

# Chapter 4 References

- Alcover, A. M. (1903). *Questions de llengua y literatura catalana*. Palma de Mallorca: estampa de ca'n Amengual y Muntaner.
- Aranovich, R. (2003). 'The semantics of auxiliary selection in Old Spanish', *Studies in Language* 27: 1–37.
- Baker, M. (2001). *The Atoms of Language*. New York: Basic Books.
- Benincà, P. and C. Poletto (2004a). 'Topic, focus and V2: defining the CP sublayers'. In Rizzi, L. (ed.), *The Structure of CP and IP: The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, Volume 2*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 52–75.
- Benincà, P. and C. Poletto (2004b). 'A case of do-support in Romance', *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 22: 51–94.
- Bentley, D. (2006). *Split Intransitivity in Italian*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Bentley, D. (2010). 'Principles of subject markedness in Romance', *Archivio glottologico italiano* 95: 152–89.
- Bentley, D. and Eythórsson, T. (2001). 'Alternation according to person in Italo-Romance'. In Brinton, L. J. (ed), *Historical Linguistics 1999: Selected Papers from the 14th International Conference on Historical Linguistics, Vancouver, 9–13 August 1999*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 63–74.
- Bermúdez-Otero, R. and Payne, J. (2011). 'There are no special clitics'. In Galani, A., Hicks, G., and Tsoulas, G. (eds), *Morphology and Its Interfaces*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 57–96.
- Bickel, B. and Nichols, J. (2007). 'Inflectional morphology'. In Shopen, T. (ed.), *Language Typology and Syntactic Description (revised second edition)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 169–240.
- Booij, G. (1994). 'Against split morphology'. In Booij, G. and van Marle, J. (eds), *Yearbook of Morphology 1993*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 27–49.
- Booij, G. (1996). 'Inherent versus contextual inflection and the split morphology hypothesis'. In Booij, G. and van Marle, J. (eds), *Yearbook of Morphology 1995*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1–16.

- Brandi, L. and P. Cordin (1989). ‘Two Italian dialects and the null subject parameter’. In Jaeggli, O. and Safir, K. (eds), *The Null Subject Parameter*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 111–42.
- Cabredo Hofherr, P. (2004). ‘Les clitics sujet du français et le paramètre du sujet nul’, *Langue française* 141: 99–109.
- Calabrese, A. (2011). ‘Investigations on markedness, syncretism and zero exponence in morphology’, *Morphology* 21: 283–325.
- Cennamo, M. (1999). ‘Inaccusatività tardo-latina e suoi riflessi in testi italiani antichi centro-meridionali’, *Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie* 115: 300–31.
- Cennamo, M. (2002). ‘La selezione degli ausiliari perfettivi in napoletano antico: fenomeno sintattico o sintattico-semantico’, *Archivio glottologico italiano* 87: 175–222.
- Cennamo, M. (2008). ‘The rise and development of analytic perfects in Italo-Romance’. In Eyþórsson, T. (ed.), *Grammatical Change and Linguistic Theory: The Rosendal Papers*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 115–42.
- Cennamo, M. (2010). ‘Perfective auxiliaries in the pluperfect in some southern Italian dialects’. In D’Alessandro, R. Ledgeway, A., and Roberts, I. (eds), *Syntactic Variation. The Dialects of Italy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 210–24.
- Chiominto, C. (1984). *Lo parlà forte della pora ggente*. Rome: Bulzoni.
- Chomsky, N. and H. Lasnik (1993). ‘The theory of principles and parameters’. In von Stechow, J. Jacobs A., Sternefeld, W., and Vennemann, T. (eds), *Syntax: an International Handbook of Contemporary Research*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. Reprinted in Chomsky, N. (1995). *The Minimalist Program*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 13–127.
- Clark, R. and Roberts, I. (1993). ‘A computational approach to language learnability and language change’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 24: 299–345.
- D’Alessandro, R. (2015). ‘Null subject’. In Fábregas, A., Mateu, J., and Putnam, M. (eds), *Contemporary Linguistic Parameters*. London: Bloomsbury Press, 201–26.
- De Crousaz, I. and Shlonsky, U. (2003). ‘The distribution of a subject clitic pronoun in a Franco-Provençal dialect and the licensing of pro’, *Linguistic Inquiry* 34: 413–42.
- De Gregorio, I. (1939). ‘Contributo alla conoscenza del dialetto di Bisceglie (Bari)’, *L’Italia dialettale* 15: 31–52.
- Evans, N. and Levinson, S. (2009). ‘The myth of language universals: language diversity and its importance for cognitive science’, *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 32: 429–92.
- Fabra, P. (1912). *Gramática de la lengua catalana*. Barcelona: L’Avenç.
- Giammarco, E. (1973). ‘Selezione del verbo ausiliare nei paradigmi dei tempi composti’. *Abruzzo* 11: 152–78.
- Greenberg, J. (1975). *Universali del linguaggio*. Florence: La Nuova Italia.

