

Corrections to first printing of second edition of  
THE BANACH-TARSKI PARADOX, by G. Tomkowicz and S. Wagon

## Errata

p. xiii, Addendum to Foreword, last line: 13.13  $\rightarrow$  13.15

p. 12, line -4 of the proof:  $\bigcup g_i(S^*)$  should be  $\bigcup g_i(A_i^*)$

p. 15, line 12: is  $\rightarrow$  is in

p. 15 line 9  $V_\sigma^-$  should be  $V_\tau$

p. 15 line 10  $V_\tau$  should be  $V_\tau^-$

p. 15 line 11  $V_\tau^-$  should be  $V_\sigma^-$

p. 20 line 8  $(3, (0,1,2))$  should be  $(3, (2,1,0))$

p. 52 line 7:  $S_{2-i}$  should be  $S_{3-i}$ ; twice.

p. 52, line 13 after proof of 4.11, “weak Sierpinski” should be “weak Mycielski”.

p. 89, line 5: So  $V_i$  here should be  $V_j$ .

p. 90, Proof of Claim line 4:  $s$  to  $s + 1$  should be  $n$  to  $n + 1$ .

p. 90, line 2,  $M_{i_1} M_{i_2} \cdot \cdot \cdot M_{i_2}$  should be  $M_{i_1} M_{i_2} \cdot \cdot \cdot M_{i_s}$

p. 91. Conjecture 6.11 has been settled positively by Michael Elgersma and Stan Wagon.

Michael Elgersma and Stan Wagon, An asymptotically closed loop of tetrahedra, *The Mathematical Intelligencer*, 2017, to appear.

Michael Elgersma and Stan Wagon, The Quadrahelix: A nearly perfect loop of tetrahedra, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.00280>, submitted Oct 1, 2016.

p. 111, line before Thm. 7.18: §4.6 should be §4.5.

p. 112, line -6: [Myc258]  $\rightarrow$  [Myc58b]

Page 126. The question at the end of §8.1 regarding conditions on a metric space has been solved and the answer is YES. The paper, by G. Tomkowicz, has been submitted.

p. 179, third line of the proof of 10.24: algebraically independent  $\rightarrow$  linearly independent.

p. 208, line 6: 11.7, should be 11.8.

p. 211, line -20. if  $\kappa$  bears a countably additive measure  $\rightarrow$  if  $\kappa$  bears a  $\kappa$ - additive measure (meaning: the measure is additive for sets of size strictly less than  $\kappa$ )

p. 275, line 10  $\bmod 1 \rightarrow \bmod 1$

p. 294, line -7, 14.5  $\rightarrow$  14.15.

p. 299, line 23: Note that by Theorem 15.2  $\rightarrow$  Note that by Theorem 15.1

p. 346 line 11. Ło should be Łoś

## **New work.**

A. S. Marks and S. T. Unger, Borel circle squaring, Dec. 2016 preprint (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05833>).

This paper shows that the famous circle-squaring of Laczkovich can be carried out with pieces that are Borel sets.

G. Tomkowicz, accepted

A. D. Taylor and S. Wagon, A Paradox Arising from the Elimination of a Paradox, Amer. Math. Monthly, to appear.

---

## Code to Check