

APPENDIX 4

References and Further Reading

Chapter 1



References

1. <http://antiquecannabisbook.com/chap2B/Arab/Al-Kindi.htm> [last accessed 9 February 2021]
2. Adamson, P. (2007). *Al-Kindi*. New York: Oxford University Press.
3. Krogsbøll LT, Hróbjartsson A, Gøtzsche PC. Spontaneous improvement in randomised clinical trials: meta-analysis of three-armed trials comparing no treatment, placebo and active intervention. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2009;9:1. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-9-1.
4. Goes RA, Lopes LR, Cossich VRA et al. Musculoskeletal injuries in athletes from five modalities: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2020;21:122. doi:10.1186/s12891-020-3141-8.
5. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Jakob_Bernoulli.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].

Further Reading

1. Campbell MJ, Green D, Barker D. Understanding basic numbers: examples from Brexit, Covid and common medical conditions. *Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences* 2020;2(1):31–38. doi: 10.1177/2632084320957201.
2. Gigerenzer G, Edwards A. Simple tools for understanding risks: from innumeracy to insight. *BMJ* 2003;327(7417):741–44. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7417.741.
3. Kramer W, Gigerenzer G. How to confuse with statistics or: The use and misuse of conditional probabilities. *Statistical Science* 2005;20(3):223–30. doi: 10.1214/088342305000000296.

We have included the digital object identifier (DOI) number along with the references, just copy and paste the DOI to retrieve the original reference.



Chapter 2

References

1. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Rozklad_benforda.svg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Gauvrit NG, Houillon JC, Delahaye JP. Generalized Benford's Law as a lie detector. *Adv Cogn Psychol* 2017;**13**(2):121–27. doi: 10.5709/acp-0212-x.
3. Goes RA, Lopes LR, Cossich VRA et al. Musculoskeletal injuries in athletes from five modalities: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2020;**21**:122. doi: 10.1186/s12891-020-3141-8.

Further Reading

1. Fry C. Experimental data and design, and the role of statistics. *Surgery* 2009;**27**(9):375–80. doi: 10.1016/j.mpsur.2009.07.004.
2. Swift L, Miles S, Price GM, Shepstone L, Leinster SJ. Do doctors need statistics? Doctors' use of and attitudes to probability and statistics. *Stat Med* 2009;**28**(15):1969–81. doi: 10.1002/sim.3608.
3. Miles S, Price GM, Swift L, Shepstone L, Leinster SJ. Statistics teaching in medical school: opinions of practising doctors. *BMC Med Educ* 2010; **10** :75. doi: 10.1186/1472-6920-10-7.



Chapter 3

References

1. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Florence_Nightingale_\(H_Hering_NPG_x82368\).jpg](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Florence_Nightingale_(H_Hering_NPG_x82368).jpg) [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Nightingale F. (1858). *Notes on Matters Affecting the Health, Efficiency, and Hospital Administration of the British Army*. London: Harrison and Sons.
3. www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A1999.04.0105%3Abook%3D3 [last accessed 9 February 2021].
4. Tytherleigh-Strong G, Walls N, McQueen MM. The epidemiology of humeral shaft fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1998;**80-B**(2):249–53. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.80B2.0800249.
5. Furtado S, Grimer RJ, Cool P et al. Physical functioning, pain and quality of life after amputation for musculoskeletal tumours: a national survey. *Bone Joint J* 2015;**97-B**(9):1284–90. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.97B9.35192.

Further Reading

1. Petrie A. Statistics in orthopaedic papers. *Bone Joint J* 2006;**88-B**(9):1121–36. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.88B9.17896.
2. Perera R, Heneghan C, Badenoch D. (2008). *Statistics Toolkit*. Oxford: BMJ Books/ Blackwell Publishing.



Chapter 4

References

1. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Laplace,_Pierre-Simon,_marquis_de.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Laplace PS. (1902). *A Philosophical Essay on Probabilities*. Translated from the 6th French edition by Frederick Wilson Truscott and Frederick Lincoln. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
3. Kirkwood BR, Sterne J. (2003). *Medical Statistics*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science, p.51.
4. Sedgwick P. Describing the spread of data 1. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c1116. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c1116.

Further Reading

1. Altman D, Bland M. Standard deviations and standard errors. *BMJ* 2005;**331**:903. doi: 10.1136/bmj.331.7521.903.



Chapter 5

References

1. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carl_Friedrich_Gauss#/media/File:10_DM_Serie4_Vorderseite.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Sheynin O. (2012). *History of Statistics*. Berlin: NG Verlag Berlin.
3. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Galton_box.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
4. Galton F. (1894). *Natural Inheritance*. New York: Macmillan and Co.
5. Altman D. (1991). *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*. London: Chapman and Hall, pp.514–45.
6. Hinton P. (1995). *Statistics Explained*. London: Routledge, p.53.
7. Wigderowitz CA, Cunningham T, Rowley DI, Mole PA, Paterson CR. Peripheral bone mineral density in patients with distal radial fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2003;85(3):423–25. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.85B3.13336.

