

Chapter 11 References

- Ackerman, F., Blevins, J., and Malouf, R. (2009). ‘Parts and wholes: implicative patterns in inflectional paradigms’. In Blevins, J. and Blevins, J. (eds), *Analogy in Grammar*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 54–82.
- Ackema, P., and Neeleman, A. (2004). *Beyond Morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ackerman, F. and Malouf, R. (2013). ‘Morphological organization: the Low Conditional Entropy conjecture’, *Language* 89: 429–64.
- Ackerman, F. and Malouf, R. (2015). ‘The No Blur Principle effects as an emergent property of language systems’, *Proceedings of the 41st Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, 1–14.
- Allières, J. (1997). ‘Note sur le “futur du passé” en gascon moderne’, *Estudis occitans* 21: 19–20.
- Alsina, A. (2016). ‘Catalan’. In Ledgeway and Maiden, 363–81.
- Anderson, S. (1982). ‘Where’s morphology?’. *Linguistic Inquiry* 13: 571–612.
- Anderson, S. (1992). *A-morphous Morphology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Anderson, S. (2005). *Aspects of the Theory of Clitics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, S. (2008). ‘Phonologically conditioned allomorphy in the morphology of Surmiran (Rumantsch)’, *Word Structure*, 1: 109–34.
- Anderson, S. (2010). ‘Failing one’s obligations: defectiveness in Rumantsch reflexes of DÉBÈRE’. In Baerman et al., 19–36.
- Anderson, S. (2011). ‘Stress-conditioned allomorphy in Surmiran (Rumantsch)’. In Maiden, M., Smith, J. C., Goldbach, M. and Hinzelin, M.O. (eds), *Morphological Autonomy: Perspectives from Romance Inflectional Morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, S. (2015). ‘Dimensions of morphological complexity’. In Baerman, M., Brown, D., and Corbett, G. (eds), *Understanding and Measuring Morphological Complexity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 11–29.

- Anderson, S. (2016). ‘The role of morphology in transformational grammar’. In Hippisley, A. and Stump, G. (eds), *The Cambridge Handbook of Morphology*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 237–71.
- Arad, M. (2003). ‘Locality constraints on the interpretation of roots: the case of Hebrew denominal verbs’, *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 21: 737–78.
- Aronoff, M. (1976). *Word Formation in Generative Grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Aronoff, M. (1994). *Morphology by Itself*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Baayen, R. H., Dijkstra, T., and Schreuder, R. (1997). ‘Singulars and plurals in Dutch: evidence for a parallel dual-route model’, *Journal of Memory and Language* 37: 94–117.
- Baayen, R. H., McQueen, J., and Schreuder, R. (2003). ‘Frequency effects in regular inflectional morphology: revisiting Dutch plurals’. In Baayen, R. H. and Schreuder, R. (eds), *Morphological Structure in Language Processing*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 355–90.
- Bach, X. (2018). *The Origins of Inflectional Classes*. Doctoral thesis, University of Oxford.
- Bach, X. and Esher, L. (2015). ‘Morphological evidence for the paradigmatic status of infinitives in French and Occitan’. In Haug, D. (ed), *Historical Linguistics 2013*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 135–54.
- Baerman, M., Corbett, G., and Brown, D. (eds), (2010). *Defective Paradigms. Missing Forms and What They Tell Us*. Oxford: Oxford University Press/British Academy.
- Bağrıaçık, M. and Ralli, A. (2017). ‘Phrasal vs. morphological com-pounds: insights from Modern Greek and Turkish’. In Trips, C. and Kornfilt, J. (eds), Special issue of *Sprachtypologie Und Universalienforschung* 68: 323–57. <http://langsci-press.org/catalog/book/156>.
- Beard, R. (1995). *Lexeme-Morpheme Base Morphology: A General Theory of Inflection and Word Formation*. Albany: State University of New York.
- Bermúdez-Otero, R. and Luís, A. (2016). ‘A view of the morpheme debate.’ In Luís and Bermúdez-Otero, 309–40.
- Blevins, J. (2006). ‘Word-based morphology’, *Journal of Linguistics* 42: 531–73.
- Blevins, J. (2016). *Word and Paradigm Morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bloomfield, L. (1933). *Language*. London: Allen and Unwin.