- Haser, V. and Kortmann, B. (2009). 'Agreement in English dialects'. In Dufter, A., Fleischer, J., and Seiler, G. (eds), *Describing and Modeling Variation in Grammar*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 271–96.
- Haspelmath, M. (2008). 'Parametric versus functional explanations of syntactic universals'. In Biberauer, T. (ed.), *The Limits of Syntactic Variation*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 75–107.
- Heap, D. (2000). *La variation grammaticale en géolinguistique: les pronoms sujet en roman central*. Munich: Lincom Europa Verlag.
- Heap, D. (2002). 'Split subject pronoun paradigms: feature geometry and underspecification'. In Satterfield, T., Tortora, C., and Cresti, D. (eds), *Current Issues in Romance Languages: Selected Papers from the 29th Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages (LSRL)*, Ann Arbor, 8–11 April 1999. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 129–44.
- Kayne, R. (1975). *French Syntax. The Transformational Cycle*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kayne, R. (1984). *Connectedness and Binary Branching*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Kayne, R. (1993). 'Toward a modular theory of auxiliary selection', *Studia Linguistica* 47: 3–31.
- Kroch, A. (1994). *Morphosyntactic Variation*. In Beals, K., Denton, J., Knipen, R., Melnar, L., Suzuki, H., and Zeinfeld, E. (eds), *Papers from the 30th Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society. Vol. 2. The Parasession on Variation and Linguistic Theory*. Chicago: Chicago University Press, 180–201.
- La Fauci, N. (1988). *Oggetti e soggetti nella formazione della morfosintassi romanza*. Pisa: Giardini. English translation: La Fauci, N. (1994). *Objects and Subjects in the Formation of Romance Morphosyntax*. Bloomington: IULC.
- Ledgeway, A. (2003). 'L'estensione dell'ausiliare perfettivo avere nell'antico napoletano: intransitività scissa condizionata da fattori modali', *Archivio glottologico italiano* 88: 29–71.
- Ledgeway, A. (2009). *Grammatica diacronica del napoletano*. (Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie 350.) Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Ledgeway, A. (2012). *From Latin to Romance. Morphosyntactic Typology and Change*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ledgeway, A. (2014). 'Romance auxiliary selection in light of Romanian evidence', in Pană Dindelegan, G., Zafiu, R., Dragomirescu, A., Nicula, I., and Nicolae, A. (eds), *Diachronic Variation in Romanian*. Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 3–35.
- Ledgeway, A. (2019). 'Parameters in the development of Romance perfective auxiliary selection', in Cennamo, M. and Fabrizio, C. (eds), *Historical Linguistics 2015. Selected Papers from the 22nd International Conference on Historical Linguistics*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 343–84.
- Ledgeway, A. and Lombardi, A. (2005). 'Verb movement, adverbs and clitic positions in Romance', *Probus* 17: 79–113.