Further Reading

1. Altman D, Bland M. The normal distribution. *BMJ* 1995;310:298. doi: 10.1136/bmj.310.6975.298.



Chapter 6

References

1. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jerzy_Neyman#/media/File:Jerzy_Neyman2.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Neyman J. Outline of a theory of statistical estimation based on the classical theory of probability. *Philos Trans R Soc A* 1937;**236**(767):333–80. www.jstor.org/stable/91337.
3. Sedgwick P. Understanding confidence intervals. *BMJ* 2014;**349**:g6051. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g6051.
4. Tan SH. The correct interpretation of confidence intervals. *Proc Singapore Healthc* 2010;**19**(3):276–78. doi: 10.1177/201010581001900316.
5. Mancia G, Parati G, Revere M et al. Statins, antihypertensive treatment, and blood pressure control in clinic and over 24 hours: evidence from PHYLLIS randomised double blind trial. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c1197. doi:10.1136/bmj.c1197.
6. Cumming G. Inference by eye: reading the overlap of independent confidence intervals. *Stat Med* 2009;**28**(2):205–20. doi: 10.1002/sim.3471.
7. Van der Vlist AC, van Oosterom RF, van Veldhoven PLJ et al. Effectiveness of a high volume injection as treatment for chronic Achilles tendinopathy: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2020;**370**:m3027. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3027.
8. Whaley CM, Arnold DR, Gross N, Jena AB. Practice composition and sex differences in physician income: observational study. *BMJ* 2020;**370**:m2588. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2588.
9. Kirkwood BR, Sterne J. (2003). *Medical Statistics*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science, pp.350–53.

Further Reading

1. Gardner MJ, Altman DG. Confidence intervals rather than p-values: estimation rather than hypothesis testing. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1986;**292**(6522):746–50. doi: 10.1136/bmj.292.6522.746.
2. Gelman A, Greenland S. Are confidence intervals better termed ‘uncertainty intervals’? *BMJ* 2019;**366**:l5381. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l5381.
3. Greenland S, Senn SJ, Rothman KJ et al. Statistical tests, p-values, confidence intervals, and power: a guide to misinterpretations. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2016;**31**(4):337–50. doi: 10.1007/s10654-016-0149-3.
4. Guyatt G, Jaeschke R, Heddle N et al. Basic statistics for clinicians: 2. Interpreting study results: Confidence intervals. *Can Med Assoc J* 1995;**152**(2):169–73.



Chapter 7

References

1. <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=42616717> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Fisher RA. (1956). Mathematics of a lady tasting tea. In: Newman JR, (ed.), *The World of Mathematics*, Volume 3. New York: Simon & Schuster.
3. R Nanda, R Pratt, L Goodchild, A Rangan, A Gamble, Campbell RSD. Does the presence of a full thickness rotator cuff tear influence outcome following proximal humeral fracture? *Orthopaedic Proceedings. Bone Joint J* 2005;**87-B**(Supp II).
4. Kirkwood BR, Sterne J. (2003). *Medical Statistics*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science, p.71.
5. Goodman SN. Towards evidence-based medical statistics. 1: The P value fallacy. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;**130** (12): 995–1004. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-130-12-199906150-00008.
6. Jefferson T, Jones M, Doshi P et al. Oseltamivir for influenza in adults and children: systematic review of clinical study reports and summary of regulatory comments. *BMJ* 2014;**348**:g2545. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g2545.
7. Xu S, Lim WJ, Chen JY et al. The influence of obesity on clinical outcomes of fixed-bearing unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a ten-year follow-up study. *Bone Joint J* 2019;**101-B**(2):213–20. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.101B2.BJJ-2018-0969.R2.
8. www.aboutlawsuits.com/topics/zicam-cold-remedy/ [last accessed 9 February 2021].
9. Kim HY. Statistical notes for clinical researchers: effect size. *Restor Dent Endod* 2015;**40**(4):328–31. doi: 10.5395/rde.2015.40.4.328.

Further Reading

1. Biau JD, Jolles BM, Porcher R. P-value and the theory of hypothesis testing: an explanation for new researchers. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2010;**468**:885–92. doi: 10.1007/s11999-009-1164-4.
2. Doll H, Carney S. Statistical approaches to uncertainty: p-values and confidence intervals unpacked. *Evid Based Med* 2005;**10**:133–34. doi: 10.1111/j.2042-3306.2007.tb00999.x.
3. Goodman SN. P-values, hypothesis tests, and likelihood: implications for epidemiology of a neglected historical debate. *Am J Epidemiol* 1993;**137**:485–9. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116700.
4. Leek J, McShane BB, Gelman A et al. Five ways to fix statistics. *Nature* 2017;**551**(7682):557–59. doi: 10.1038/d41586-017-07522-z.



