

COMMENTS AND ERRATA FOR CHAOTIC DYNAMICS TEXT

Here are corrections and comments as they stand so far. I wish to thank Christopher Grant of BYU for pointing out many of the typos and errors. Please let me know at ggoodson@towson.edu, of any other typos/inaccuracies that you or your students find.

Geoff Goodson

Page 17, Exercises 1.2.8: the term “eventually periodic” is not defined until Definition 2.3.1(ii). Replace the second sentence by: Show that every point in S is either a fixed point or is mapped to a fixed point.

Page 44, Exercises 2.2.4: should read “into the interval”

Page 44, Exercises 2.2.6(a): replace $1 - 1/\mu < 1/2$ by $1 - 1/\mu \leq 1/2$

Page 50, Exercises 2.3.9 This question would be better in a different section with more explanation. If F_μ is independent of μ and has a super-attracting 2-cycle, then this would violate this exercise.

Page 69, Exercise 2.8.3: the third term should read $\frac{\mu^3}{1 + \mu^3}$

Page 71, Lemma 3.1.3 requires that I be a compact interval ($I = [a, b]$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, as is mentioned in Theorem 1.2.9).

Page 72, line 2: The two characterizations of α are not equivalent. Replace I with $I \cap [p, q]$.

Page 77, Exercise 3.2.1: The problem is not that f is discontinuous (since it is continuous on its domain), but that its domain is not connected.

Page 78, Exercise 3.2.11: using Sharkovsky’s Theorem is not necessary as this can be shown directly.

Page 78, Exercise 3.2.12: should read “Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a ...”. (Otherwise $[a, b] = [0, 1]$, $f(x) = 1 - 2x$ and $c = 0$ is a counterexample).

Page 82, Example 4.1.5: should also mention that $[0, 1]$ is an open ball.

Page 85, Theorem 4.2.6: The proof of (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) needs some adjustment since not every point of X need be a limit point of A (for example if $x \in A$ is an isolated point).

Page 94, Exercise 4.3.9: Should read “Let X be a metric space that is not the union of two non-empty open sets”.

Page 99, Exercise 4.4.5: Add “ $f(x) \neq x$ ”

Page 127, line 1: first line should read “Suppose that $s_j \neq t_j$ for some ...”

Page 130, the parenthetical remark in the proof of Lemma 6.6.2 belongs in the third sentence, not in the second sentence.

Page 131, line -12, replace “=” by “ $\subset$ ”.

Page 137, Exercises 7.1.7: line 4 should read “Use the conjugacy $h : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$, ”

Page 142, Exercises 7.2.2: should read “show that f is a factor of D ”

Page 142, Exercises 7.2.3(b): should read “Show that g is a factor of the map $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $F(x) = 3x \pmod{1}$ ”

Page 142, Exercises 7.2.7: assume that f and g are differentiable.

Page 145, Exercise 7.3.3(e) should read “Deduce that if $c < 0$, then ”

Page 149, line -12: “ $f'''(x_0) > 0$ ” should read “ $f'''(x_0) \geq 0$ ”.

Page 150, line 7: “negative” should read “non-positive”.

Page 150: In the proof of Corollary 8.2.1, the possibility that the basin of attraction is $[0, 1]$ is neglected.

Page 150, Exercises 8.2.6(c): should read “if and only if $p'(x) > 0$ for all x ”

Page 150, line -2, “ $L_\mu(x)$ ” should read “ $SL_\mu(x)$ ”.

Page 153, Exercises 8.2.9(b): should read “Use Lemma 8.1.1 to show..”

Page 164, Exercises 9.2.5: should read “ $\subseteq [\mu - \mu^2/2, \mu/2]$.”

Page 171, line -9: should read “ $\dim(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log 20^n}{\log 3^n} = \dots$ ”

Page 178, Theorem 10.5.5 needs the hypothesis that $X \neq \emptyset$.

Page 180, line 6: Replace “ $<$ ” by “ $\leq$ ”.

Page 180, Proposition 10.5.8, line 2 of the proof: Replace “ $z = f(y) \in f(U_\delta(A))$ ” by “ $y \in f(U_\delta(A))$ ”

Page 181, line 2: Replace $>$ by $\geq$.

Page 184, line -2, (start of Section 11.1), replace “ $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ” with “ $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, b > 1$ ”.

Page 186, Example 11.1.3(3), replace “ $\overline{.00011}$ ” with “ $\overline{.00011}$ ”.

Page 186, line 14 should say “ $r \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ ” instead of “ $r \notin \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ ”.

Page 197, line -6, “ $f(x) - x$ ” should read “ $f^2(x) - x$ ”.

Page 198, line -3, In the proof of Coppel’s Theorem, $q \leq r$, is not used, so should be deleted.

Page 202, line 5: “ $f(x) < z$ ” should read “ $f(x) \leq z$ ”.

Page 207, Exercise 12.3.10(a): should read “then f has a fixed point $z < b\dots$ ”

Page 210, line 12: Replace “ $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ” by “ $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ”.

Page 213, line -3: Should read “of algebraic multiplicity two, with a one-dimensional eigenspace (geometric multiplicity one), ”.

Page 215, Exercise 13.1.5: should read “has a single eigenvalue of algebraic multiplicity two, ...”

