

Normal generators of finite groups

Defn. Say H is an "inner" G -group if H is

^{say}
"left" $\rightarrow$ a G -group such that the image of $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } H$ contains the inner-automorphisms of H . Assume H is finite.

1) Then if K is a subgroup of H , such that K is invariant under the action of G , K must be normal in H and so H/K is not only just a G -set but also in fact an inner G -group. Also K must be inner.

2) So H must have a composition series

$$H = H_0 \triangleright H_1 \triangleright H_2 \triangleright \dots \triangleright H_m = (1)$$

where each H_{i+1} is a maximal $\wedge$ ^(proper) G -invariant subgroup of H_i .

For such a series each H_i/H_{i+1} must not have any proper G -invariant subgroups other than (1) .

3) If S ~~has no~~ is an inner G -group which has no proper G -invariant subgroups other than (1) then any element of $S \setminus (1)$ generates S as a G -group.

4) If H is an inner G -group and if $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ~~and $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$~~ ^{is a} sequence of elements of H which generate H as a G -group then an elementary G -transformation

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \rightarrow (a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$$

is one of the following

(2)

I, permutation: $a_i \mapsto a_{\pi(i)}$

II, inversion: $a_i \mapsto a_i^{-1}$, $a_j \mapsto a_j$, $j \neq i$.

III, multi: $a_i \mapsto a_i a_j$, $j \neq i$, $a_k \mapsto a_k$, $k \neq i$.

IV, G-action: $a_i \mapsto g \cdot a_i$, $a_j \mapsto a_j$, $j \neq i$.

Say a G-transformation is a composition of these; write $(\underline{a}) \xrightarrow{(G)} (\underline{b})$. Then $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ necessarily also is a G-generating set for H, clearly.

5) Lemma. Suppose H has a G-generating set having n elements, say $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where H is a finite inner G-group. Suppose $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is a G-generating set with $k > n$. Then $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \xrightarrow{(G)} (t_1, \dots, t_n, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Proof. By induction on the minimum length m of a composition series for H as in (2).

Case $m=1$. H is then "G-simple" as in (3), so n must be 1 and $k > 1$. We may suppose $a_1 \neq 1$. ~~Then~~

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots) \xrightarrow{(G)} (a_1, 1, \dots, 1) \quad \text{all 1's}$$

because (a_1) already generates H as a G-group. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, 1, \dots, 1) &\xrightarrow{(G)} (a_1, t_1, 1, \dots) \\ &\rightarrow (t_1, a_1, 1, \dots) \\ &\rightarrow (t_1, 1, 1, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Induction step. Assume the Lemma holds for all such inner G-groups with composition series of length $m-1$. Let H be as in (2) with $K = H_{m-1}$, the last nontrivial term. Then by assumption the Lemma holds for

H/K . If $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n'})$ is a sequence of G -generators for H/K with n' minimal then certainly $n' \leq n$. So we have

$$(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_k) \xrightarrow{(G)} (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n'}, (1's))$$

$$(\bar{\tau}_1, \dots, \bar{\tau}_n, (1's)) \xrightarrow{(G)} \left[\text{where } \bar{x} \text{ denotes } x \text{ modulo } K \right]$$

$$\therefore (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_k) \xrightarrow{(G)} (\bar{\tau}_1, \dots, \bar{\tau}_n, (1's))$$

$$\text{i.e. } (a_1, \dots, a_k) \xrightarrow{(G)} (t_1 \alpha_1, \dots, t_n \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \dots, \alpha_k)$$

by mimicing the operations in H , where each α_i must lie in the normal subgroup K .

Two possibilities:

i) $\alpha_{n+1} = \dots = \alpha_k = 1$. Then $(t_1 \alpha_1, \dots, t_n \alpha_n)$ G -generate ~~the whole group by the minimality of n~~
~~some $t_i \alpha_i$~~

4 so choosing any $x \in K \setminus (1)$, x can be expressed in terms of $t_1 \alpha_1, \dots, t_n \alpha_n$ and operation by G so that

$$(t_1 \alpha_1, \dots, t_n \alpha_n, 1, \dots, 1) \xrightarrow{(G)} (t_1 \alpha_1, \dots, t_n \alpha_n, x, 1, \dots, 1)$$

so the case (i) ^{reduces} leads to the following case

ii) some α_i , $i \geq n+1$ is nontrivial. We ~~may~~ may suppose it is α_{n+1} and call it x .
 $\ast$ G -generates K by observation (3).
So we have

$$(\alpha x, \alpha_{n+2}, \dots) \xrightarrow{(G)} (x, 1, \dots)$$

by the first step and just the same way (expressing the α_i 's, $i \leq n$ in terms of x & operations from G)

$$(t_1 \alpha_1, \dots, t_n \alpha_n, x, \alpha_{n+2}, \dots) \rightarrow (t_1, \dots, t_n, x, 1, \dots)$$

But $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ already G -generate so can be used to eliminate x . //

6) Definition. Say $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is a G -generating set in echelon form for the finite inner G -group H if the following conditions hold:

(i) (a) is a G -generating set for H .

(write $H = \langle a \rangle^G$)

~~Let~~ Let $H_j = \langle a_j, \dots, a_k \rangle^G$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.

(ii) For each $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ require

that H_{j+1} be minimal in H_j among

all G -subgroups $K \subseteq H_j$ with the property that K needs at most $k-j$ generators,

and $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, x, K \rangle^G = H$ for some $x \in H_j$.