Chapter 8

References

1. Neyman J, Pearson ES. The testing of statistical hypotheses in relation to probabilities a priori. *Math Proc Camb Philos Soc* 1933;**29**(4):492–510. doi: 10.1017/S030500410001152X.
2. Parker MJ, Cawley S. Short (175mm) versus standard (220mm) length intramedullary nail for trochanteric hip fractures: a randomised trial of 229 patients. *Bone Joint J* 2020;**102-B**(3):394–99. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.102B3.
3. SPRINT Investigators, Bhandari M, Tornetta P 3rd, Rampersad SA et al. (Sample) size matters! An examination of sample size from the SPRINT trial study to prospectively evaluate reamed intramedullary nails in patients with tibial fractures. *J Orthop Trauma* 2013;**27**(4):183–88. doi: 10.1097/BOT.0b013e3182647e0e.
4. Bedard PL, Krzyzanowska MK, Pintilie M, Tannock IF. Statistical power of negative randomised controlled trials presented at American Society for Clinical Oncology annual meetings. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;**25**(23):3482–87. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.11.3670.
5. Lee PH, Tse ACY. The quality of the reported sample size calculations in randomised controlled trials indexed in PubMed. *Eur J Intern Med* 2017;**40**:16–21. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2016.10.008.
6. Turner L, Shamseer L, Altman DG et al. Consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT) and the completeness of reporting of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) published in medical journals. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;**11**:MR000030. doi: 10.1002/14651858.MR000030.pub2.

Further Reading

1. Glasziou P, Doll H. Was the study big enough? Two café rules. *Evid Based Med* 2006;**11**:69–70. doi: 10.1136/ebm.11.3.69.
2. Kaplan RM, Chambers DA, Glasgow RE. Big data and large sample size: a cautionary note on the potential for bias. *Clin Transl Sci* 2014;**7**(4):342–46. doi: 10.1111/cts.12178.
3. Pugh SL, Molinaro A. The nuts and bolts of hypothesis testing. *Neurooncol Pract* 2016;**3**(3):139–44. doi: 10.1093/nop/npv052.
4. Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Sample size slippages in randomised trials: exclusions and the lost and wayward. *Lancet* 2002;**359**:781–85. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07882-0.
5. Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Sample size calculations in randomised trials: mandatory and mystical. *Lancet* 2005;**365**:1348–53. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)61034-3.



Chapter 9

References

1. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:James_Lind_by_Chalmers.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. www.jameslindlibrary.org/records [last accessed 9 February 2021].
3. Evans I, Thornton H, Chalmers I, Glasziou P. (2011). *Testing Treatments*. London: Pinter & Martin.
4. Kise NJ, Risberg MA, Stensrud S et al. Exercise therapy versus arthroscopic partial meniscectomy for degenerative meniscal tear in middle aged patients: randomised controlled trial with two-year follow-up. *BMJ* 2016;354:i3740. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i3740.
5. No author listed. Streptomycin treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis: a Medical Research Council investigation. *BMJ* 1948b;2:769–82. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.4582.769.
6. Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes R, Altman DG. Empirical evidence of bias: dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. *JAMA* 1995;273:408–12. doi: 10.1001/jama.273.5.408.
7. Keene DJ, Alsousou J, Harrison P. Platelet rich plasma injection for acute Achilles tendon rupture: PATH-2 randomised, placebo controlled, superiority trial. *BMJ* 2019;367:6132. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l6132.
8. Howick J, Friedemann C, Tsakok M et al. Are treatments more effective than placebos? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2013;8(5):e62599. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0062599.
9. <https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
10. Ernst E, Resch KL. Concept of true and perceived placebo effects. *BMJ* 1995;311(7004):551–53. doi: 10.1136/bmj.311.7004.551.

11. Hollis S, Campbell F. What is meant by intention to treat analysis? Survey of published randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 1999;**319**(7211):670–74. doi: 10.1136/bmj.319.7211.670.
12. Wood FA, Howard JP, Finegold JA et al. N-of-1 trial of a statin, placebo, or no treatment to assess side effects. *New Engl J Med* 2020;**383**(22):2182–84. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2031173.

Further Reading

1. <https://catalogofbias.org/> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Akobeng AK. Understanding randomised controlled trials. *Arch Dis Child* 2005;**90**:840–44. doi: 10.1136/ad.2004.058222.
3. Bhide A, Shah PS, Acharya G. A simplified guide to randomised controlled trials. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2018;**97**(4):380–87. doi: 10.1111/aogs.13309.
4. Deaton A, Cartwright N. Understanding and misunderstanding randomised controlled trials. *Soc Sci Med* 2018;**210**:2–21. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.12.005.
5. Flight L, Julious SA. Practical guide to sample size calculations: non-inferiority and equivalence trials. *Pharmaceutical Statistics* 2016;**15**(1):80–89. doi: 10.1002/pst.1716.
6. Hayati Rezvan P, Lee KJ, Simpson JA. The rise of multiple imputation: a review of the reporting and implementation of the method in medical research. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2015;**15**:30. doi: 10.1186/s12874-015-0022-1.

