasse, H.-J. (eds), *Verb–Subject Order and Theticity in European Languages*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 3–31.
- Sasse, H.-J. (2006). ‘Theticity’. In Bernini, G. and Schwartz, M. (eds), *Pragmatic Organization of Discourse in the Languages of Europe*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 257–308.
- Sauzet, P. (1989). ‘Topicalisation et prolepse en occitan’, *Revue des langues romanes* 93: 235–73.
- Schwarzschild, R. (1999). ‘Givenness, AvoidF and other constraints on the placement of accent’, *Natural Language Semantics* 7: 141–77.
- Selkirk, E. (2008). ‘Contrastive focus, givenness and the unmarked status of “discourse-new”’, *Acta Linguistica Hungarica* 24: 331–46.
- Sheehan, M. (2007). *The EPP and Null Subjects in Romance*. Doctoral thesis, Newcastle University.

- Sheehan, M. (2010) ‘‘Free’ inversion in Romance and the null subject parameter’. In Biberauer, T., Holmberg, A., Roberts, I., and Sheehan, M. (eds), *Parametric Variation: Null Subjects in Minimalist Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 231–63.
- Soare, G. (2009). *The Syntax–Information Structure Interface: A Comparative View from Romanian*. Doctoral thesis, University of Geneva.
- Sola i Pujols, J. (1992). *Agreement and Subjects*. Doctoral thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- Sportiche, D. (1996). ‘Clitic constructions’. In Zaring, D. and Rooryck, J. (eds), *Phrase Structure and the Lexicon*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 213–76.
- Stalnaker, R. (1978). ‘Assertion’, *Syntax and Semantics* 9: 315–32.
- Strawson, P. F. (1964), ‘Identifying reference and truth-values’, *Theoria* 30: 86–99.
- Suñer, M. (1994). ‘V-Movement and the licensing of argumental Wh-phrases in Spanish’, *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 12: 335–72.
- Suñer, M. (2003). ‘The lexical preverbal subject in a Romance null subject language: where art thou?’. In Nunez-Cedeno, R., Lopez, L., and Cameron, R. (eds), *A Romance Perspective in Language Knowledge and Use: Selected Papers from the 31st Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages (LSRL), Chicago, 19–22 April 2001*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 341–57.
- Suñer, M. (2006). ‘Left dislocation with and without epithets’, *Probus* 18: 127–58.
- Szendrői, K. (2001). *Focus and the Syntax–Phonology Interface*. Doctoral thesis, University College London.
- Szendrői, K. (2003). ‘A stress-based approach to the syntax of Hungarian focus’, *Linguistic Review* 20: 37–78.
- Uth, M. (2014). ‘Spanish preverbal subjects in contexts of narrow information focus: non-contrastive focalization or epistemic-evidential marking?’, *Grazer Linguistische Studien* 81: 87–104.
- Uth, M. (2018). ‘Focus realization at the prosody–syntax interface. Yucatecan Spanish opposed to Standard Mexican Spanish’. In García García, M. and Uth, M. (eds), *Focus Realization in Romance and Beyond*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 71–98.
- Vallduví, E. (1992). *The Informational Component*. New York: Garland.
- Vallduví, E. (1993). *Information Packaging: A Survey*. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh.
- Vallduví, E. (1995). ‘Structural properties of information packaging in Catalan’, in Kiss, K. É. (ed), *Discourse Configurational Languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 122–52.
- van Kuppevelt, J. (1995). ‘Discourse structure, topicality and questioning’, *Journal of Linguistics* 31: 109–47.
- Vanrell, M. and Fernández-Soriano, O. (2013). ‘Variation at the interfaces in Ibero-Romance. Catalan and Spanish prosody and word order’, *Catalan Journal of Linguistics* 12: 253–82.

- Vanrell, M. and Fernández-Soriano, O. (2018). ‘Language variation at the prosody-syntax interface. Focus in European Spanish’. In García García, M. and Uth, M. (eds), *Focus Realization in Romance and Beyond*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 33–70.
- Villa-García, J. 2013. ‘On the status of preverbal subjects in Spanish: evidence for a dedicated subject position’. In Keine, S. and Sloggett, S. (eds), *Proceedings of NELS 42*. Amherst: GLSA Publications.
- Villalba, X. (2000). *The Syntax of Sentence Periphery*. Doctoral thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- von Fintel, K. (1994). *Restrictions on Quantifier Domains*. Doctoral thesis, University of Massachusetts.
- von Heusinger, K. (2002). ‘Specificity and definiteness in sentence and discourse structure’, *Journal of Semantics* 19: 245–74.
- Zafiu, R. (2013). ‘Information structure’. In Pană Dindelegan, G. (ed), *The Grammar of Romanian*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 568–75.
- Ziv, Y. (1994). ‘Left and right dislocations: discourse functions and anaphora’, *Journal of Pragmatics* 22: 629–45.
- Zubizarreta, M. L. (1998). *Prosody, Focus and Word Order*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Zubizarreta, M. L. (1999). ‘Las funciones informativas: tema y foco’. In Bosque, I. and Demonte, V. (eds), *Gramática descriptiva de la lengua española*. Madrid: Espasa, 4215–44.