- Legendre, G. and Sorace, A. (2003). ‘Auxiliaires et intransitivité en français et dans les langues romanes’. In Godard, D. (ed.), *Les Langues romanes. Problèmes de la phrase simple*. Paris: Editions du CNRS, 185–233.
- Longobardi, G. and Roberts, I. (2010). ‘Universals, diversity and change in the science of language: reaction to “The Myth of Language Universals and Cognitive Science”’, *Lingua* 120: 2699–703.
- Loporcaro, M. (1999). ‘L’ausiliazione perfettiva nelle parlate di Zagarolo e di Colonna e lo studio della sintassi dei dialetti mediani’, *Contributi di Filologia dell’Italia Media* 13: 203–26.
- Loporcaro, M. (2001). ‘La selezione dell’ausiliare nei dialetti italiani: dati e teorie’. In Albano Leoni, F., Sornicola, R., Stenta Krosbakken, E., and Stromboli, C. (eds), *Dati empirici e teorie linguistiche, Atti del XXXIII Congresso della Società di Linguistica Italiana, Napoli, 28–30 ottobre 1999*. Rome: Bulzoni, 455–76.
- Loporcaro, M. (2007a). ‘Italian dialects in a minimalist perspective’, *Italian Journal of Linguistics/Rivista di Linguistica* 19: 327–65.
- Loporcaro, M. (2007b). ‘On triple auxiliation in Romance’, *Linguistics* 45: 173–222.
- Loporcaro, M. (2011). ‘A euroversal in a global perspective: auxiliation and alignment’. In Siemund, P. (ed.), *Linguistic Universals and Language Variation*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 55–91.
- Loporcaro, M. (2012). ‘A new strategy for progressive marking and its implications for grammaticalization theory: the subject clitic construction of Pantiscu’, *Studies in Language* 36: 747–84.
- Loporcaro, M. (2015). ‘Perfective auxiliation with reflexives in medieval Romance: syntactic vs. semantic gradients’. In Kailuweit, R. and Rosemeyer, M. (eds), *Auxiliary Selection Revisited. Gradience and Gradualness*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 43–77.
- Loporcaro, M. (2016). ‘Auxiliary selection and participial agreement’. In Ledgeway, A. and Maiden, M. (eds), *The Oxford Guide to the Romance Languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 802–18.
- Loporcaro, M., D’Ancona, A. R., and Fatini, P. (2010). ‘Clitici soggetto nel dialetto di Pantelleria’, *Vox Romanica* 69: 75–110.
- Manzini, M. R. and Savoia, L. M. (1998). ‘Clitics and auxiliary choice in Italian dialects: their relevance for the person ergativity split’. *Recherches linguistiques de Vincennes* 27: 115–38.
- Manzini, M. R. and Savoia, L. M. (2005). *I dialetti italiani e romanci. Morfosintassi generativa*. Alessandria: Edizioni dell’Orso.
- Matasović, R. (2018). *An Areal Typology of Agreement Systems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Miller, Philip H. (1997). ‘Auxiliary verbs in old and middle French: a diachronic study of substitutive faire and a comparison with the modern English auxiliaries’. In Van Kemenade, A. and Vincent, N. (eds), *Parameters of Morphosyntactic Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 119–33.