7. Higgins JPT, Sterne JAC, Savovic J et al. A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized trials. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;**10**(Suppl 1):29–31.
8. Howick J. If children understand drawing straws and flipping coins, research participants can understand randomization. *Am J Bioeth* 2009;**9**(2):19–20. doi: 10.1080/15265160802657775.
9. Leung JT, Barnes SL, Lo ST et al. Non-inferiority trials in cardiology: what clinicians need to know. *Heart* 2020;**106**:99–104. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2019-315772.
10. Sedgwick P, Hooper C. Placebo controlled trials. *BMJ* 2014;**348**:g1635. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g1635.
11. Sibbald B, Roland M. Understanding controlled trials. Why are randomised controlled trials important? *BMJ* 1998;**316**:201. doi: 10.1136/bmj.316.7126.201.
12. Yusuf S, Collins R, Peto R. Why do we need some large, simple randomized trials? *Stat Med* 1984;**3**(4):409–22. doi: 10.1002/sim.4780030421.



Chapter 10

References

1. https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/4/42/William_Sealy_Gosset.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Gosset WS. The probable error of a mean. *Biometrika* 1908;**6**(1):1–25. doi:10.1093/biomet/6.1.1.
3. Ontoseno T. The decrease of hematocrit post iron therapy in patients with tetralogy of Fallot and relative iron deficiency. *Arch Dis Child* 2008;**93**:pw284.
4. Bland M. (1995). *An Introduction to Medical Statistics*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford Medical Publications, p.166.
5. Diarbakerli E, Savvides P, Wihlborg A et al. Bone health in adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis. *Bone Joint J* 2020;**102-B**(2):268–72. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.102B2.BJJ-2019-1016.R1.
6. Kirkwood BR, Sterne J. (2003). *Medical Statistics*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science, p.55.
7. Bland M, Altman D. Statistics notes: transforming data. *BMJ* 1996;**312**:770. doi: 10.1136/bmj.312.7033.770.
8. Altman D. (1991). *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*. London: Chapman & Hall, pp.197–205.

Further Reading

1. Altman D, Bland M. Parametric v non-parametric methods for data analysis. *BMJ* 2009;**338**:a3167. doi: 10.1136/bmj.a3167.
2. Hart A. Mann-Whitney test is not just a test of medians: differences in spread can be important. *BMJ* 2001;**323**:391. doi: 10.1136/bmj.323.7309.391.
3. Kim TK. T test as a parametric statistic. *Korean J Anesthesiol* 2015;**68**(6):540–46. doi: 10.4097/kjae.2015.68.6.540.
4. Nahm FS. Nonparametric statistical tests for the continuous data: the basic concept and the practical use. *Korean J Anesthesiol* 2016;**69**:8–14. doi: 10.4097/kjae.2016.69.1.8.



Chapter 11

References

1. https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/1/17/Arbuthnot_John_Kneller.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Arbuthnot J. An argument for Divine Providence, taken from the constant regularity observed in the births of both sexes. *Philos Trans R Soc* 1710;27(325–36):186–90. doi:10.1098/rstl.1710.0011.
3. Froggatt S, Reissland N, Covey J. The effects of prenatal cigarette and e-cigarette exposure on infant neurobehaviour: a comparison to a control group. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020;28:100602. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100602.
4. Brothwell S, Dutton M, Ferro C et al. Optimising the accuracy of blood pressure monitoring in chronic kidney disease: the utility of BpTRU. *BMC Nephrol* 2013;14:218. doi: 10.1186/1471-2369-14-218.
5. Bernardes D, Oliveira ALR. Comprehensive catwalk gait analysis in a chronic model of multiple sclerosis subjected to treadmill exercise training. *BMC Neurol* 2017;17:160. doi: 10.1186/s12883-017-0941-z.
6. Goeman JJ, Solari A. Multiple hypothesis testing in genomics. *Stat Med* 2014;33(11):1946–78. doi: 10.1002/sim.6082. doi: 10.1002/sim.6082.
7. Altman D. (1997). *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*. London: Chapman & Hall, p.253.

Further Reading

1. Altman D, Bland M. Statistics notes: comparing several groups using analysis of variance. *BMJ* 1996;**312**:1472. doi: 10.1136/bmj.312.7044.1472.
2. Pandis N. Two-way analysis of variance: Part 1. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2015;**148**(6):1078–79. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2015.09.015.
3. Pandis N. Two-way analysis of variance: Part 2. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2016;**149**(1):137–39. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2015.10.007.



Chapter 12

References

1. https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/1/18/Karl_Pearson%2C_1912.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karl_Pearson [last accessed 9 February 2021].
3. Kok LM, Vlieland TPV, Fiocco M et al. A comparative study on the prevalence of musculoskeletal complaints among musicians and non-musicians. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2013;**14**:9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-14-9.
4. Bland M. (1995). *An Introduction to Medical Statistics*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, p.230.
5. Altman D. (1997). *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*. London: Chapman & Hall, p.253.
6. Haviland MG. Yates's correction for continuity and the analysis of 2x2 contingency tables. *Stat Med* 1990;**9**(4):363–67. doi: 10.1002/sim.4780090403.
7. Kirkwood BR, Sterne J. (2003). *Medical Statistics*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science, pp.168–69.

