## Errata and Comments for Geometry of String Theory Compactifications Alessandro Tomasiello

Last Updated: July 26, 2022

• Comment: (4.3.46) shows that  $D_m$  and  $\nabla_m$  agree in an appropriate sense. You might still be unsettled by its particular instance (4.3.47), which contains the connection explicitly. If so, consider a vector-spinor  $\psi^m$  (such as the gravitino that will appear in later sections). Since it has both an index m and an (implicit) spinor index, the appropriate notion of covariant derivative for such an object contains both the spin connection and the ordinary one:

$$\hat{D}_m(\psi^n) = \partial_m \psi^n + \frac{1}{4} \omega_m^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi^n + \Gamma_{mp}^n \psi^p = D_m \psi^n + \Gamma_{mp}^n \psi^p \,. \tag{0.1}$$

Now (4.3.47) can be recast as

$$\hat{D}_m(\gamma^n \eta) = \gamma^n D_m \eta \,. \tag{0.2}$$

Compare with the hint for Exercise 4.3.15. In the language used here, the term  $D_m D^m$  should be written more precisely as  $\hat{D}_m D^m$ .

- In equation (4.3.75), the 1/8 on the right-hand side should be 1/4. [Thanks to S. Raucci.]
- Below (4.4.34): "elements  $T_i^{0}$ " should be "elements  $T_i$ ".
- (4.5.38) should read

$$X_{\mu} = \cosh \lambda \, \hat{x}_{\mu} \quad (\mu = 0, \dots, d-2) \,, \qquad \eta^{\mu\nu}_{(d-1)} \hat{x}_{\mu} \hat{x}_{\nu} = -1 \,;$$
  

$$X_{d-1} = \sinh \lambda \cosh t \,, \qquad X_d = \sinh \lambda \sinh t \,.$$

$$(0.3)$$

**Comment:** (4.5.38) as written in the book is actually the solution to the second half of exercise (4.5.44).

- In (4.5.39), the  $S^{d-2}$  should be  $H_{d-2}$ , thus making the comment right below a little more sensible.
- Above (7.3.18), below (7.3.20), and above (7.3.25), " $\mu = 1$ " should be " $\mu = i$ "; below (7.3.20), " $\mu = -1$ " should be " $\mu = -i$ ". [Thanks to S. Giri.]

- Two lines above (7.4.11), the reference to (7.4.10) should be more precisely to (7.4.10c).
- Last line before section 7.4.6: "KE<sub>4</sub>" should be "KE<sub>4</sub> =  $\mathbb{CP}^{2"}$ .
- In equation (8.4.33a), " $\alpha_1^2$ " should be " $|\alpha_1|^2$ ".
- Two lines below (8.4.34), " $d\alpha_1$ " should be " $d\alpha_1 = 0$ ".
- In (9.1.38), the index on the α in the second equation should be raised. (It would have been more natural to use α<sub>J</sub> and β<sup>J</sup> on the right-hand side, here and in (8.3.16).)
- In (9.4.30), the exponent should of course not be -1/12, but -1/6 as in the previous equation. Unfortunately this propagates in the next few lines: in the next line again -1/12 → -1/6, in the one right below 1 1/24 → 1 1/12; then in (9.4.31) and twice below, again -1/12 → -1/6. Finally the sum of the deficit angles should not be 2π but 4π. Comment: the 2π looks reassuring, but in fact it is easy to see that 4π is the right result by considering a polyhedron (such as the cube, where a π/2 deficit appears eight times).
- Above (9.5.26), there should be an i in front of  $\tilde{\zeta}_a$ .
- Three lines below (9.5.29): " $D_{\alpha}W$ " should be " $D_{a}W$ ".
- Three lines above (10.1.35); "[429, App. B]" should be "[429, App. D]".
- In (11.1.17): "CFT in d dimensions" should be "CFT in d-1 dimensions". [Thanks to S. Giri.]
- In (11.3.11),  $\tan \theta$  should be  $\cot \theta$ .
- In (11.3.39), last line: the "8" should be a "-8"; in the last equation, an extra term  $-\delta$  should be added to the right-hand side. [4/5/22]
- In the paragraph leading to (12.1.1), " $2\pi i$ " should be " $-2\pi$ "; " $T = \sigma + i$ " should be " $T = \sigma + i\tilde{\zeta}$ ".
- In reference 257, the title is not "Superspace and Supergravity" but "Supersymmetry and Supergravity". [Thanks to M. Ramspeck.]