(iii) $\langle a_j \rangle^G$ is maximal in H_j among all $\langle x \rangle^G$ such that $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, x, H_{j+1} \rangle^G = H$.

redundant,
I think

7) Note that G -generating sets in echelon form exist.

8) Theorem. Say $H, H_1 = H, H_2, \dots, H_k$,

$a_1, \dots, a_k$ are as in (6). Suppose $(b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$

is any G -generating set for H . Then

i) if $\ell > k$, $(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \xrightarrow{(G)} (a_1, \dots, a_k, 1's)$

ii) if $\ell \leq k$, $(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \xrightarrow{(G)} (a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1}, x)$

where $x \in H_\ell$, $\langle x \rangle^G = H_\ell$ and (x depends on $(b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$)

Proof. We can reduce to the case (ii) by extending $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ by 1's if necessary. So assume $l \leq k$.

The proof is by induction on l .

If $l=1$ then for $k=1$ take $x=b_1$, while if $k>1$ we must have $H_2 = (1)$ by (6) condition (ii). Thus $(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1, 1, 1, \dots)$ in this case and $\langle a_1 \rangle^G = H = \langle b_1 \rangle^G$ so again take $x=b_1$.

Assume the Theorem for $l-1$.

Assume $l \geq 2$. Factoring by H_2 obtain

$$(b_1, \dots, b_l), (\bar{a}_1, 1, \dots, 1)$$

& by lemma (5) have

$$(b_1, \dots, b_l) \xrightarrow{(G)} (\bar{a}_1, 1, \dots, 1) \text{ in } H/H_2.$$

Lift to get

$$(b_1, \dots, b_l) \xrightarrow{(G)} (a_1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_l) \text{ (each } \beta_i \in H_2)$$

By (6ii) we must have $\langle \beta_2, \dots, \beta_l \rangle^G = H_2$ (since $\langle \beta_2, \dots, \beta_l \rangle^G \subset H_2$

$$\& \langle \beta_2, \dots, \beta_l, \frac{1}{a_1} \rangle^G \subset H_2 \& \text{ and}$$

H_2 is minimal with respect to existence of $k-1$ generators and an additional generator x s.t. $\langle x, H_2 \rangle^G = H$. Here x could be $a_1 \beta_1$)

Then $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_l$ can be used to eliminate the β_1 appearing in $a_1 \beta_1$

$$\text{So } (b_1, \dots, b_l) \rightarrow (a_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_l)$$

& by induction $(\beta_2, \dots, \beta_l) \rightarrow (a_2, \dots, a_{l-1}, x)$ with $x \in H_2$.

(It is clear that $(a_2, \dots, a_k)$ is in echelon form)

9) Corollary. With $H, (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ as in (6) say a_n is the last nontrivial a_i . Then $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a G -generating set for H of minimum cardinality.

Proof: Let $(t_1, \dots, t_m)^G = H$, m smallest.

Applying the Theorem $\exists x \in H_m$

$$\Rightarrow \langle a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, x \rangle^G = H,$$

$$\text{where } \langle x \rangle^G = H_m = \langle a_m, (a_{m+1}, \dots, a_k) \rangle^G.$$

But then by (6 ii), as $H_{m+1}^{(k \geq m)}$ could be (1) & H_{m+1} is minimal $\therefore H_{m+1} = 1$ and

$$a_{m+1} = a_{m+2} = \dots = a_k = 1.$$

So certainly $n = m$.

10) Corollary to (5): If $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n; r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle$ is the trivial group ~~and~~ and $F = F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow G$ where G is finite then

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \xrightarrow{(G)} \langle \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n \rangle$$

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \xrightarrow{(G)} \langle \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n \rangle \text{ by } G\text{-transformations}$$

$$\langle \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n \rangle \xrightarrow{(G)} \langle \bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_n \rangle$$

(by (5))

G can't be normally generated by $\leq n-1$ elements, so $n = \text{min. no. of generators and of normal generators}$

$\Leftrightarrow$ $Ab G$ can't be generated by $\leq n-1$ elements

→ Proof: ($\Rightarrow$): Say $Ab G$ can be generated by $aby_1, \dots, aby_{n-1}$. We can use unimodular transformations to affect:

$$(ab \bar{x}_1, \dots, ab \bar{x}_n) \longrightarrow (aby_1, \dots, aby_{n-1}, ab 1).$$

Thus we can assume ~~x_i~~ $\bar{x}_i = y_i$,
 $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $ab\bar{x}_n = 1$.

Let K be the normal subgroup of G
 generated by $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{n-1}$.

We assume G can't be normally generated
 by $n-1$ elements so that $G \neq K$.

but $G/K = (\bar{x}_n)$ is cyclic $\therefore$ commutative,
 $\therefore \bar{x}_n \notin [G, G] \therefore ab\bar{x}_n \neq 1$ contradiction.

($\Leftarrow$) Clear since the following inequalities
 hold

$$\begin{aligned} \text{min. no. of generators of } AbG & \\ \leq \text{min. no. of } \text{normal} \text{ generators of } G & \\ \leq \text{min. no. of ordinary generating set} & \\ \text{for } G. & \end{aligned}$$

11) Therefore if $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{\text{Q-transformation}} (r_1, \dots, r_m)$
 is to be detected in a finite group G ,
 G must have the properties

i) min. no. of gens of $AbG = \text{min no. for } G$

ii) $G^{(n)}$ is ~~never~~ never trivial
 where $G^{(n)} = [G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-1)}]$, $G^{(0)} = G$.
 (result of note to Joan Birman)