Further Reading

1. Hazra A, Gogtay N. Biostatistics series module 4: comparing groups - categorical variables. *Indian J Dermatol* 2016;**61**(4):385–92. doi: 10.4103/0019-5154.185700.
2. McHugh M. The Chi-Squared test of independence. *Biochem Med* 2013;**23**(2):143–49. doi: 10.11613/bm.2013.018.
3. Swinscow TD. XIV--The chi tests. *Br Med J* 1976;**2**:462. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.6033.462.
4. Swinscow TD. Statistics at square one. XV--The Chi-Squared tests (continued). *Br Med J* 1976;**2**:513. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.6034.513.
5. Swinscow TD. Statistics at square one. XVI-The Chi-Squared tests. *Br Med J* 1976;**2**:573. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.6035.573.



Chapter 13

References

1. https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/3/32/Richard_Doll.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Richard_Doll [last accessed 9 February 2021].
3. Asai Y, Yoshimura M, Nago N, Yamada T. Why do old men have big ears? Correlation of ear length with age in Japan. *BMJ* 1996;**312**:582. doi: 10.1136/bmj.312.7030.582c.
4. <https://plebeianscience.wordpress.com/2017/06/18/why-ice-cream-isnt-deadly-correlation-vs-causation> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
5. <http://tylervigen.com/spurious-correlations> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
6. Dias R, Johnson NA, Dias JJ. Prospective investigation of the relationship between dorsal tilt, carpal malalignment, and capitate shift in distal radial fractures. *Bone Joint J* 2020;**102-B**(1):137–43. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.102B1.
7. Reddy N, Martinez JR, Mulligan E et al. Sleep quality and nocturnal pain in patients with femoroacetabular impingement and acetabular dysplasia. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2020; **1**:134. doi: 10.1186/s12891-020-3151-6.

Further Reading

1. Bland JM, Altman DG. Correlation, regression, and repeated data. *BMJ* 1994;**308**:896. doi: 10.1136/bmj.308.6933.896.
2. Hill AB. The environment and disease: Association or causation? *Proc Roy Soc Med* 1965;**58**:295–300. doi: 10.1177/0141076814562718.
3. Sedgwick P. Pearson's correlation coefficient. *BMJ* 2012;**345**:e4483. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e4483.
4. Sedgwick P. Multiple regression. *BMJ* 2013;**347**:f4373. doi: 10.1136/bmj.f4373.



Chapter 14

References

1. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Pierre_Francois_Verhulst.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Cramer JS. The origins of logistic regression. Tinbergen Institute Working Paper No. 2002-119/4. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.360300.
3. Bretherton CP, Parker MJ. Early surgery for patients with a fracture of the hip decreases 30-day mortality. *Bone Joint J* 2015;**97-B**(1):104–8. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.97B1.35041.
4. Hosmer DW, Hosmer T, Le Cessie S, Lemeshow S. A comparison of goodness-of-fit tests for the logistic regression model. *Stat Med* 1997;**16**(9):965–80. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-0258(19970515)16:9<965::aid-sim509>3.0.co;2-o.
5. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1996;**49**(12):1373–79. doi: 10.1016/s0895-4356(96)00236-3.
6. Bender R, Grouven U. Logistic regression models used in medical research are poorly presented. *BMJ* 1996;**313**:628. doi: 10.1136/bmj.313.7057.628.
7. Fukushima W, Yamamoto T, Takahashi S et al. The effect of alcohol intake and the use of oral corticosteroids on the risk of idiopathic osteonecrosis of the femoral head: a case-control study in Japan. *Bone Joint J* 2013;**95-B**(3):320–25. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.95B3.30856.

Further Reading

1. Bewick V, Cheek L, Ball J. Statistics review 14: logistic regression. *Crit Care* 2005;**9**(1):112–18. doi: 10.1186/cc3045.
2. Hidalgo B, Goodman M. Multivariate or multivariable regression? *Am J Public Health* 2013;**103**(1):39–40. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2012.300897.
3. Meurer WJ, Tolles J. Logistic regression diagnostics: understanding how well a model predicts outcomes. *JAMA* 2017;**317**(10):1068–69. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.20441.
4. Stoltzfus JC. Logistic regression: a brief primer. *Acad Emerg Med* 2011;**18**(10):1099–104. doi: 10.1111/j.1553-2712.2011.01185.x.
5. Tripepi G, Jager KJ, Stel VS, Dekker FW, Zoccali C. How to deal with continuous and dichotomic outcomes in epidemiological research: linear and logistic regression analyses. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2011;**118**(4):c399–406. doi: 10.1159/000324049.



Chapter 15

References

1. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Blaise_Pascal_Versailles.JPG [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Blaise_Pascal [last accessed 9 February 2021].
3. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Pierre_de_Fermat.jpg [last accessed 9 February 2021].
4. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pierre_de_Fermat [last accessed 9 February 2021].
5. <https://www.drpescatore.com/how-you-can-lower-your-diabetes-risk-by-22-just-by-looking-out-the-window> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
6. https://www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-news/contraceptive-pill-side-effects-blood-3103941#.Uu9znvl_vHU [last accessed 9 February 2021].
7. [No authors listed]. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet* 1994;**344**(8934):1383–89.
8. Gigerenzer G, Wegwarth O, Feufel M. Misleading communication of risk. *BMJ* 2010;**341**:c4830. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c4830.
9. Arfè A, Scotti L, Varas-Lorenzo C et al. Safety of Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs (SOS) Project Consortium. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of heart failure in four European countries: nested case-control study. *BMJ* 2016;**354**:i4857. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i4857.