- Newmeyer, F. (2004). ‘Against a parameter-setting approach to language variation’, *Linguistic Variation Yearbook* 4: 181–234.
- Olivieri, M. (2011). ‘Typology or reconstruction: the benefits of dialectology for diachronic analysis’. In Berns, J., Jacobs, H., and Scheer, T. (eds), *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2009. Selected Papers from ‘Going Romance’ Nice 2009*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 239–53.
- Orlandi, G. (2000). *Il dialetto di Roiate*. 3rd edition. Rome: Edilazio.
- Palasis, K. (2015). ‘Subject clitics and preverbal negation in European French: variation, acquisition, diatopy and diachrony’, *Lingua* 161: 125–43.
- Pescarini, D. (2014). ‘La distribuzione dei clitici soggetto espletivi: tipologia e prospettive parametriche’, *L’Italia dialettale* 75: 229–46.
- Pietsch, L. (2005). *Variable Grammars. Verbal Agreement in Northern Dialects of English*. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Poletto, C. (2000). *The Higher Functional Field. Evidence from Northern Italian Dialects*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Poletto, C. and Tortora, C. (2016). ‘Subject clitics: syntax’. In Ledgeway, A. and Maiden, M. (eds), *The Oxford Guide to the Romance Languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 772–85.
- Pullum, G. K. and Zwicky, A. (1988). ‘The syntax–phonology interface’. In Newmeyer, F. J. (ed.), *Linguistics: The Cambridge Survey: I: Linguistic Theory: Foundations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 255–80.
- Renzi, L. and Vanelli, L. (1983). ‘I pronomi soggetto in alcune varietà romanzie’. In Benincà, P., Cortelazzo, M., Prosdocimi, A. L., Vanelli, L., and Zamboni, A. (eds), *Scritti in onore di G. B. Pellegrini*. Pisa: Pacini, 120–45.
- Riemsdijk, H. van (1999). ‘Clitics: a state-of-the-art’. In van Riemsdijk, H. (ed.), *Clitics in the Languages of Europe*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 1–30.
- Rizzi, L. (1986). ‘On the status of subject clitics in Romance’. In Jaeggli, O. and Silva Corvalan, C. (eds), *Studies in Romance Linguistics*. Dordrecht: Foris, 391–419.
- Roberts, I. (2014). ‘Subject clitics and macroparameters’. In Benincà, P., Ledgeway, A., and Vincent, N. (eds), *Diachrony and Dialects: Grammatical Change in the Dialects of Italy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 177–201.
- Roberts, I. and Holmberg, A. (2005). ‘On the role of parameters in Universal Grammar: a reply to Newmeyer’. In van Riemsdijk, H., Broekhuis, H., Corver, N., Huybregts, R., Kleinhenz, U., and Koster, J. (eds), *Organizing Grammar: Linguistic Studies in Honor of Henk van Riemsdijk*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 538–53.
- Rosen, C. (1997). ‘Auxiliation and serialization: on discerning the difference’. In Alsina, A., Bresnan, J., and Sells, P. (eds), *Complex Predicates*. Stanford: CSLI, 175–202.
- Russi, C. (2008). *Italian Clitics. An Empirical Study*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Salvioni, C. (1902). ‘Cronaca e bollettino bibliografico’. *AGI* 16: 193–218.

- Silverstein, M. (1976). 'Hierarchy of features and ergativity'. In Dixon, R. M. W. (ed.), *Grammatical Categories in Australian Languages*. Canberra: Australian National University, 112–71.
- Sorace, A. (2000). 'Gradients in auxiliary selection with intransitive verbs', *Language* 76: 859–90.
- Spencer, A. and Luís, A. (2013). 'The canonical clitic'. In Brown, D., Chumakina, M., and Corbett, G. (eds), *Canonical Morphology and Syntax*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 123–50.
- Taraldsen, K. (1980). *On the Nominative Island Constraint, Vacuous Application and the That-Trace Filter*. Bloomington: IULC.
- Thornton, A. (2005). *Morfologia*. Rome: Carocci.
- Tuttle, E. (1986). 'The spread of ESSE as universal auxiliary in central Italo-Romance', *Medioevo Romano* 11: 229–87.
- Vecchio, P. (2006). 'L'ausiliazione perfettiva in napoletano. Studio di sintassi diacronica', *Revue de linguistique romane* 70: 53–94.
- Vignoli, C. (1920). *Vernacolo e canti di Amaseno (I dialetti di Roma e del Lazio I)*. Rome: Società Filologica Romana.
- Zwickly, A. (1977). *On Clitics*. Bloomington: IULC.
- Zwickly, A. (1986). 'The general case: basic form versus default form'. In Nikiforidou, V., VanClay, M., Niepokuj, M., and Feder D. (eds), *Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society: February 15–17, 1986*. Berkeley: Berkeley Linguistic Society, University of California, 305–14.
- Zwickly, A. (1994). 'What is a clitic?'. In Nevis, J., Joseph, B., Wanner, D., and Zwickly, A. (eds), *Clitics: A Comprehensive Bibliography, 1892–1991*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, xii–xx.
- Zwickly, A. (1996). 'Syntax and phonology'. In Brown, K. and Miller, J. (eds), *Concise Encyclopedia of Syntactic Theories*. Oxford: Elsevier, 300–05.