- Bonami, O. (2014). *La structure fine des paradigmes de flexion: études de morphologie descriptive, théorique et formelle*. HDR, Université Paris 7: Denis Diderot.
- Bonami, O. and Boyé, G. (2002). ‘Suppletion and stem dependency in inflectional morphology’. In Van Eynde, F., Hellan, L., and Beerman, D. (eds), *The Proceedings of the HPSG '01 Conference*. Stanford: CSLI Publications, 51–70.
- Bonami, O. and Boyé, G. (2003). ‘Suppléition et classes flexionnelles dans la conjugaison du français’, *Langages* 152: 102–26.

- Bonami, O., Boyé, G., Giraudo, H., and Voga, M. (2008). ‘Quels verbes sont réguliers en français?’. In Durand, J., Habert, B., and Laks, B. (eds), *Actes du premier Congrès Mondial de Linguistique Française 141*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/cmlf08186>.
- Booij, G. (2005). ‘Compounding and derivation: evidence for Construction Morphology’. In Dressler, W., Rainer, F., Kastovsky, D., and Pfeiffer, O. (eds), *Morphology and Its Demarcations*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 109–32.
- Booij, G. (2009). ‘Lexical integrity as a formal universal: a constructionist view’, *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 76: 83–100.
- Boyé, G. and Cabredo Hofherr, P. (2010). ‘Defectiveness as stem suppletion in French and Spanish verbs’. In Baerman et al., 35–52.
- Bresnan, J. (2001). *Lexical-Functional Syntax*. Malden: Blackwell.
- Brown, D., and Hippisley, A. (2012). *Network Morphology: A Defaults-Based Theory of Word Structure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bybee, J. (2001). *Phonology and Language Use*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Carstairs-McCarthy, A. (1994). ‘Inflection classes, gender, and the principle of contrast’, *Language* 70: 737–88.
- Carstairs-McCarthy, A. (2010). *The Evolution of Morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chabaneau, C. (1876). *Grammaire limousine*. Paris: Maisonneuve.
- Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic Structures*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Chomsky, N. (1970). ‘Remarks on nominalization’. In Jacobs, R. and Rosenbaum, P. (eds), *Readings in English Transformational Grammar*. Waltham: Blaisdell, 184–221.
- Clahsen, H. (1999). ‘Lexical entries and rules of language: a multi-disciplinary study of German inflection’, *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 22: 991–1060.
- Clahsen, H., Eisenbeiss, S., and Sonnernstuhl-Henning, I. (1997). ‘Morphological strucure and the processing of inflected words’, *Theoretical Linguistics* 23: 201–49.
- Corbett, G. (2009). ‘Canonical inflectional classes’. In Montermini, F., Boyé, G., and Tseng, J. (eds), *Selected Proceedings of the 6th Décembrettes*. Somerville: Cascadilla Proceedings Project, 1–11.
- Corbett, G. (2016). ‘Morphemic splits’. In Luís and Bermúdez-Otero, 64–88.
- Corbett, G. and Fraser, N. (1993). ‘Network Morphology: a DATR account of Russian nominal inflection’, *Journal of Linguistics* 29: 113–42.
- Cruschina, S. (2013). ‘Beyond the stem and inflectional morphology: an irregular pattern at the level of periphrasis’. In Cruschina et al., 262–83.
- Cruschina, S., Maiden, M., and Smith, J. C. (eds) (2013). *The Boundaries of Pure Morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Di Sciullo, A. M. (2005). *Asymmetry in Morphology*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Di Sciullo, A. M. and Williams, E. (1987). *On the Definition of Word*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Eddington, D. (2000). 'Spanish stress assignment within the analogical modeling of language', *Language* 76: 92–109.
- Eddington, D. (2006). 'Paradigm uniformity and analogy: the capitalistic versus militaristic debate', *International Journal of English Studies* 6: 1–18.
- Embick, D, and Noyer, R. (2007). 'Distributed Morphology and the syntax-morphology interface'. In Ramchand, G. and Reiss, C. (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Interfaces*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 289–325.
- Enger, H.-O. (2014). 'Reinforcement in inflection classes: two cues may be better than one', *Word Structure* 7: 153–81.