Further Reading

1. Barratt A, Wyer PC, Hatala R, for Evidence-Based Medicine Teaching Tips Working Group. Tips for learners of evidence-based medicine: 1. Relative risk reduction, absolute risk reduction and number needed to treat. *CMAJ* 2004;**171**(4):353–58. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.1021197.
2. Bland JM, Altman DG. The odds ratio. *BMJ* 2000;**320**:1468. doi: 10.1136/bmj.320.7247.1468.
3. Davies H, Crombie I, Tavakoli M. When can odds ratios mislead? *BMJ* 1998;**316**:989. doi: 10.1136/bmj.317.7166.1155a.
4. Prasad K, Jaeschke R, Wyer P, Keitz S, Guyatt G, Evidence-Based Medicine Teaching Tips Working Group. Tips for teachers of evidence-based medicine: understanding odds ratios and their relationship to risk ratios. *J Gen Intern Med* 2008;**23**(5):635–40. doi: 10.1007/s11606-007-0453-4.



Chapter 16

References

1. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edmond_Halley [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Bacaër N. (2011). Halley's life table (1693). *A Short History of Mathematical Population Dynamics*. London: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-0-85729-115-8_2.
3. Schmitz MW, Busch VJ, Gardeniers JW et al. Long-term results of cemented total hip arthroplasty in patients younger than 30 years and the outcome of subsequent revisions. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2013;**14**:37. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-14-372/.
4. Hernández-Vaquero D, Suárez-Vazquez A, Fernandez-Lombardia J. Charnley low-friction arthroplasty of the hip. Five to 25 years survivorship in a general hospital. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2008;**9**:69. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-9-69.
5. Efe T, Ahmed G, Heyse TJ et al. Closing-wedge high tibial osteotomy: survival and risk factor analysis at long-term follow-up. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2011;**12**:46. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-12-46.
6. Singh JA, Sperling JW, Cofield RH. Revision surgery following total shoulder arthroplasty. *Bone Joint Surg Br* 2011;**93**(11):1513–17. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.93B11.26938.
7. Kirkwood BR, Sterne J. (2003). *Essential Medical Statistics*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science, p.281.
8. Lacny S, Wilson T, Clement F et al. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis overestimates the risk of revision arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2015;**473**(11):3431–42. doi: 10.1007/s11999-015-4235-8.
9. Austin PC, Lee DS, Fine JP. Introduction to the analysis of survival data in the presence of competing risks. *Circulation* 2016;**133**(6):601–9. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.017719.

10. Abdel MP, Roth PV, Harmsen WS, Berry DJ. What is the lifetime risk of revision for patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty? A 40-year observational study of patients treated with the Charnley cemented total hip arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J* 2016;**98-B**(11):1436–40. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.98B11.BJJ-2016-0337.R1.

Further Reading

1. Bland JM, Altman DG. Survival probabilities (the Kaplan-Meier method). *BMJ* 1998;**317**:1572. doi: 10.1136/bmj.317.7172.1572.
2. Bland JM, Altman DG. The logrank test. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:1073. doi: 10.1136/bmj.328.7447.1073.
3. Bradburn MJ, Clark TG, Love SB, Altman DG. Survival analysis part II: multivariate data analysis—an introduction to concepts and methods. *Br J Cancer* 2003;**89**(3):431–36. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601119.
4. Clark TG, Bradburn MJ, Love SB, Altman DG. Survival analysis part I: basic concepts and first analyses. *Br J Cancer* 2003;**89**(2):232–38. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601118.
5. Khan T. Survival analysis of time-to-event data in orthopaedic surgery. *BJJ* 2017;**37**:9. doi: 10.1302/2048-0105.62.360517.
6. Pocock SJ, Clayton TC, Altman DG. Survival plots of time-to-event outcomes in clinical trials: good practice and pitfalls. *Lancet* 2002;**359**(9318):1686–89. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08594-X.
7. Rich JT, Neely JG, Paniello RC et al. A practical guide to understanding Kaplan-Meier curves. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2010;**143**(3):331–36. doi: 10.1016/j.otohns.2010.05.007.
8. Sedgwick P. Cox proportional hazards regression. *BMJ* 2013;**347**:f4919. doi: 10.1136/bmj.f4919.