Page 215, Exercise 13.1.6: should read “Show A has a single eigenvalue of geometric multiplicity one, ...”

Page 216, Exercise 13.1.7(d): should read “... triangle $\{(\tau, \Delta) : \Delta < 1, 4\Delta > \tau^2\}$”

Page 226, line 7: should read “Let $(x_1, x_2)^t \in \mathbb{T}^2$...”

Page 234, Exercise 14.2.5: delete the hint.

Page 234, Section 14.3, line 10: should read “as the closure of the repelling periodic points of f .”

Page 238, Exercise 14.3.8: should read “...and the set $D_r = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} : d(z, 0) > r\}$ is open ...”

Page 239, Section 14.4, line 10: should read “so they represent all such polynomials ...”

Page 243, The last paragraph of Theorem 14.4.5: should start: “The continuity of F_c implies that each of the sets $F_c^{-n}A$ is closed and they are non-empty (from the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra).” In addition, there are missing A ’s in the last three lines of the proof.

Page 244, The Julia set on the left is actually for $c = -.4 - .3i$.

Page 244, line 1 of the proof, delete the “a” before “closed”. At the end of line 4 of the proof, add “(other than $\mathbb{C}$ itself, which is a non-empty open set in $\mathbb{C}$ having empty boundary).” In the last line of the proof, replace “invariant” by “completely invariant”.

Page 246, Theorem 14.4.9(a) should read “If $0 \notin K(F_c)$, then the Julia set of F_c is a type of Cantor set (a totally disconnected set called *fractal dust*).”

Page 254, Section 14.5, line 10: should read “... $z^2 - z + c = 0$ and $|f'_c(z_1)| < 1$...”. There is a missing closed parenthesis on line –10, and one of the repeated factors there should have a minus in place of a plus.

Page 255, Exercise 14.5.1(c): should read “(Hint: Modify the proof of Proposition 14.4.4) ...”

Page 262: Remove Exercise 14.6.2(c) as it appears earlier in the text (see Exercises 14.3.3), and relabel Exercise 14.6.2(d) as Exercise 14.6.2(c).

Page 270, Exercise 14.7.8: line 2 should read: “Prove that if $z \neq 0$ is purely imaginary...”.

Page 270, Exercise 14.7.11: should read: (a) If $g = f/f'$, where f is sufficiently many times differentiable, derive the formulas for Halley’s Method, of Section 14.7.12 for N_g and N'_g .

(b) If instead $g = f/(f')^{1/2}$, prove that $N'_g(\alpha) = N''_g(\alpha) = 0$ and $N'''_g(\alpha) = -Sf(\alpha)$, where Sf is the Schwarzian derivative of f .

Page 276, line -3: should read: “ $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the set...”

Page 293, Exercise 16.1.4(c): We should assume that m is even. The question is better read as: “is rotated through $-\pi/3$ radians and then translated by $\alpha(2^n)$, show...”. (First rotate keeping $\alpha(0)$ fixed at the origin, then translate).

Page 310: Exercise 16.4.7: Interchange exercises (b) and (c). (Since γ is only defined in (c)).

Page 312, In Definition 17.1.4 insert “(Limit points of the sequence (x_n) are simply those of the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$).”, at the end of the sentence in line 2 (limit points have been defined only for sets up to this point).

Page 326, Exercise 17.4.6(c): Need the added hypothesis that y be periodic, not just in $O(x)$.

Page 337, line -11: The inclusions go the wrong way - replace “ $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_{m-1}$ ”, by “ $A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_{m-1}$ ”.

Page 345, Lemma 18.3.2: In the first part of the proof, assume that w is not a periodic sequence, as the result is clear in this case. Similarly in the second part, w may be a periodic sequence.

Page 345, lines 6 and 7 should read: “Note that $\overline{O(u)}$ is a finite set if and only if u is shift periodic or shift eventually periodic”.

Page 346. In Example 18.3.7, it may be useful to avoid confusion by changing the subscripts to superscripts.

Page 347, line 2 should read: “ $w_n = 111 \dots 11$, a string of 1’s having the same length as u_n ”.

Page 349, Exercise 18.3.4(a) should read “Conversely, show that if $\overline{O(u)}$ is finite, then u is periodic or eventually periodic”.

Page 353. In Exercise 18.4.6 there should be a capital F in the right-hand side of the displayed equation. Also in part (b) there are a couple of places where “ f ” needs to be changed to “ F ”.

Page 357, line 4: delete the “a” before Sturmian.

Page 361, Proposition 19.2.2, line 2: replace “ $f_\alpha(n)$ ” by “ $f_\alpha(i)$ ”.

Page 362, line 4: delete “essentially”.

Page 362, line 12. Can use the notation “ $\alpha = [0, \overline{1}]$ ”, in place of “ $\alpha = [0, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$ ”.

Page 363, line -8, replace “ θ ” by “ θ_k ”.

Page 369, The indexing is off in the proof of Theorem 19.4.1. To fix this, in line 9 of page 369, replace “ E_n ” by “ E_{n-1} ”. Do the same in line 1 of Lemma 19.4.3 on

Page 380, lines 3 and 8, replace “open set” by “nonempty open set”.