- Esher, L. (2013). 'Future and conditional in Occitan: a non-canonical morpheme'. In Cruschina et al., 95–115.
- Esher, L. (2015). 'Morphemes and predictability in the history of Romance perfects', *Diachronica* 32: 494–529.
- Esher, L. (2016). 'Morphemic distribution of the augment in varieties of Occitan', *Revue romane* 51: 271–306.
- Esher, L. (2017). 'Morphome death and transfiguration in the history of French', *Journal of Linguistics* 53: 51–84.
- Esher, L. (2018). 'Implicational relationships between desinences in Occitan imperfect and conditional forms', *Lexique* 23: 9–32.
- Esher, L. (2020). 'Syncretism and metamorphomes in northern Occitan (Lemosin) varieties'. In Wolfe, S. and Maiden, M. (eds), *Variation and Change in Gallo-Romance Grammar*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 364–84
- Halle, M. (1973). 'Prolegomena to a theory of word formation', *Linguistic Inquiry* 4: 3–16.
- Halle, M. (1990). 'An approach to morphology'. In *Proceedings of the 20th Annual Meeting of the North Eastern Linguistic Society*. Cambridge, MA, 150–84.
- Halle, M. and Marantz, A. (1993). 'Distributed Morphology and the pieces of inflection'. In Bromberger, S., Hale, K., and Keyser, S. J. (eds), *The View from Building 20: Essays in Linguistics in Honor of Sylvain Bromberger*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 111–76.
- Hay, J. and Baayen, R. H. (2002). 'Parsing and productivity'. In Booij G. and Van Marle J. (eds), *Yearbook of Morphology 2001*. Dordrecht: Springer, 203–35.
- Hinzelin, M.-O. (2011). 'Syncretism and suppletion in Gallo-Romance verb paradigms'. In Maiden et al., 287–310.
- Hofstadter, D. and Sander, E. (2013). *Surfaces and Essences. Analogy as the Fuel and Fire of Thinking*. New York: Basic Books.
- Jensen, J., and Stong-Jensen, M. (1984). 'Morphology is in the lexicon!', *Linguistic Inquiry* 15: 474–98.
- Landauer, T. (1986). 'How much do people remember? Some estimates of the quantity of learned information in long-term memory', *Cognitive Science* 10: 477–93.
- Lapointe, S. (1980). *A Theory of Grammatical Agreement*. Doctoral thesis, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

- Lavric, A., Pizzagalli, D., Forstmeier, S., and Rippon, G. (2001). ‘Mapping dissociations in verb morphology’. *Trends in Cognitive Science*. 5: 301–08.
- Ledgeway, A. and Maiden, M. (eds), *The Oxford Guide to the Romance Languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lieber, R. (1992). *Deconstructing Morphology: Word Formation in Syntactic Theory*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Lieber, R., and Scalise, S. (2006). ‘The Lexical Integrity Hypothesis in a new theoretical universe’, *Lingue e Linguaggio* 6: 7–32.
- Luís, A. (2004). *Citics as Morphology*. Doctoral thesis, University of Essex.
- Luís, A. and Bermúdez-Otero, R. (eds) (2016). *The Morphome Debate*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Luís, A. and Otoguro, R. (2004). ‘Proclitic contexts in European Portuguese and their effect on clitic placement’. In Butt, M. and Holloway King, T. (eds), *Proceedings of the LFG04 Conference*. University of Canterbury, 334–52.
- Luís, A. and Spencer, A. (2005). ‘A paradigm function account of “mesoclisis” in European Portuguese’. In Booij G., and Van Marle J. (eds), *Yearbook of Morphology 2004*, 177–228.
- Maiden, M. (2001). ‘A strange affinity: perfecto y tiempos afines’, *Bulletin of Hispanic Studies* 58: 441–64.
- Maiden, M. (2003). ‘Verb augments and meaninglessness in Romance morphology’, *Studi di grammatica italiana* 22: 1–61.
- Maiden, M. (2005). ‘Morphological autonomy and diachrony’. In Booij G., and Van Marle J. (eds), *Yearbook of Morphology 2004*, 137–75.
- Maiden, M. (2009a). ‘From pure phonology to pure morphology. The reshaping of the Romance verb’, *Recherches linguistiques de Vincennes* 38: 45–82.