Chapter 17

References

1. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Thomas_Bayes.gif [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Bellhouse DR. Thomas Bayes, F.R.S.: A biography to celebrate the tercentenary of his birth. *Stat Sci* 2004;**19**:3–43. doi: 10.1214/088342304000000189.
3. Lisboa BM, Tavaziva G, Abidi SK et al. Diagnostic accuracy of serological tests for covid-19: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2020;**370**:m2516. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2516.
4. Chapuis PH, Goulston KJ, Dent OF, Tait AD. Predictive value of rectal bleeding in screening for rectal and sigmoid polyps. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1985;**290**:1546. doi: 10.1136/bmj.290.6481.1546.
5. Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Diagnostic tests 4: likelihood ratios. *BMJ* 2004;**329**:168. doi: 10.1136/bmj.329.7458.168.
6. Long L, Zhao HT, Zhang ZY, Wang GY, Zhao HL. Lung ultrasound for the diagnosis of pneumonia in adults: a meta-analysis. *Medicine* 2017;**96**(3):e5713. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000005713.
7. <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-latest-coronavirus-cases-usa-update-testing-numbers-a9567566.html> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
8. Maempel JF, Wickramasinghe NR, Clement ND, Brenkel IJ, Walmsley PJ. The pre-operative levels of haemoglobin in the blood can be used to predict the risk of allogenic blood transfusion after total knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J* 2016;**98-B**(4):490–97. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.98B4.36245.
9. Collinson P. Of bombers, radiologists, and cardiologists: time to ROC. *Heart* 1998;**80**:215–17. doi: 10.1136/hrt.80.3.215.

Further Reading

1. Altman DG, Bland JM. Diagnostic tests 1: sensitivity and specificity. *BMJ* 1994;**308**:1552. doi: 10.1136/bmj.308.6943.1552.
2. Altman DG, Bland JM. Diagnostic tests 2: predictive values. *BMJ* 1994;**309**:102. doi: 10.1136/bmj.309.6947.102.
3. Loong TW. Understanding sensitivity and specificity with the right side of the brain. *BMJ* 2003;**327**:716. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7417.716.
4. Mallett S, Halligan S, Thompson M et al. Interpreting diagnostic accuracy studies for patient care. *BMJ* 2012;**345**:e3999. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e3999.
5. Sedgwick P. How to read a received operating characteristic curve. *BMJ* 2015;**350**:h2464. doi: 10.1136/bmj.309.6947.102.



Chapter 18

References

1. Chalmers I, Dickersin K, Chalmers TC. Getting to grips with Archie Cochrane's agenda. *BMJ* 1992;**305**:786. doi: 10.1136/bmj.305.6857.786.
2. Rushton A, Zoulas K, Powell A et al. Physical prognostic factors predicting outcome following lumbar discectomy surgery: systematic review and narrative synthesis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2018;**19**:326. doi:10.1186/s12891-018-2240-2.
3. McCarthy CJ, Callaghan MJ, Oldham JA. Pulsed electromagnetic energy treatment offers no clinical benefit in reducing the pain of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2006;**7**:51. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-7-51.
4. Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Altman DG (eds). Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J et al (eds). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.0. Cochrane, 2019.
5. Surace SJ, Deitch J, Johnston RV, Buchbinder R. Shock wave therapy for rotator cuff disease with or without calcification. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020;**3**:CD008962. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008962.pub2.
6. Chen X, Li H, Zhu S et al. Pre-operative denosumab is associated with higher risk of local recurrence in giant cell tumor of bone: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2020;**21**:256. doi: 10.1186/s12891-020-03294-2.
7. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;**315**:629–34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629.
8. Li Y, Luo W, Wu G et al. Open versus endoscopic carpal tunnel release: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2020; **21**:272. doi:10.1186/s12891-020-03306-1.

Further Reading

1. Akobeng AK. Understanding systematic reviews and meta-analysis. *Arch Dis Child* 2005;**90**:845–48. doi: 10.1136/adc.2004.058230.
2. Egger M, Smith GD, Phillips AN. Meta-analysis: principles and procedures. *BMJ* 1997;**315**:1533. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7121.1533.
3. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J et al (eds). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.0. Cochrane, 2019. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.
4. Lau J, Ioannidis J, Terrin N, Schmid C, Olkin I. The case of the misleading funnel plot. *BMJ* 2006;**333**:597. doi: 10.1136/bmj.333.7568.597.
5. Riley RD, Moons K, Snell K et al. A guide to systematic review and meta-analysis of prognostic factor studies. *BMJ* 2019;**364**:k4597. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k4597.
6. Sedgwick P, Marston L. How to read a funnel plot in a meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2015;**351**:h4718. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h4718.



Chapter 19

References

1. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doug_Altman [last accessed 9 February 2021].
2. Altman DG. The scandal of poor medical research. *BMJ* 1994 Jan 29;**308**(6924):283-4. doi: 10.1136/bmj.308.6924.283. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.308.6924.283> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
3. <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/politics/2020/04/28/ppe-government-counted-glove-single-item-reach-one-billion-total/> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
4. Gyawali B, Hey SP, Kesselheim AS. Assessment of the clinical benefit of cancer drugs receiving accelerated approval. *JAMA Intern Med* 2019;**179**(7):906–13. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.0462.
5. Kim C, Prasad V. Cancer drugs approved on the basis of a surrogate end point and subsequent overall survival: an analysis of 5 years of U.S. Food and Drug Administration approvals. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015;**175**(12):1992–94. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.5868.
6. Svensson S, Menkes DB, Lexchin J. Surrogate outcomes in clinical trials: a cautionary tale. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013;**173**(8):611–12. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.3037.
7. Echt DS, Liebson PR, Mitchell LB et al. Mortality and morbidity in patients receiving encainide, flecainide, or placebo. The Cardiac Arrhythmia Suppression Trial. *N Engl J Med* 1991;**324**(12):781–88. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199103213241201.
8. Leek J, McShane BB, Gelman A et al. Five ways to fix statistics. *Nature* 2017;**551**(7682):557–59. doi: 10.1038/d41586-017-07522-z.
9. Smith GD, Ebrahim S. Data dredging, bias, or confounding: They can all get you into the BMJ and the Friday papers. *BMJ* 2002;**325**:1437. doi: 10.1136/bmj.325.7378.1437.
10. Oxman AD. Subgroup analyses. *BMJ* 2012;**344**:e2022. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e2022.

