Micro- and Nanoscale Fluid Mechanics: Transport in Microfluidic Devices Cambridge University Press, 2010 First Edition, First and Second Printings Errata

Brian J. Kirby
Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY
http://www.cambridge.org/kirby
http://www.kirbyresearch.com/textbook

July 22, 2011

1 Errata found in both First and Second Printings

1.1 Technical errata

1. Page 341 Equations 15.19 and 15.20: In both equations, the Ohmic conductivity term should be a ratio of sums not a sum of ratios, so replace $\frac{1}{d} \int_0^d \sum_i \frac{c_i}{c_{i,\infty}} dy$ with

$$\frac{1}{d} \int_0^d \frac{\sum_i c_i}{\sum_i c_{i,\infty}} dy$$

1.2 Typesetting errata

1. The surname Peclet should have an acute accent on the first e throughout the text, i.e., Péclet.

2 Errata unique to the Second Printing

2.1 Technical errata

1. None to date

2.2 Typesetting errata

1. None to date

3 Errata found in First Printing only; Corrected in Second Printing

3.1 Technical errata

- 1. Page 106 Figure 5.2: the unit vectors should be denoted with circumflexes (\hat{n}, \hat{t}) not vector harpoons (\vec{n}, \vec{t}) .
- 2. Page 110 Equation 5.41: The derivative on the left hand side should be a total derivative:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{c}q+\int_{c}\vec{i}\cdot\hat{n}dA=0$$

3. Page 129 Equation 5.100: The denominators should be corrected to indicate partial derivatives:

$$\underline{\varepsilon}_1 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial n} = \underline{\varepsilon}_2 \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial n},$$

4. Page 167 Figure 7.4: bottom of figure: replace $u \propto \frac{1}{2^2}$ with $|\vec{u}| \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^2}}$.

- 5. Page 178 first line above Eq 8.2 should read "given (for steady boundary conditions) by"
- 6. Page 208 Equation 9.29: the premultiplier of the ln term should be 2/z, not 2, leading to

$$\phi^* = \frac{2}{z} \ln \left[\frac{1 + \tanh(z\phi_0^*/4) \exp(-y^*)}{1 - \tanh(z\phi_0^*/4) \exp(-y^*)} \right]$$

7. Page 231 Equation 10.19 should read

$$\varphi_0 = -\frac{RT \ln 10}{z_i F} (pAg - 5.5) .$$

- 8. Page 277 Exercise 12.2: The problem is ambiguous unless the operating regime of the pump is specified. Append to the problem statement the sentence "The pump is operating at its maximum-pressure condition."
- 9. Page 290 paragraph starting "In general", line 1: replace "Henry's function" with "the correction factor"
- 10. Page 291 Figure 13.5 caption: replace "Henry function" with "Electrophoretic correction factor"
- 11. Page 340 Equation 15.17: the second term should have a 1/d premultiplier:

$$\bar{i} = \frac{1}{d} \int_0^d \sum_i c_i u z_i F \, dy + \frac{1}{d} \int_0^d \sum_i c_i \mu_{\text{EP},i} E z_i F \, dy \,.$$

12. Page 365 Equation 16.26: The bracketed term should have both terms from the expansion of $\nabla \cdot \varepsilon \vec{E}$:

$$\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{E}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \nabla \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{E}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\nabla \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{E}} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nabla \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{E}} \right) = 0 \,.$$

- 13. Page 376 Equation 17.7: The left-hand side of the equation should read \vec{E}_{ext} , not \vec{E}_{0} .
- 14. Page 378 Equation 17.23: The second term in the argument of the cosine has a non-sensical ∠Re symbol. The correct expression uses just the ∠ of the complex result. Also, the original equation is missing a premultiplier that incorporates the magnitude of the dot product of the complex phasors:

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{F}} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left(\underline{\vec{\boldsymbol{p}}}_{0} \cdot \nabla \underline{\vec{\boldsymbol{E}}}_{0}^{*} \right) + \left| \underline{\vec{\boldsymbol{p}}}_{0} \cdot \nabla \underline{\vec{\boldsymbol{E}}}_{0} \right| \cos \left[2\omega t + \angle \left(\underline{\vec{\boldsymbol{p}}}_{0} \cdot \nabla \underline{\vec{\boldsymbol{E}}}_{0} \right) \right] \right\}.$$

15. Page 399 2nd bullet in list following Equation 17.71: The denominators should be corrected to indicate partial derivatives:

$$\underline{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial \phi_{0,\mathbf{p}}}{\partial n} = \underline{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{\partial \phi_{0,\mathbf{m}}}{\partial n}$$

- 16. Page 405 Table A.1: the fundamental quantity "length" should be included, with units of meters with symbol m. Other unit relations include 1 $\mathring{A}=1\times10^{-10}$ m.
- 17. Page 471 Equation G.33: The second term in the argument of the cosine has a nonsensical \(\text{Re symbol}. \) The correct expression uses just the \(\text{of the complex result:} \) Also, the original equation is missing a premultiplier that incorporates the magnitude of the dot product of the complex phasors:

$$fg = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left(\underbrace{f_0 \, g_0}^* \right) + \left| \underbrace{f_0 \, g_0} \right| \cos \left[2\omega t + \angle \left(\underbrace{f_0 \, g_0} \right) \right] \right\}.$$

3.2 Typesetting Errata

- 1. Page 193 Problem 8.1 the complex adjective should have a hyphen between "Reynolds" and "number": low-Reynolds-number.
- 2. Page 280 Exercise 12.11: Replace the sentence "Design the geometry of a channel required to fabricate a 1-m pathlength in a 1 cm × 1 cm footprint device." with "Design the geometry of a channel required to fabricate a 1-m pathlength in a device whose footprint is $1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm}$."
- 3. p498 Reference 137 the year should be 2011 not 2008.