- Maiden, M. (2009b.) ‘Un capitolo di morfologia storica del romeno: preterito e tempi affini’, *Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie* 125: 273–309.
- Maiden, M. (2011a). ‘Morphological persistence’. In Maiden et al., 155–215.
- Maiden, M. (2011b). ‘Morphophonological innovation’. In Maiden et al., 216–67.
- Maiden, M. (2012). ‘A paradox? The morphological history of the Romance present subjunctive’. In Gaglia, S. and Hinzelin, M.-O. (eds), *Inflection and Word Formation in Romance Languages*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 27–54.
- Maiden, M. (2013a). “Semi-autonomous” morphology? A problem in the history of the Italian (and Romanian) verb’. In Cruschina et al., 24–44.
- Maiden, M. (2013b). ‘The Latin “third stem” and its Romance descendants’, *Diachronica* 30: 492–530.
- Maiden, M. (2016a). ‘Morphemes’. In Ledgeway and Maiden, 708–21.
- Maiden, M. (2016b). ‘Some lessons from history: morphemes in diachrony’. In Luís and Bermúdez-Otero, 33–63.
- Maiden, M. (2016c). ‘Romanian, Istro-Romanian, Megleno-Romanian and Aromanian’. In Ledgeway and Maiden, 91–125.
- Maiden, M. (2018). *The Romance Verb. Morphemic Structure and Diachrony*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Maiden, M. and O'Neill, P. (2010). 'On morphemic defectiveness: evidence from the Romance languages of the Iberian peninsula'. In Baerman, M., Corbett, G., and Brown, D. (eds), *Defective Paradigms. Missing Forms and What They Tell Us*. Oxford: Oxford University Press/British Academy, 103–24.
- Maiden, M., Smith, J. C., Goldbach, M., and Hinzelin, M.-O. (eds) (2011). *Morphological Autonomy. Perspectives from Romance Inflectional Morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Maiden, M., Smith, J. C., and Ledgeway, A. (eds), *The Cambridge History of the Romance Languages. Vol 1. Structures*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Marantz, A. (1997). 'No escape from syntax: don't try morphological analysis in the privacy of your own lexicon', *Penn Working Papers in Linguistics* 4: 201–25.
- Marantz, A. (2001). 'Words'. Unpublished paper given at the *West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*, Santa Barbara. http://babel.ucsc.edu/~hank/mrg.readings/Phases_and_Words_Final.pdf.
- Massourre, J. L. (2012). *Le gascon, les mots et le système*. Paris: Champion.
- Matthews, P. (1991). *Morphology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Meul, C. (2013). *The Romance Reflexes of the Latin Infixes -I/ESC- and -IDI-: Restructuring and Remodeling Processes*. Hamburg: Buske.
- Montermini, F. and Bonami, O. (2013). 'Stem spaces and predictability in verbal inflection', *Lingue e linguaggio* 24: 171–90.
- O'Neill, P. (2009). 'Los verbos defectivos en la lengua española: estudio sincrónico y diacrónico descriptivo basado en datos de corpus', *Boletín de la Real Academia Española* 89: 255–87.
- O'Neill, P. (2010). 'Una explicación teórica de la defectividad verbal en la lengua española', *Boletín de la Real Academia Española* 302: 265–89.
- O'Neill, P. (2011). 'Alternancias vocálicas en el presente de los verbos en -ir. Un análisis desde la Morfología Autónoma', *Revista de la Historia de la Lengua Española* 6: 87–129.
- O'Neill, P. (2011). 'The evolution of the pretérito y tiempos afines in Ibero-Romance', *Bulletin Of Hispanic Studies* 88: 851–78.
- O'Neill, P. (2014a). 'The morpheme in constructive and abstractive models of morphology'. *Morphology* 24: 25–70.
- O'Neill, P. (2014b). 'Similar and differing patterns of allomorphy in the Spanish and Portuguese verbs'. In Amaral, P. and Carvalho, A. M. (eds), *Portuguese/Spanish Interfaces*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 175–202.
- O'Neill, P. (2016). 'Lexicalism, the principle of morphology-free syntax and the principle of syntax-free morphology.' In Hippisley, A. and Stump, G. (eds), *The Cambridge Handbook of Morphology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 237–71.