11. Sun X, Briel M, Busse JW et al. Credibility of claims of subgroup effects in randomised controlled trials: systematic review. *BMJ* 2012;**344**:e1553. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e1553.
12. Dimova RB, Allison DB. Inappropriate statistical method in a parallel-group randomised controlled trial results in unsubstantiated conclusions. *Nutr J* 2015;**15**:58. doi: 10.1186/s12937-016-0163-z.
13. Counsell CE, Clarke MJ, Slattery J, Sandercock PAG. The miracle of DICE therapy for acute stroke: fact or fictional product of subgroup analysis? *BMJ* 1994;**309**:1677. doi: 10.1136/bmj.309.6970.1677.
14. Fletcher J. Subgroup analyses: how to avoid being misled. *BMJ* 2007;**335**:96. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39265.596262.AD.
15. Sun X, Briel M, Walter SD, Guyatt GH. Is a subgroup effect believable? Updating criteria to evaluate the credibility of subgroup analyses. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c11. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c117.
16. Ioannidis JPA, Caplan AL, Dal-Ré R. Outcome reporting bias in clinical trials: why monitoring matters. *BMJ* 2017;**356**:j408. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j408.
17. Smyth RMD, Kirkham JJ, Jacoby A et al. Frequency and reasons for outcome reporting bias in clinical trials: interviews with trialists. *BMJ* 2011;**342**:c7153. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c7153.
18. Chan AW, Altman DG. Identifying outcome reporting bias in randomised trials on PubMed: review of publications and survey of authors. *BMJ* 2005;**330**:75. doi: 10.1136/bmj.38356.424606.8F.
19. Nieuwenhuis S, Forstmann B, Wagenmakers E. Erroneous analyses of interactions in neuroscience: a problem of significance. *Nat Neurosci* 2011;**14**:1105–07. doi: 10.1038/nn.2886.
20. Kirkham JJ, Dwan KM, Altman DG et al. The impact of outcome reporting bias in randomised controlled trials on a cohort of systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c36. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c365.
21. Taichman DB, Backus J, Baethge C et al. Sharing clinical trial data. *BMJ* 2016;**356**:i255. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i255.
22. Kirkham JJ, Altman DG, Chan AW et al. Outcome reporting bias in trials: a methodological approach for assessment and adjustment in systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2018;**362**:k3802. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k3802.
23. <http://www.comet-initiative.org/> [last accessed 9 February 2021].

24. Dyer O. What did we learn from Tamiflu? *BMJ* 2020;**368**:m626. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m626.
25. Jefferson T, Jones M, Doshi P et al. Oseltamivir for influenza in adults and children: systematic review of clinical study reports and summary of regulatory comments. *BMJ* 2014;**348**:g2545. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g2545.
26. <https://www.bmj.com/open-data> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
27. <https://www.alltrials.net/find-out-more/about-alltrials/> [last accessed 9 February 2021].
28. Krumholz HM, Ross JS, Presler AH, Egilman DS. What have we learnt from Vioxx? *BMJ* 2007;**334**:120. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39024.487720.68.
29. Perlis RH, Perlis CS, Wu Y et al. Industry sponsorship and financial conflict of interest in the reporting of clinical trials in psychiatry. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005;**162**(10):1957–60. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.162.10.1957.
30. Bhandari M, Busse JW, Jackowski D et al. Association between industry funding and statistically significant pro-industry findings in medical and surgical randomised trials. *CMAJ* 2004;**170**(4):477–80.
31. Bero L. Bias related to funding source in statin trials. *BMJ* 2014;**349**:g5949. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g5949.
32. Prasad M, Perrin AJ, Bezila K et al. “There Must Be a Reason”: Osama, Saddam, and inferred justification. *Sociol Inq* 2009;**79**:142–62. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-682X.2009.00280.x
33. McKee M. How cognitive biases affect our interpretation of political messages. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c2276. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2276.
34. O’Sullivan ED, Schofield SJ. Cognitive bias in clinical medicine. *J R Coll Physicians Edinb* 2018;**48**:225–32. doi: 10.4997/JRCPE.2018.306.
35. McCoun RJ. Biases in the interpretation and use of research results. *Annu Rev Psychol* 1998;**49**:259–87. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.49.1.259.
36. Greenberg SA. How citation distortions create unfounded authority: analysis of a citation network. *BMJ* 2009;**339**:b2680. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2680.
37. Duyx B, Urlings MJE, Swaen GMH, Bouter LM, Zeegers MP. Scientific citations favor positive results: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2017;**88**:92–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.06.002.