- O'Neill, P. (2018). 'Near synonymy in morphological structures: why Catalans can abolish constitutions but Portuguese and Spanish speakers can't'. *Languages in Contrast* 18: 6–34.

- O'Neill, P. (forthcoming). 'Morphologically "autonomous" structures in the Romance languages'. In Gardani, F. and Loporcaro, M. (eds). *The Oxford Encyclopedia of Romance Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pinker, S. (1991). 'Rules of language', *Science* 253: 530–35.
- Pirrelli, V. and Battista, M. (2000). 'The paradigmatic dimension of stem allomorphy in Italian verb inflection', *Rivista di linguistica* 12: 307–80.
- Prasada, A. and Pinker, S. (1993). 'Generalisation of regular and irregular morphological patterns', *Language and Cognitive Processes* 8: 1–15.
- Ralli, A. (2010). 'Compounding versus derivation'. In Scalise, S. and Vogel, I. (eds), *Cross Disciplinary Issues in Compounding*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 57–76.
- Rodríguez-Castellano, L. (1952). *La variación dialectal del Alto Aller*. Oviedo: IDEA.
- Round, E. (2015). 'Rhizomorphomes, meromorphomes, and metamorphomes'. In Baerman, M., Brown, D., and Corbett, G. (eds), *Understanding and Measuring Morphological Complexity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 29–52.
- Saura Rami, J. A. (2003). *Elementos de fonética y morfosintaxis benasquenses*. Zaragoza: Garza d'Edicions.
- Scalise, S. (1984). *Generative Morphology*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Scalise, S. (1988). 'Inflection in derivation', *Linguistics* 26: 561–68.
- Scalise, S., and Guevara, E. (2005). 'The lexicalist approach to word-formation and the notion of lexicon'. In Stekauer, P. and Lieber, R. (eds), *Handbook of Word-formation*. Amsterdam: Springer, 147–87.
- Selkirk, E. (1982). *The Syntax of Words*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Sharp, J. (1976). 'Esquisse d'une classification morphologique des verbes en occitan (Languedocien)', *Revue des langues romanes* 82: 99–115.
- Sibille, J. (1997). 'Nòta sus la subrevivéncia de la segonda forma de condicional de l'occitan vièlh dins l'Auta Val Doira e la Val Cluson (Itàlia)', *Estudis occitans* 21: 13–18.
- Sims, A. (2016). *Inflectional Defectiveness*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Skousen, R. (1989). *Analogical Modeling of Language*. Dordrecht: Kluwer/Academic Press.
- Smith, J. C. (2011). 'Change and continuity in form-function relationships'. In Maiden et al., 268–317.
- Smith, J. C. (2013). 'The morpheme as a gradient phenomenon: evidence from Romance'. In Cruschina et al., 247–61.
- Stump, G. (2001). *Inflectional Morphology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Stump, G. (2006). 'Heteroclisis and paradigm linkage', *Language* 82: 279–322.
- Stump, G. (2016). *Inflectional Paradigms*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Stump, G. and Finkel, R. (2013). *Morphological Typology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wheeler, M. (2011). 'The evolution of a morpheme in Catalan verb inflection'. In Maiden et al., 183–209.
- Wheeler, M. (2012). 'Vies d'analogia i d'explicació en l'evolució del pretèrit feble de la conjugació-e romànica', *Estudis romànics* 34: 7–36.
- Zwický, A. (1977). *On Clitics*. Bloomington: Indiana University Linguistics Club.
- Zwický, A. (1985a). 'The general case: basic form versus default form', *Berkeley Linguistic Society* 12: 305–14.
- Zwický, A. (1985b). 'Rules of allomorphy and phonology-syntax interactions', *Journal of Linguistics* 21: 431–36.
- Zwický, A. (1987). 'Slashes in the passive', *Linguistics* 25: 639–65.
- Zwický, A. (1990). 'Syntactic representations and phonological shapes'. In Inkelas, S. and Zec, D. (eds), *The Phonology–Syntax Connection*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 379–97.
- Zwický, A. (1992). 'Some choices in the theory of morphology'. In Levine, R. (ed.), *Formal Grammar: Theory and Implementation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.